

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

COMMUNITY MEETING
IN RE: BENNING ROAD FACILITY REMEDIAL
INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY
(RI/FS) PROJECT
SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013
10:00 a.m.

Job No.: 33164

Pages: 1 - 107

Transcribed by: Robert Ingram

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Community Meeting In Re: Benning Road Facility
Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study held at
the location of:

River Terrace Elementary School
420 34th Street, NE
Washington, DC 20019
(202) 724-4589

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

P R O C E E D I N G

Wes McNealy: Good morning, everyone. It's a little after 10 and I think we've got a pretty good turn out so far. So let's get our seats and get the meeting started, okay.

Male 1: Johnny will do the blessing.

Wes McNealy: Okay. We would like to start the meeting. Mr. Johnny Gaither would like to come up and lead us in an opening prayer.

Johnny Gaither: Good morning, everyone.

Public Audience: Good morning.

Johnny Gaither: We'd like to welcome everyone to River Terrace. What we'll do is that we'll have a short prayer and we'll start our presentation. Our Father God in Heaven, we thank you for this day. We thank ye Father God for all those who are gathered here today. We ask that you bless the citizens of River Terrace. We ask that you bless those within these walls. We ask you, Father, for decency as we proceed in this presentation. We ask you for your love and your guidance in the name of the Father, Son and the Holy Spirit, Amen.

Public Audience: Amen.

Wes McNealy: Okay. Thank you, Johnny. Again, good morning.

I am Wes McNealy, Director of Environmental Services for Pepco

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

4

1 Holdings. And with me is Donna Cooper, who is the Vice
2 President for Government Affairs at Pepco.

3 [BACKGROUND CONVERSATION]

4 Female 1: We can't hear you.

5 Wes McNealy: Can you hear me better? Is that better?

6 Female 1: No.

7 Wes McNealy: Can you crank up the volume. Right here. Try
8 that. Okay. How's that? Is that better?

9 Public Audience: Yeah.

10 Wes McNealy: Okay. Good morning. I'm Wes McNealy, Director
11 of Environmental Services for Pepco Holdings. And with me is Donna
12 Cooper, who is Vice President for Government Affairs at Pepco.
13 And our job is to welcome you and to get the meeting started.
14 And let me start by thanking each of you for taking some time
15 out of your busy Saturday to sit down and talk with us. We hope
16 you find this morning informative and helpful and interesting.
17 The purpose of our meeting this morning is to give you an update
18 on the environmental study that Pepco is conducting at its
19 Benning Road facility. We have brought together a team of
20 experts that have been working for the past many months on this
21 study. And they're going to explain to you what we are doing
22 now at the Benning Road facility, why we are doing it and how we

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

5

1 plan to move forward over the next several weeks and what you
2 can expect to see and hear from us in the near future. We've
3 prepared a lot of information for you in the back of the room.
4 You can refer to it in during the course of the meeting. Please
5 feel free to take it home and share it with others. We put
6 plenty of time in the agenda this morning to hear your concerns
7 and to address your questions. So with that I would like to
8 begin introducing the team of experts that we have assembled
9 here. And again, my name is Wes and within Pepco Holdings I am
10 responsible for environmental compliance, ensuring the company's
11 environmental performance is where it needs to be and in all the
12 environmental permitting that's required to run our business.
13 With me is Fariba Mahvi, Fariba, stand up. She's a Lead
14 Engineer on my team. Fariba brings a wealth of experience in
15 environmental management. She has worked in the Pepco region on
16 many different projects including a lot of projects at the
17 Benning Road facility. She has a really good understanding of
18 the operations at our Benning Road facility, both past and
19 present, so she's a great resource. And she is serving as
20 Pepco's Project Manager for the Benning Road Environmental
21 Study. To my left I have Ravi Damera. And Ravi is a
22 Principal Engineer with a consulting firm named AECOM. And

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

6

1 AECOM is a worldwide leader in environmental studies and
2 cleanups. Ravi's got a lot of great international experience
3 and he's got local roots. Ravi runs the local office of
4 AECOM. I have worked very closely with Ravi on a number of
5 projects that our company has conducted in Delaware and
6 Maryland. He's a very, very astute and dedicated investigator
7 and scientist and engineer. And we've done a lot of good,
8 successful cleanups at our other company properties. So we're
9 very lucky to have Ravi as part of this team and he'll be
10 coming up shortly and talking more about the project at Benning
11 Road. He is serving as our Consulting Project Manager for the
12 Benning Road Environmental Study. I also want to acknowledge a
13 couple leaders from the District Department of the Environment.
14 We're very fortunate to have Paul Connor, who's Deputy Director
15 of the Department of the Environment. And Apurva Patil, who is
16 serving as the agency's Lead on the Benning Road facility study.
17 And Paul will be coming up momentarily to talk a little bit
18 more about the Department of the Environment's roles and
19 responsibilities in the study. With that, let me introduce
20 Donna Cooper again. She's going to complete the introductions
21 and then she's going to talk a little bit about the format and
22 the agenda for today's meeting. So Donna.

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

7

1 Donna Cooper: Thank you very much Wesley. I would be
2 remiss as well if I did not acknowledge your coming out and
3 your participation. I want to note that you're a very active
4 and engaged community which is very critical as it relates to
5 change. With me today in terms of my group, I'm Donna Cooper,
6 as he noted, Region Vice President for the District of Columbia
7 Pepco region. It's Kirsten Bowden, who's at the rear of the
8 building. She is the Senior Public Affairs Manager for the
9 District of Columbia Pepco region. Also is Chris Taylor, he is
10 the Public Affairs Manager and he's to the rear of the building
11 as well. I also wanted to acknowledge someone that is in the
12 audience, and that is our Customer Advocate for Pepco Holdings
13 Incorporated, Felecia Greer, if Felecia could just wave her
14 hand. So one of the critical pieces, when we talk about this
15 whole aspect of community outreach and engagement, was the
16 establishment of a Community Advisory Group. And I want to have
17 the members of the Community Advisory Group, once I call your
18 name, to at least raise your hand or either stand so that you
19 can be recognized. These are the following organizations and
20 entities that are represented on the Community Advisory Group.
21 Anacostia Riverkeeper, the Anacostia Water Shed Society,
22 Advisory Neighborhood Commission 5B, Advisory Neighborhood

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

8

1 Commission 6A, ANC 7A, ANC 7C, ANC 7D, City Interests, the
2 Office of Councilmember Yvette Alexander, Council of the
3 District of Columbia, the District of Columbia Environmental
4 Health Collaborative, Dean Wood Citizens Association, Eastland
5 Garden Civic Association, Friends of Kingman Park, Groundwork
6 Anacostia River D.C., Kingman Parks Civic Association, MPD 6
7 District Citizens Advisory Council, the Mayor's Office of
8 Neighborhood Engagement, Parkside Civic Association, River
9 Terrace Community Association and the Sierra Club, Washington,
10 D.C. Chapter. And most of those Representatives have raised
11 their hands, but I want to just make sure of the affiliation.
12 For Anacostia Riverkeeper, it's Michael Bowlinder. The
13 Anacostia Water Shed Society, Brent Bowlin. Advisory
14 Neighborhood Commission 5B -- Yes.

15 Female 2: I wanted to ask why doesn't the CAG include
16 ANC 5B?

17 Donna Cooper: 5D?

18 Female 2: Five B. You're the old 5B; 5B is actually way
19 farther down the road. We're much closer to the facility than
20 5B.

21 Donna Cooper: We'll look into that. But I do know it was
22 Regina James. And so we can look at the actual geographic

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

9

1 landscape in terms of identifying those areas. Advisory
2 Neighborhood 6A, David Holmes, right here. Advisory
3 Neighborhood Commission 7A, Villareal Johnson, Advisory
4 Neighborhood Commission 7C, Greg Stewart, Advisory Neighborhood
5 Commission 7D, Miss Lisa White, City Interests, Allison Crowley,
6 Council of the District of Columbia, Rayna Smith, District of
7 Columbia Environmental Health Collaborative, Dr. Janet Phoenix,
8 Dean Wood Citizens Association, Maria Johnson, Eastland Garden
9 Civic Association, Dan Milstein, Friends of Kingman Park,
10 Gwendolyn Honeycutt, Groundwater Anacostia River D.C., Dennis
11 Chestnut, Kingman Park Civic Association, Fraser Walton, MPD 6
12 District Citizens Advisory Council, Chester Speight, Mayor's
13 Office of Neighborhood Engagement, Sandra Lee, Parkside Civic
14 Association, Geraldine Bell, River Terrace Community
15 Association, Johnny Gaither, The Sierra Club, Washington D.C.
16 Chapter, Irv Sheffey.

17 Female 2: Can we just all stand and give them a round of
18 applause?

19 Donna Cooper: Yes. Please stand and let's give them a round
20 of applause. As Wesley noted, our agenda is going to cover some
21 very salient points that were actually presented to us in the
22 last Community Advisory Group Meeting, where we were going to

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

10

1 talk about exactly where we are in this process. A lot of work
2 has gone into ultimately developing this presentation and hoping
3 that we have captured everything that we were asked to really
4 present on. Also let me just note, in terms from an
5 organizational perspective, we're holding the question and
6 answer period until the presentations are made. The first
7 element of the presentation -- it's combined -- will be the
8 District Department of the Environment, followed by AECOM.
9 Thank you. Yes, Dr. Phoenix.

10 Janet Phoenix: Yes. I'm Janet Phoenix of the Community
11 Advisory Group never lapsed. We've had strongly recommended
12 that the agenda include a guest speaker [INDISCERNIBLE]. And it
13 was my understanding that she would speak fairly early on in the
14 agenda. And I asked her to come at 10 o'clock and she is here
15 [INDISCERNIBLE]. I wondered if you could give her some courtesy
16 and move her up a bit so that she can speak to the group prior
17 to the AECOM presentation. I would really appreciate that. I
18 did have several conversations with Ron Lester about the timing
19 of her presentation. This is something that we've worked really
20 hard to provide to the community meeting today, we think it's
21 the key piece. So I'm just making that polite request.

22 Donna Cooper: Okay.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

11

1 Wes McNealy: Yeah. I understand. What we would like to do is
2 we want to get some of the key messages out and then we'll put
3 Vernice on shortly thereafter.

4 Janet Phoenix: So what does that mean?

5 Wes McNealy: Let us get oriented with some of the background
6 on the investigation and the study and then Vernice will come up
7 right after Paul and Ravi.

8 Janet Phoenix: Vernice -

9 Wes McNealy: But we'll get you on early because I know you've
10 got some time constraints. Is that okay?

11 Janet Phoenix: Prior to the AECOM presentation?

12 Wes McNealy: Prior to the questions and answers.

13 [OVERLAY]

14 Donna Cooper: And let me note that -

15 Wes McNealy: Well let's get things kicked off and -

16 Donna Cooper: -- it will move very -- the presentation will
17 move very quickly, you'll see that.

18 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

19 Donna Cooper: Move very smoothly. We're not taking questions
20 until the end and we will move to Dr. Miller.

21 Janet Phoenix: You see her presentation will frame the
22 AECOM presentation. She was asked to --

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

12

1 [OVERLAY]

2 Wes McNealy: Let's get started and -

3 Donna Cooper: And then we can -

4 [OVERLAY]

5 Wes McNealy: -- she can start talking about the

6 [INDISCERNIBLE].

7 Donna Cooper: Thank you.

8 Wes McNealy: But let's get started with -

9 Janet Phoenix: [INDISCERNIBLE].

10 Wes McNealy: Paul Connor of DDOE and Ravi Damera of AECOM.

11 Paul, you want to start?

12 Paul Connor: Okay. Thank you, Wes. Thanks everybody for

13 coming. It's encouraging to see a large group here. This is

14 meeting of the public; this is a public meeting to talk about an

15 investigation that Pepco is undertaking at their Benning Road

16 facility. First a little bit more about who I am and what DDOE

17 does. My name is Paul Connor. I work in an office at DDOE that

18 is responsible for cleaning up contaminated property. Before I

19 came to DDOE four and half years ago, I worked at the U.S.

20 Environmental Protection Agency. I'm a lawyer by training.

21 I've worked in EPA Enforcement Office and I worked in their

22 programs that procured and compelled cleanup by responsible

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

13

1 parties. So Pepco is undertaking an investigation of their
2 Benning Road facility -- if we could go to the next slide that
3 shows the map -- and what that means is that they are doing an
4 environmental study of the conditions on this property. And
5 they're doing an investigation of pollutants and where they've
6 come to be located. And Ravi Damera will talk about that in a
7 little bit more detail. But first I wanted to put this project
8 in context and give you a little bit of background about where
9 we are today with this project and where we expect to go in the
10 future. First Pepco began their operations on this facility
11 back in 1906, so that was a long time ago, 107 years ago. But
12 let's fast forward to 2009, so that's really just four years
13 ago. EPA, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, did a study
14 of the Benning Road facility. And they concluded that there
15 have been some spills and releases of PCB's, which are
16 polychlorinated biphenals. It's an oily substance; it's used in
17 Pepco's industrial operations. And EPA has identified a number
18 of conditions on the property resulting from spills and
19 releases. I want to emphasize that Pepco did respond to each of
20 these. They did some cleanup. However, EPA and our department
21 are concerned that the prior operations and the prior spills and
22 the prior releases might have gotten into the sediments in the

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

14

1 river and possibly the ground water. But currently DDOE is not
2 aware of any person being exposed from the releases and spills
3 identified in EPA's report. So let's get oriented here. I'm
4 going to step away from the microphone and I think I'll just
5 yell and if you can't hear me, raise your hand. Okay. I'm
6 using a red pointer here. This is the outline of the facility
7 right there. This road -- let's see, where'd my pointer -- this
8 road, this is Benning Road here. This is River Terrace
9 Elementary right there. This is the Anacostia River, all right.
10 This is the Kenilworth Recreation Center and former landfill.
11 This property right next to the Benning Road facility is the DPW
12 Waste Transfer Station. This piece of property here is owned by
13 the National Park Service, all right. So that just gets you
14 oriented. Let's see -- I think, is it the next slide that shows
15 the areas -- is there a slide that shows the areas of the
16 spills? Does that come -

17 Wes McNealy: PCB spill?

18 Paul Connor: Yeah.

19 Wes McNealy: Yeah. That comes up later.

20 Paul Connor: Comes up later? Okay. So EPA released their
21 report in 2009. That report identified some prior spills and
22 releases of polychlorinated biphenals. At that point EPA, our

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

15

1 department, other organizations, many of whom are represented in
2 this room, were anxious for Pepco to undertake the first step of
3 the beginning of a cleanup process. They wanted Pepco to address
4 the concerns in this report. So we approached Pepco. We said
5 this needed to be done. And Pepco agreed and Pepco was
6 cooperative. And our department entered in a legally
7 enforceable document called a consent decree. A consent decree
8 is a document that's filed in court. We worked out the language
9 with Pepco. It's a document that spells out the requirements of
10 this investigation and study that Pepco is currently undertaking
11 now. It is enforceable. If Pepco doesn't comply we can enforce
12 it. More importantly, a federal judge can enforce it because it
13 is a document that's signed by a federal judge. So that consent
14 decree was finalized in December of 2011, about 14 months ago,
15 15 months ago. And that started the clock ticking for Pepco's
16 obligations, all right. Essentially Pepco is doing two things
17 under this consent decree. They will be performing an
18 investigation of all potential contamination on the Benning Road
19 facility, as well as all contamination that might have migrated
20 into the river. So it's a very comprehensive study. It
21 actually goes a lot farther than EPA's report suggest that they
22 do. So they're doing a comprehensive study of the potential

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

16

1 pollutants on the Benning Road facility and in the river. After
2 they do that they will then prepare a report that evaluates
3 options for cleanup. Now let me -- I want to comment a little
4 bit about the process up to now. The consent decree itself went
5 through at public process. The judge held a hearing. She
6 eventually finalized the consent decree. But one of the things
7 that she required was additional public review of documents. So
8 many of the documents that Pepco is going to be developing as
9 part of this project will themselves go out for public review
10 and comment. So there will be many opportunities for the
11 community to review and comment on the documents that Pepco is
12 preparing, starting with the actual consent decree. But now
13 that is finalized and the judge finalized that consent decree
14 about 15 months ago. That started the clock ticking and Pepco
15 has complied. Pepco has met every deadline so far. They have
16 been submitting their deliverables on the schedule that is
17 anticipated in the consent decree and DDOE and the public have
18 been reviewing them. So we've looked at a statement of work,
19 which is a planning document that lays out the work that needs
20 to be done. A much more detailed document came later called the
21 Work Plan. The Work Plan includes the details of the all the
22 work that needs to be done for this project. That went out for

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

17

1 public review and comment. DDOE reviewed that document and DDOE
2 approved it back in December of 2012, so just about three months
3 ago. A short word about DDOE's role in this. We are overseeing
4 Pepco's work. We take that role very seriously. Wes has already
5 introduced Apurva Patil. Apurva is a professional engineer;
6 she's an experience project manager. She has reviewed every
7 deliverable that Pepco has submitted. She and actually a team
8 of our technical experts back in the office review every Pepco
9 deliverable. We send our comments to Pepco and Pepco has been
10 very cooperative in amending their documents and incorporating
11 comments and concerns both from us and from the public. Yes,
12 Ma'am.

13 Kathy Henderson: Yes. My name is Katy Henderson and I
14 am the Commissioner for 5E 05. I'm also the Chair for
15 Commission 5E. My community is immediately impacted by this
16 issue. And I'm really, really concerned and disturbed that we
17 have not had an opportunity to participate in any meaningful
18 way, in any way, regarding the public common process. And
19 I would ask that you would ameliorate this issue immediately.
20 Our 5E sits right on the board of [PH] Langston, our golf course.
21 And we are the most immediate effected neighbor for ward 5. And
22 I'm not certain who made the decision to go all the way into 5B,

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

18

1 which is nowhere near this community, to ask them to be a part of
2 this process. And I would ask that this be completely ameliorated
3 before we leave here today.

4 Paul Connor: Yes. Duly noted. We will look into your
5 organization. And I can assure you though that there was an
6 extensive process for identifying members of the CAG and
7 identifying organizations. And we worked closely with
8 Councilmember Alexander's office. So I apologize.

9 Kathy Henderson: Councilmember Alexander is the Councilmember
10 for Ward seven. We appreciate her, but you should have been
11 working with the councilmember for Ward five and he would have
12 informed you that 5E is most immediately impacted.

13 Paul Connor: Okay. We will take that back. Couple more
14 points and then I will wrap it up. So we are overseeing Pepco's
15 work. The final endpoint of this project will be a draft report
16 from Pepco that evaluates cleanup options. So first they'll do an
17 investigation of their property and then they're going to do a
18 draft report that evaluates what kinds of cleanups could be
19 done, both on their industrial facility as well as for the
20 sediments in the river. That comes to DDOE, and DDOE makes that
21 decision. So DDOE will be the decision maker for any cleanup.
22 Once the decision is made about an appropriate cleanup we will

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

19

1 then go back to Pepco and we will negotiate another consent
2 decree that will require Pepco to implement that remedy. So
3 this is really sort of step one of a long-term process. But we
4 are excited that it is finally underway. And this is a good
5 time to have this meeting because Pepco has really finished most
6 of their planning, their work plan has been approved, they are
7 now getting ready to start doing their field work. So one of
8 the reasons we wanted to have this public meeting now was we
9 thought that the timing was good in terms of the phase of the
10 project. So with that, I'll pause, let me turn it over to
11 Ravi who has more detail about Pepco's plans. Thank you.

12 Ravi Damera: Thank you, Paul. Good morning, everyone.

13 Public Audience: Good morning.

14 Ravi Damera: As Paul mentioned this is the very first step
15 in the investigation of trying to find out what impacts exist and
16 what is their magnitude. And just before getting into the
17 presentation I just want to acknowledge one of my colleagues who
18 is here, Shawn Crouch.

19 Male 2: [INDISCERNIBLE] Hold the mic closer to your mouth.

20 Ravi Damera: Sean Crouch who is heading most of the field
21 investigation effort at the Benning Road. He's from AECOM.

22 Shawn, next slide please. This slide talks about the two steps

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

20

1 that Paul had alluded to. One is remedial investigation. The
2 other step is feasibility study. Remedial investigation is
3 abbreviated as RI and feasibility study is abbreviated as FS.
4 So most of the time you will see RI/FS that's what it means.
5 What we -- the objective of remedial investigation is to collect
6 data so we can understand what contaminants are present, where
7 they are present and how they relate to the Pepco Benning Road
8 site. And when I say contaminants, investigation it's both on
9 the land side as well as in the Anacostia River portions that
10 are adjacent to the Pepco facility. At the end of the RI we
11 will have a definition of where the contamination is, what is
12 the magnitude of contamination, what the risks are and the next
13 step would be the feasibility study that would look at how do we
14 address that contamination and the risks posed by that? And in
15 that step we will develop alternatives, cleanup alternatives,
16 which will be evaluated in that FS report and submitted to DDOE.
17 Next slide, please. This chart shows it's a very simplified
18 process of the RI/FS, different steps involved in the RI/FS
19 process. The first step here is the scope of work document that
20 was developed right after the consent decree was signed back in
21 December of 2010 as Paul mentioned. And after the scope of work
22 was done -- the scope of work basically serves as a blueprint

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

21

1 for the RI/FS work plans, that's the next step. And once the
2 work plans are approved, that gives us a plan for collecting the
3 data necessary to define the issues. So we are now in this
4 stage, the field investigation stage and I'll provide more
5 details in the next couple slides. Next slide, please. This is
6 a more recent timeline. The project, as I mentioned earlier,
7 has started in December 2010 with the submittal of a draft scope
8 of work document. But you can see this is from April of 2012.
9 There's a lot accomplished in 2012 in terms of planning the
10 investigation. The scope of work document was done, DDOE
11 approved the scope of work, then we developed the RI/FS work
12 plans. They were commented by the public, they were open to
13 public comment in August and September of 2012. And we took
14 those comments, as well as DDOE's review comments, addressed
15 them and finalized the work plans. DDOE granted the final
16 approval in December and we started the field activities in
17 January of 2013, 30 days after DDOE's approval. Now there's a
18 more complete milestone schedule of the entire timeline of the
19 project. Shawn, if you could click on this link. This is an
20 internet site, it's Pepco's Benning Service Center website. And
21 we have a more detailed schedule, as you can see the consent
22 decree signing date as well as all of the other deliverables,

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

22

1 when the draft was submitted, when the public comment was
2 issued, et cetera. Next slide. Again there are two primary
3 investigation areas. One is the land site which has three
4 phases of field work. There's a water side investigation area
5 that has two phases of field work. Next slide, please. Oh,
6 excuse me, can you go back to that? One thing I want to point
7 out here is this is the Benning Road power generating station
8 that was closed in 2012, it's not operating. And these fuel
9 tanks, the three fuel tanks and the cooling towers are
10 associated with the old Benning Road Power Plant and they have
11 also been decommissioned. Actually the tanks are being
12 demolished as we speak and the cooling towers are the next.
13 Next slide, please. Now I've mentioned there are two
14 investigation areas broadly. We want to talk about the basis
15 for selecting these investigation areas. Hit one. Okay. This
16 is what Paul was talking about earlier. There are six PCB
17 spills on the property. They're indicated by the red stars, the
18 six releases and they were all cleaned up. And there's a table
19 in your information package that provides more details about the
20 spills. And the date below each star represents the date the
21 spill had or the release had occurred and the cleanup had
22 occurred. One point I want to make is this 1997 release. That

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

23

1 has not, that is not a release to the environment, meaning it
2 has not touched the soils or water or anything. It was inside a
3 concrete building that was cleaned up. Next slide. Now this
4 green star represents the suspect location of PCB entry into the
5 Anacostia River as presented, or as discussed by EPA in their
6 report in 2009 site inspection report. Now this RI/FS will
7 investigate that to find out exactly how it happened, if it
8 happened. Next slide. Now you can see the blue boxes that are
9 around some of these stars. The blue boxes are the target
10 investigation areas. Now you can see all six PCB releases and
11 cleanup areas are now enclosed in these target investigation
12 area boxes. And we have more; we have 18 target areas totally
13 identified. And the basis for identifying these target areas is
14 one, the past releases cleanup efforts and any current or
15 previous operations that have a potential in our view to have
16 any impact on the ground water or soil, we designated it as an
17 investigation area so there are 18 of them. Okay. Next slide,
18 please. And you can see again these are the 18 areas numbered.
19 And I have a large size map poster here that shows all the 18
20 investigation areas for whoever wants to see them up close.
21 Okay. I mentioned land site investigation has three phases.
22 The first phase is basically collecting more of a screening type

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

24

1 information about these 18 target areas. And it involves
2 collecting surface soil samples. It involves subsurface
3 scanning using a technology called electric resistive imaging,
4 resistivity imaging. Storm drain samples. We collect residue
5 as well as water samples from the storm drains in the facility
6 that are discharging to Anacostia River. And there are soil
7 borings to determine what kind of geology or the -- exists
8 beneath the Benning Road facility. Now of these activities, in
9 phase one we have completed these first two activities, the
10 surface samples as well as the subsurface scanning. Next slide,
11 please. Here is a picture of the -- this is the electrical
12 resistivity imaging field work. It basically involved driving
13 six inch steel spikes into the ground and connecting them to a
14 DC power supply and getting the signals back from the ground
15 that would indicate to us if there are potential issues. And
16 then we go back and drill in those locations, that was the
17 purpose of this. And in the background here you see the cooling
18 towers of the facility that are going to be demolished in March.
19 Next. Land side phase two investigation would involve, like I
20 said, once we get the phase one screening information we go into
21 specific areas and put in about 40 soil borings and collect
22 multiple samples from multiple depths. And we collect water

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

25

1 samples at each boring, that's the ground water samples. And we
2 test them for a number of parameters that have been required by
3 DDOE. And if we find contamination of ground water, then the
4 next phase is putting in ground water monitoring wells to figure
5 out where exactly ground water occurs in the subsurface. Is it
6 15 feet below ground, is it 20 feet, that kind of information.
7 And which way is it flowing? Is it flowing toward the Anacostia
8 River or another way? So that's all going to be determined in
9 phased three. Next slide, please. And this is -- now we're
10 talking about water side. Water side investigation has two
11 phases. The first phase is basically the river bottom survey.
12 This is very similar to your land surveys, property surveys, but
13 it's done on water using a small boat. And that's essentially
14 complete, we have completed that activity. Water side phase two
15 investigation would involve putting in approximately 55 borings
16 into the river bed and collect sediment samples from different
17 horizons to see where -- if contamination exists and at what
18 levels and how deep of the river below the mud line is impacted
19 by it. So we're putting soil borings all the way down to 10
20 feet below the mud line and we'll be collecting surface water
21 samples as well for analysis. Next slide, please. And this is
22 a map that shows the water side investigation detail. The green

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

26

1 triangles are where -- at each one of the green triangles one
2 boring goes into the riverbed and we collect sediment samples
3 from that. Next slide, please. Okay, so as I mentioned we have
4 kicked off the field activities on January 25th. We have
5 completed about half of phase one activities on the land side.
6 We do have all the permits necessary to complete the land side
7 work on the Pepco property. But we're still waiting for one or
8 two permits on the water side and the Anacostia River, and those
9 should be issued soon hopefully and we can continue on. So
10 that's the current status and we have a bi-weekly field
11 investigation -- I'm sorry, a week-by-week field investigation
12 schedule that we have prepared and posted on the website. I
13 believe there's a copy in your information packet as well that
14 tells you every week what is happening in the field. And there
15 is a view of that from the website. And it notes what
16 activities are completed; it will say "Completed" and so on, so
17 forth. And currently we have it for going up to 15 weeks into
18 early May. And this schedule is going to be updated on a
19 monthly basis. Next slide. So the next steps include
20 completing the field investigation, collecting all the data and
21 writing the RI report, documenting all the data that we
22 collected to determine where the problem areas are. And that

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

27

1 will happen; once the field work is complete we have four months
2 to turn in that report to DDOE in a draft form. At that point
3 it will be publicized; it will be open for a public comment.
4 And once we get the public comments and DDOE review comments we
5 finalize the RI report and DDOE approves the RI report. Same
6 thing with the feasibility study report. We have six months for
7 submitting a draft feasibility study report which would talk
8 about the cleanup options as warranted. And finally I think the
9 RI/FS reports are the key in making further decisions at this
10 point. I'd like to turn it over to Paul to talk about the
11 record of decision.

12 [BACKGROUND CONVERSATION]

13 Male 3: It appears that someone has determined the only place
14 you people have contaminated over there is basically -- was the
15 river and your property. I've lived in that neighborhood for 80
16 years, okay. I know [INDISCERNIBLE]. I know what kind of
17 contamination flooded that neighborhood a long time ago before
18 you did. The land opposite on Benning Road where the princess
19 decided that she wanted [INDISCERNIBLE] was found by the
20 environment of pollution and is so contaminated they put it on the
21 superfund. What do you all plan to do, dump dirt on it over there
22 in the yard and tell us that it's cleaned up? Tell me something.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

28

1 They're -- in Cayman Park, River Terrace has the largest number of
2 cancer patients in the city and you did nothing about it.

3 Ravi Damera: Well this is a RI/FS study that is mandated by
4 DDOE that Pepco is conducting. I think this is the first step
5 in defining what problems exist on the property and then we move
6 in or move out depending on the results.

7 Male 3: Can't hear you.

8 Ravi Damera: I was saying that this is the first step in the
9 process to determine what, if any, issues exist on the property
10 and in the river. And we -- depending on those results, we
11 either move in or move out to define other areas, that's the
12 idea.

13 Female 3: [INDISCERNIBLE].

14 Ravi Damera: I'm sorry.

15 Female 3: What you just said. Can you repeat that?

16 Wes McNealy: Yeah, I can repeat that. This is Wes. And
17 just in terms of meeting decorum, I politely ask that we are
18 recording all of this and when we get to the Q&A's I would like
19 for you to please state your name and speak into the mic so that
20 we can make sure that we get things recorded and we can give you
21 a complete and full answer. Even if we don't have every answer
22 with us today we will get back to you. But to Ravi's point,

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

29

1 we have sat down with our scientific experts and put some
2 boundaries around where we're going to begin the investigation
3 based on what we know, what type of testing EPA and other
4 agencies have already conducted in the river. So that is the
5 boundary that you saw. When we do this very complete and
6 extensive testing with the boundary, if we see things along the
7 edges that lead us to have additional concerns, at that point we
8 will go back and we will make necessary adjustments to the
9 boundary. So again, what we're doing is important work, I think
10 we've got a really good start. But it's going to be a process
11 that takes a number of years and a number of iterations, so keep
12 that in mind. This is a process that we're all going to be
13 working on for a good long while and we're going to get it done
14 and we're going to get it done right. Donna, can you -- I'm
15 respectful of Vernice's time so I want to make sure that we can
16 get her up here. Donna, can you talk about the community
17 outreach and introduce Vernice.

18 Donna Cooper: All right. Let me run through this quickly.
19 And let me note, Commissioner Henderson, we will address your
20 concern and move that in. But let me make one point that is very
21 important. That those individuals who are within that
22 particular footprint, we do direct mailings. So individuals

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

30

1 within that area would have gotten communications. But let's --
2 I'll work with you and show you what that listing is and then
3 incorporate you into this process. Because we don't want to
4 definitely exclude anyone. So let me just run through very
5 quickly. And we have been in communication with Councilmember
6 Harry Thomas's office earlier, as well as Councilmember Kenyan
7 McDuffie, but we will close that loop with you definitely. One
8 of the things that the CAG asked us was to just kind of talk
9 about our community outreach tactics. And I want to run through
10 what one of the centerpieces of that particular process has
11 been. Going back to the establishment of the Community Advisory
12 Group, that came out of a process of us communicating with the
13 community prior to us even establishing a community involvement
14 plan, and that was integrated into the process. I also did not
15 introduce Mr. Ron Lester. Ron Lester has been hired in order to
16 actually facilitate for the Community Advisory Group. So he is
17 very integral to this process and provides much needed
18 assistance to the Community Advisory Group between what their
19 concerns are as it relates to getting communications from Pepco.
20 For example today, us presenting the information that is being
21 presented today. So the facilitator was a critical part of
22 this. The CAG was involved in the selection of the facilitator,

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

31

1 that was not a selection by the company. We presented several
2 individuals to the CAG to ultimately look at and select. We
3 also engaged in what is known as direct community mailing to
4 customers, I'm sorry, residents within the area. And there is a
5 mailing boundary that is ultimately highlighted. We have gone
6 out, basically, one mile north, south, east and actually a mile
7 and a half west of the plant. And we send direct mail pieces
8 when there are community meetings to every resident. And that
9 is 11,321 households. This is the latest document that was
10 ultimately sent to community members.

11 Male 4: [INDISCERNIBLE].

12 Donna Cooper: And also let me just note, because one of the
13 things that is highlighted to the left of that is the expansion
14 of the mailing area and that was in response to Miss Gwendolyn
15 Honeycutt who is a member of the Community Advisory Group. So
16 the community involvement plan has been modified to reflect that
17 particular extension, so they are definitely incorporated into
18 the mailing list. We also developed an environmental study
19 website which is dedicated exclusively to this entire project.
20 All documents are on this website, all notices of public
21 meetings, transcripts of the meeting, everything is within that
22 particular repository. But also being mindful of the community.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

32

1 Everyone does not utilize the internet. So we have all of
2 these documents as well on reserve at libraries within the area.
3 And the libraries -- and we can talk with Miss Henderson
4 further as well -- Anacostia, Dean Wood, Dorothy Height Benning
5 Library, Francis A. Gregory, as well as Rosedale Libraries have
6 the information also. We have also established an email
7 database from interested persons who come out to the meetings
8 and sign up to actually receive internet notices of community
9 meetings. We have also engaged utilizing social media and also
10 to announce public meetings, via both Twitter as well as
11 Facebook. Also please note all of the information that's on our
12 website is also on the District Department of the Environment's
13 website as well. We also utilize public access television which
14 is TV 16, to run crawlers to notify the community of these
15 meetings. Also the D.C. Register is critical as it relates to
16 all notices of public comment, community meetings are published
17 in that particular document. Also the Council of the District
18 of Columbia. We utilize Councilmember Alexander's office, the
19 Office of Neighborhood Engagement, the list serves information
20 is sent to Councilmember Kenyan McDuffie's office as well. Of
21 all of the community meetings, flyers if there are milestone
22 updates. So all of that information is really sent to

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

33

1 Councilmembers for assistance in pushing the information out as
2 well as to the Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Engagement.
3 Councilmember Alexander has included such information in her
4 community notes newsletter. Also recently we utilized the local
5 newspapers for the announcements, the Washington former Afro,
6 East of the River newspapers as well. Also we have directly
7 distributed information to churches as well as nonprofit
8 organizations. Also directly distributing information for this
9 meeting to key locations around the Benning facility, such as your
10 shopping centers as well as gas stations and we've been there.
11 Community outreach efforts. Going back to the website, that
12 website was established April 20th, 2012. Going to some of the
13 community outreach and meetings, and I'm going to touch on even
14 before the process started. June 9th, 2011 we were before the
15 Parkside Civic Association, July 9th, Environmental Health
16 Collaborative. So we have a range of meetings in which we have
17 attended in order to engage. One of the notes that we received
18 from one of the members of the Community Advisory Group was Dennis
19 Chestnut. And this is something we began to incorporate in our
20 process. Even though we have members representing the various
21 community organizations and they are representing the interest of
22 those particular bodies for this meeting and we move this forward,

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

34

1 we have begun attending each of those meetings as well just to
2 re-emphasize that the meetings are ultimately occurring. So it's
3 a very detail--engaged -- but I must note, an evolving process.
4 Because we are open to definitely comments as well as
5 suggestions. And many of the suggestions that have been made
6 have definitely been incorporated into our outreach process. So
7 that's it for the Community Outreach and Engagement component.
8 Yes.

9 Female 4: Can you say -

10 Donna Cooper: I'm sorry. I just wanted to also just highlight
11 and go over the different components of the website. Every
12 single document that is a part of this process is on the website
13 under documents. When we post new information, we designate it
14 as new information that has ultimately been added. Also posting
15 of actual community meetings is here, fact sheets, frequently
16 asked questions is also within this repository as well. So it's
17 very detailed and we keep it updated. So as new information is
18 presented and made public it is shared on this website as well
19 as DDOE's website. And Miss Henderson, yes.

20 Kathy Henderson: Yes. I just want to say I know you, I have
21 a lot of respect for the work that you've done, and I appreciate
22 your responsiveness here today. I just want to make sure that

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

35

1 going forward we make sure that we are reaching out to everyone.
2 Because all those efforts that you talked about, this is my
3 community.

4 Donna Cooper: Right.

5 Kathy Henderson: And I'm glad that you're open to addressing
6 that. And one of the most effective community outreach tactics
7 that you use -- well I don't want to say tactics -- but methods
8 that you use was the robocalls. Many of my neighbors contacted me
9 in reference to this meeting and they felt comfortable. They said
10 "Okay, well Kathy, you're going so we don't have to." And I
11 appreciate doing that. But this is really important, really
12 critically important and my community certainly wants to be
13 involved. And this is also an opportunity for Pepco to get some
14 positive press.

15 Donna Cooper: Most definitely.

16 Kathy Henderson: This is an important step that you all are
17 taking to ameliorate conditions that are not just I think a
18 problem here; they're a problem throughout the United States.
19 And I'm also very interested in hearing the response to this
20 gentleman's concerns. PCB's, one of the reasons why they've
21 been so widely used is because they've been very effective. And
22 I think everyone in this room and the community needs to know

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

36

1 what has been used in place of PCB's and is there a liaison
2 between Pepco, the Department of the Environment and our other
3 industrial partners to make sure that we utilize evolving
4 technology to minimize cancer risks and the health risks
5 associated with this -

6 [OVERLAY]

7 Donna Cooper: Absolutely. And thank you. And also thank you
8 for noting -- I left out robocalls as well, that was one
9 critical component of our outreach as well. So thank you.

10 Wes McNealy: And that was at the request of the -

11 Donna Cooper: That was at the request of the CAG. So as I
12 noted, it's an evolving process, we're very open. We don't
13 always get it right the first time, but definitely we're open
14 and we want to make sure that the community is engaged as well
15 as informed. Going back to what Wesley said in terms of --
16 before we get to the question and answer period, what we're
17 asking is that each individual if possible come to the
18 microphone, if not we can bring it to you, identify yourself and
19 present your question at that time and we will respond. If we
20 cannot answer your question today, we will get back to you and
21 follow up with you. At this point in time I would like to
22 recognize Dr. Miller. And let me note, I don't have all of her

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

37

1 information, but her resume is extremely extensive and I just
2 wanted to welcome her. But she is an environmental justice
3 advocate and she was recommended by Dr. Janet Phoenix. And the
4 CAG supported her coming in today to speak with you and provide
5 brief comments. But she has a wide history as it relates to
6 working on environmental matters nationally and potentially
7 internationally. Thank you.

8 Vernice Miller-Travis: Good morning, everybody. So let me say
9 probably the most important thing I could share with you is that
10 I live in Bowie, Maryland now, have lived there for the last 15
11 years. But before that for 44 years I lived in Harlem in New
12 York where I was born and raised and led an environmental
13 justice struggle there against a big facility, a sewage
14 treatment plant that ultimately changed our lives. Next week
15 that organization, West Harlem Environmental Action will be
16 celebrating its 25th anniversary and I'm still on the board. So
17 for 25 years I've been doing what a lot of you are doing about
18 fighting for the interests of the place where I lived, where I
19 grew up, where I was born, where my family still lives. And
20 that is what started my work in this arena. I also did some
21 research for an organization, the United Church of Christ
22 Commission for Racial Justice. And back in 1987 we published a

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

38

1 report entitled toxic waste and race in the United States which
2 was the first report to look at the relationship between where
3 hazardous waste sites were located and their proximity to people
4 of color communities and low income communities and tribal
5 communities, and how that relationship created severe
6 environmental and public health challenges for the people who
7 lived in those communities. So that's just a little bit of my
8 history. I know Dr. Phoenix for many, many, many years. She
9 and I served together on the National Environmental Justice
10 Advisory Council to the Environmental Protection Agency. Janet
11 was the Chair of the Health and Research Subcommittee and I was
12 the Chair of the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee. Now
13 why is that important to you? That's important to you because
14 when I served as a Chair of that Subcommittee, and I should say
15 I'm on the knee jack again, my job was to help EPA understand
16 the relationship between superfund sites, RCRA sites, these are
17 categories of hazardous waste sites. The Brownfield's Program
18 grew up under our tutelage at EPA. How to reuse and cleanup
19 superfund sites so that they can be a cleaned up and redeveloped
20 for future use for communities. All of that work happened under
21 our leadership with EPA. EPA has done extraordinary work.
22 Their Environmental Justice Program is at the Zenith now; it is

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

39

1 the best it has ever been due most largely to the leadership of
2 Administrator Lisa Jackson who just recently stepped down. Why
3 I'm telling you all of this is because all this work has gone on
4 at the national level. We meet the National Environmental
5 Justice Advisory Council which has 25, 50 members who serve from
6 all over the countries in communities like this. We meet in
7 D.C. every -- once a year. We advise the agency on what to do.
8 The agency has stepped up to do really great things. But here
9 in the District of Columbia there seems to be a disconnect
10 between what we're talking about at the national level and
11 what's happening here in this local community. So I want to
12 sort of try to connect where this conversation is nationally and
13 what's happening right here about the Benning Road Pepco
14 facility. So Janet sent me some of the documents and I also
15 went on the Pepco website which is a fairly extraordinary
16 website because it has so much information on it. The challenge
17 about the information, though, is that unless you have a
18 technical background like I do and like Dr. Phoenix does, you
19 could look at those documents until your eyes pop out of your
20 head and it's not going to make any difference to you. People
21 have come up and told you wonderful things and some of those
22 wonderful things I have some questions about and you have

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

40

1 already expressed some questions about. And I think we need to
2 have EPA Region 3 more engaged in this process. So this is a
3 consent decree essentially between Pepco and the District
4 Department of the Environment, but you -- I think you all
5 understand and have probably heard that EPA Region 3 and EPA
6 headquarters have had to sign off on this consent decree because
7 of the nature of the size of this facility and the contamination
8 that occurred here. So I looked at some of the material. By no
9 means was I able to go through all of the technical material.
10 But I looked at some of the stuff that jumped up at me. And one
11 of the most important documents was the sampling and analysis
12 plan which we just had a bit of a review of how that's going on.
13 So here's a question that communities ask all over the country,
14 you all have asked this question, it is a really legitimate
15 question and it needs to be answered. And at least sitting in the
16 conversation today I don't think it has been answered thus far
17 and you need to keep going until you get an answer, right? And
18 that is given the site analysis plan and a footprint of where
19 Pepco and DDOE and AECOM are looking at contamination, the
20 footprint is only about the plant itself and that property and
21 adjacent parts of the Anacostia River. So the focus is what has
22 been the environmental impact on the Anacostia River? The only

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

41

1 piece of human health that's being looked at is for those people
2 who are anglers or who use the Anacostia River or fish out of
3 the Anacostia River and eat that fish, are they being impacted.
4 That's only one method of potential exposure to contaminants at
5 the site, right. And it's probably the least likely measure of
6 exposure. How many people here are actively fishing in the
7 Anacostia River? You need to stop. How many people are eating
8 fish in this room out of the Anacostia River? We should talk.
9 So everybody knows there's been a lot of great work done by the
10 Anacostia Water Shed Society, by Ground Work Anacostia, by
11 Sierra Club, particularly the earth and the work that's going on
12 here. So you all know that one of the reasons we're focused on
13 trying to clean up the river is because it's such an important
14 environmental benefit. I live in Maryland, we all care deeply
15 and passionately about the Chesapeake Bay, the Anacostia River
16 is a major tributary to the Potomac, which is a major tributary
17 to the Chesapeake. We're all eating them crabs, we all care
18 about what happens to the Anacostia. Now I recognize this is a
19 new thing, everybody didn't always care about the Anacostia
20 River or the communities that border the Anacostia River. But
21 there's passion about the Anacostia River. Well I think what
22 you're asking is can we get a little of that passion focused on

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

42

1 the people who live in the footprint of this facility. That is
2 not the only way that people were impacted. So one of the
3 questions I have is in looking at the documents, and again I did
4 not do an exhaustive, thorough analysis of every piece of
5 technical analysis that's been done, or the work plan or the
6 feasibility study. I skimmed it; I did not do a thorough
7 analysis. But one thing I see that is not there is an air
8 deposition study. And what is an air deposition study and why
9 is that important? Because this was a power plant that had
10 stacks. And from those stacks up to a certain point it was a
11 coal-fired power plant. From 1906 until I believe some time
12 in the 80's. And then it be -

13 Male 5: Seventies.

14 Vernice Miller-Travis: -- until the 70's. And then it
15 became a petrol chemical oil fire power plant, is that right?
16 So from 1906 to some point in the 70's the plant was burning coal.
17 The coal emissions were emitted through the stacks. Those
18 emissions dispersed. Some went way up in the atmosphere, some
19 went further, some went at ground level and came down. When EPA
20 usually does a cleanup process like this, they do soil sampling,
21 they do ground water contamination sampling or to see if there's
22 been any contamination of ground water. But if there were any

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

43

1 emissions that were ambient, meaning that went into the air, EPA
2 also asked that an air deposition study be conducted. And the
3 reason for that is because if there's ecological areas nearby,
4 if there's farmland, if there's parkland, if there are rivers,
5 you want to know if any of those emissions affected that area.
6 If there are human populations nearby you want to know if any of
7 those emissions affected those nearby populations. Are there
8 sediments still in the soil? And so that you know I work for a
9 firm, though I'm not here on behalf of my firm today, called
10 Skeo Solutions. We do a lot of technical analysis for EPA. I
11 manage community advisory groups for EPA at superfund sites
12 around the country. And I provide technical assistance to those
13 groups so that they can be partners with EPA and whatever the
14 cleanup process is. But the way that those community advisory
15 groups work is slightly different from the way that you are
16 working so far. That community advisory group is a partner with
17 the environmental protection agency in reviewing all the
18 technical analysis that's done. They get support from EPA
19 independent of EPA -- and that's what our firm does -- to do the
20 technical review of documents to make sure that people
21 understand every single word of what is being put into the
22 record. That they can ask whatever questions they want to ask

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

44

1 and those questions have to be answered to the satisfaction of
2 the community. So just watching the dynamic of what's going on
3 so far this morning, you're receiving a lot of information, you
4 will ask some questions, your questions will be answered they
5 may not be answered to your satisfaction, and then we move on.
6 That is not the way a traditional community advisory group
7 functions. A community advisory group, under the EPA -- and
8 this is not an EPA cleanup, let's be clear -- this is a District
9 Department of the Environment and a Pepco cleanup, it's not an
10 EPA cleanup. But if this were an EPA cleanup you would have a
11 much more important and significant role in that process. And
12 you would be the main conduit by which the cleanup would be
13 communicated to the public at large. And any questions that you
14 have would have to be answered and answered to your
15 satisfaction. So I brought a document, I'm going to pass it
16 around the room. This is the Community Advisory Toolkit that
17 our company and EPA developed together to give to people who
18 serve on community advisory groups so that they know what their
19 responsibilities are. You have a lot of responsibilities when
20 you serve on a community advisory group. And you have to be
21 able to make a long-term commitment because this is a long-term
22 process. It took a long time for this to happen, it's going to

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

45

1 take a long time before it gets cleaned up. And people who
2 serve in a community advisory group have to be such that their
3 level of commitment and the time commitment that they make on a
4 personal level is such that they agree to sit at the table for
5 as long as it takes. And if for any reason that they can't sit
6 at the table, if there's illness in their family, if there's a
7 process, if they have to move out of town, they bring someone to
8 sit in that seat to replace them. And that community advisory
9 group is the constant back and forth between the regulatory
10 agencies and the cleanup that's gone on in the community at
11 large. Not "I'll get back to you if I think I need to get back
12 to you." I get back to you because I must get back to you
13 because you are a partner in the process. And so I want to
14 suggest to you -- hold on a second -- I want to suggest to you
15 that you want to continue to dialogue about this community
16 advisory group and that you have a dialogue with DDOE and with
17 Pepco and EPA Region 3 about establishing this as a community
18 advisory group that comports with the standards that EPA has put
19 in place for community advisory groups at contaminated sites.
20 This is a little loosey-goosey. And I think it's -- part of the
21 reason why it's loosy-goosy is because it's not a superfund
22 site. If it was a superfund site designated as a superfund

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

46

1 site, then you would have a whole other layer of information of
2 technical assistance, et cetera, that would come to you.
3 Another thing I want to recommend to you that EPA does -- and
4 you can ask EPA for this support -- I'm suggesting that Janet
5 and other members of the community advisory group reach out to
6 Reggie Harris who is the Environmental Justice Coordinator for
7 EPA Region 3. We live in EPA Region 3 though they're based in
8 Philadelphia. To ask for support under the technical assistance
9 support to communities program. And that is a program that
10 EPA's Office of Environmental Justice runs where they provide
11 the resources for technical experts to come and to work directly
12 on behalf of the community to go through a process like this.
13 Now I remember 28 years when we were dealing with stuff like
14 this at the sewerage treatment plant across the street from
15 where I lived in New York. And I remember it was three years
16 before -- and I'm a fairly intelligent person I'd like to think.
17 But I would look at the information that they were putting out
18 there and I could not make heads or tails of it. I couldn't
19 understand what they were talking about because I was not an
20 engineer. And I did not have a real deep background in water
21 pollution issues. However, today there is sort of nothing you
22 can ask me about a sewerage treatment plant that I don't know.

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

47

1 And the reason I'm telling you that is not that I ever went to
2 engineering school, I went to planning school. But the reason
3 that I'm telling you is that when your community, when your
4 family, when your life is at risk and at stake there is no
5 information that you can't absorb. And I'm telling that because
6 I want you to know that even though it may look daunting, it
7 may look like it's this volume of information. "How am I
8 supposed to process that? How am I supposed to make heads or
9 tails?" You can make heads or tails of it and you will make
10 heads or tails of it, especially if you have your own advisors
11 who are helping you go through the technical analysis. Can you
12 do it by yourself? Yes, you could. It's laborious, but you can
13 do it. And I want everybody in the room to recognize that.
14 There's going to be a mountain of technical information that
15 continues to flow through this process. You need to understand
16 that information so that you can ask questions that are
17 informed. And then you can debate with the people who are
18 giving you the answers to the questions whether or not the
19 process and the way that they're moving forward is acceptable to
20 you. And that's what important that it's acceptable to you.
21 Not that it's just acceptable to the agencies who are entered
22 into the consent decree but presumably they've entered into the

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

48

1 consent decree to protect the interests of the people who live
2 in this community and the nearby communities, everybody. Janet
3 told me that in looking at the footprint of the plan and then
4 sort of doing a radius around the plan, normally we'd do
5 anywhere from half, a quarter of a mile to two miles or two and
6 a half miles. Just in a two mile radius of this facility 33,000
7 people live here, 33,000 people. And 33,000 people potentially
8 have had some impacts from that plant. It may not be from the
9 PCB's but it certainly could have been from the ambient
10 emissions that came out of the plant for those decades that the
11 plant operated. So we need to be looking at both things. And
12 I'm just a little curious as to why the focus is exclusively on
13 the Anacostia River. Because the Anacostia River is not the
14 only entity that was impacted by the operations of this
15 facility. So of course, again, we love our Anacostia River and
16 we want to see it cleaned up and we want to see it be back to
17 the pristine body of water that it used to be. Where people did
18 fish and swim in the Anacostia River. And we want to see that
19 and we want to still be alive when that happens. But we also
20 want to see other areas investigated. So when you look at the
21 footprint of the boring sampling -- and that's why I asked you
22 the question, asked you to repeat the answer to the question in

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

49

1 terms of where you have the boring samples. And you said that
2 if you see elevated numbers at the perimeter sites, that that
3 may indicate that you need to go further into the residential
4 areas. I would say that I think you just need to jump ahead and
5 at least do some sampling, some bore samples and not the degree
6 of complexity that you've already done on the site. But you
7 need to do some samples to give people a sense of comfort that
8 one, you're looking at what possibly could have migrated off
9 into the residential areas and two, that they're being heard.
10 And those samples may give you an indication that there's more
11 that needs to be done. But without doing any there's no way
12 that you can give these folks a satisfactory answer that we have
13 looked at the public health impacts that could have migrated off
14 this site and we have extensively evaluated them and we can tell
15 you beyond a shadow of a doubt that there are no public health
16 or environmental impacts that have spread into the nearby
17 communities around this plant. No one can say that at this
18 point because nobody has done any sampling. So there's just no
19 way to answer that question. And as I listen to folks that
20 seems to be the paramount question that people have in every
21 community where there's been a waste facility or a power plant
22 or anything that's contributed to adverse environmental

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

50

1 conditions. Every single community regardless of their race,
2 income or class, they want to know have we been impacted? Is
3 there something that I should be doing? Should I still be
4 working in my garden? Should our children still be playing
5 outside in the parks? Should they be digging in the soil?
6 Should they be walking along the river banks? All of these
7 issues and questions are really, really, really important. And
8 until you get some sampling analysis that can answer those
9 questions, nobody up here can give you a definitive answer one
10 way or the other and that seems to be really important. Ma'am,
11 you have a question.

12 Kathy Henderson: Dr. Dixon -

13 Vernice Miller-Travis: Vernice Miller-Travis.

14 Kathy Henderson: Vernice Miller -- Here's Dr. Dixon.

15 Vernice Miller-Travis: Dr. Phoenix.

16 Kathy Henderson: Phoenix, Dr. Phoenix. Oh, I'm sorry. I
17 misunderstood.

18 Vernice Miller-Travis: Yes.

19 Kathy Henderson: Has there been -- you made a lot of reference,
20 I thought you were actually Dr. Dixon from -

21 [OVERLAY]

22 Female 4: Oh.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

51

1 [OVERLAY]

2 Female 4: Thank you. Okay.

3 Kathy Henderson: Kathy Henderson, Advisory Neighborhood
4 Commissioner for 5D. Thank you.

5 Vernice Miller-Travis: Hi, Kathy.

6 Kathy Henderson: Good morning everyone.

7 Vernice Miller-Travis: Hi Kathy.

8 Kathy Henderson: It's not on? Can you hear me now?

9 Vernice Miller-Travis: Yes.

10 Kathy Henderson: Okay, that's better. You made a lot of
11 excellent points and obviously you're an excellent speaker. And
12 you're very passionate about the issues and I think that's
13 certainly what we need and we appreciate that.

14 Vernice Miller-Travis: Uh-huh.

15 Kathy Henderson: You made a lot of references to the soil.

16 Vernice Miller-Travis: Uh-huh.

17 Kathy Henderson: The soil in the District of Columbia. And I
18 thought I heard Dr. Dixon so I was wondering if you were the -

19 Vernice Miller-Travis: No. I was referring to Dr. Phoenix.

20 Kathy Henderson: Yes. Because I think a Dr. Freddy Dixon
21 actually did a soil analysis.

22 Vernice Miller-Travis: Okay.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

52

1 Kathy Henderson: A thorough soil analysis in the District of
2 Columbia. And made some really startling conclusions in terms
3 of soil being poisonous in the District of Columbia. So the
4 impact of how far reaching this particular contamination site --
5 and there are others, I'm not certainly blaming Pepco for having
6 a monopoly on contamination sites. But I think that is, it's a
7 serious impact and that we need to explore that. And my
8 question is has there been any collaboration with UDC since they
9 have that extension service that talks about the soil, and in
10 reference to this particular consent decree and cleanup effort?

11 Vernice Miller-Travis: I don't know the answer to that. Do
12 you?

13 [OVERLAY]

14 Vernice Miller-Travis: Hi Paul.

15 Paul Connor: Excuse me. Vernice. Hi.

16 Vernice Miller-Travis: How you doing?

17 Paul Connor: Vernice and I go way back. We know each other
18 from years ago. Let me do the best I can to answer the question
19 that Kathy asked. I am not aware of any communication and
20 collaboration with a UDC study of soil. I am familiar with
21 other studies of soil generally in the district. So I'd love to
22 follow up with you on that. Okay.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

53

1 Kathy Henderson: Thank you.

2 Paul Connor: So if we could ask you to identify yourself and
3 maybe speak into the microphone.

4 Prophetess Andrea: I just had a simple question. Good morning.
5 Yeah. Good morning everyone, my name is Prophetess Andrea.
6 And I live in Ward 7 and I just have a couple simple concerns
7 because what I heard is that the Pepco has a representative that
8 have gone to the DC Superior court on behalf of the issues that
9 we're having. But I haven't heard where the components are that
10 represents us. Because I would be concerned about what the
11 health effects are for the people that live in these
12 communities. And I know we have ANC's and all of these people
13 -- some are not even here today to represent us because I would
14 be concerned after living in this community for over 15 years
15 that I didn't even get a notice of this meeting. And the only
16 reason that I'm here is because there was a notice given to one
17 of my people that work with me that lives in Alexandria,
18 Virginia. So I was just concerned on how they got a notice in
19 Alexandria, Virginia when I live right here at 50649th Place
20 Northeast and I've never got a notice. So I'm very concerned
21 about that. And finally I'm concerned about who oversees the
22 condition of the people's health. Because I know that I don't

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

54

1 understand all the technicalities that are happening here. But
2 my common question would be is the water I'm drinking -- is
3 something wrong with that? Or I am I breathing anything -- is
4 there anything in the air that's going to make me itch or make
5 me probable to have cancer later on? Or is it going to affect
6 my children and my children's children? And that's what I would
7 like to have an answer to. And thank you very much.

8 Vernice Miller-Travis: Thank you. So just one idea in direct
9 response to her question. You need a health impact assessment.
10 That is clearly needed here. Who helps to develop that? You
11 all have to talk about that, but clearly there's a role for DC
12 government. There's a role for EPA Region 3. There may or may
13 not be a role for Pepco because you want that to be an
14 independent assessment that everyone can have comfort that all
15 the issues have been looked at, all the issues have been
16 addressed and that everyone is satisfied with what that health
17 assessment indicates. But I think the circumstances are
18 screaming out for that. And so let's just be honest and be fair
19 about sort of where we are. In 1906, people did not have a
20 really clear understanding of what the impact of a coal fired
21 power plant was going to be. And I'll just put my cards on the
22 table. I'm in the camp with Irv Sheffey and Sierra club. We're

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

55

1 trying to stamp out coal fired power plants because they have
2 such an adverse effect on people's health. That's why. And we
3 think we need to go to safer, greener technologies in terms of
4 how we get our energy and our power. So I want everybody to be
5 clear that that's where I'm coming from. I'm not advocating for
6 coal fired power plants. The reason that I take the stand that
7 I take is because we have done so much analysis and we have so
8 much evidence about how being exposed to the emissions from coal
9 fired power plants have adversely affected peoples health. Now
10 this plant switched over to oil 30 years ago. But those
11 sediment emissions from the coal fired power plant could very
12 much still be in the area. Who digs up eight feet of soil in
13 their own property? Nobody. People just don't do that. So
14 that's one element of work that has to be done. That could be
15 identified in a health risk assessment. The air pollution
16 impacts from the plant and the air dispersion study that I
17 talked about, you need to know how far did the plant impact?
18 What are the impacts now? How are they still being born by the
19 population? Now one of the challenges you have -- and I did see
20 this referenced in some of the material -- is that you live in a
21 classic environmental justice community, classic. Not just
22 because it's majority people of color. But classic because

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

56

1 there's a superfund site over there. And there's an NPL site
2 down there. And then there was a power plant here. The
3 concentration of facilities that impact the environment and it
4 could adversely impact peoples health is just a classic
5 environmental justice scenario. So what that means though is
6 that it's going to be difficult to footprint what pieces of
7 contamination came from where. And I noticed that that was
8 referenced in the materials. And that is a real scientific
9 conundrum. If you have several facilities operating in one
10 geographic location and they're all emitting pollution,
11 sometimes it could be really hard to determine which site caused
12 which thing? But at this point, you're not really as concerned
13 about that as you are concerned about what environmental impacts
14 are off the site are in the residential areas, are where
15 children are going to school, are where it's heavily trafficked
16 in terms of people walking. What are the residual environmental
17 impacts in those places that may still be affecting the quality
18 of our lives? That's the information that you want to know.
19 Pepco may have some contribution to that, but that's a bigger
20 conversation. And that's a conversation for you all to have
21 with the District Department of the Environment. There's the
22 issues around Pepco. And there's the issues around the broader

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

57

1 quality of life and environmental quality in this community.
2 There are a lot of groups that are working on that, but now the
3 Pepco cleanup has gotten to a point where you're really, really,
4 really interested and concerned. You want to know. And you
5 want answers to your questions. And so your Community Advisory
6 Group process is a really excellent way to get that information.
7 But I would just suggest to you that the relationship between
8 the Community Advisory Group and the folks who are doing the
9 cleanup and the investigation or remediation can be shaped a
10 little differently. It doesn't have to just be they do the
11 work. They set out the parameters for the cleanup. They report
12 back to you what's happening. It could be a more dynamic and
13 interactive process. And the places and communities that I
14 work, where the communities are a part of the process it's a
15 much more effective process. It works better for the regulative
16 community because you're partners in the process. You're not
17 just hearing back from what people are telling you is going on.
18 You help to look at, "Well this is where we think you should be
19 doing some bore samplings." And you get to be a part of that
20 process in laying out what that feasibility study looks like.
21 So I would suggest to you that there are some opportunities to
22 really work more closely together, to get more of your questions

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

58

1 answered. But I also think that you need your own technical
2 assistance so that you can independently evaluate the
3 information that's coming forward. But you are on the right
4 path. And I can't tell you how gratifying it is to see this
5 many people come out on a Saturday morning so deeply concerned
6 about what's going on at this facility. Thank you so much.

7 Wes McNealy: Thank you. Thank you, Vernice. And let me just
8 kind of pull us back into the here and present now. That was a
9 very inspiring speech and I certainly take it to heart. And
10 she's inspired me to really stay committed to doing this,
11 investigation and doing it right. We are the power provider to
12 the nation's capital. We want to be viewed as a role model in
13 the nation's capital in how to mitigate and cleanup sites. So
14 we intend to be there to work through these issues. We're not
15 going to resolve every single question that was raised. But we
16 are I think off to a good start. We're going to get some good,
17 sound science that will help inform in future decisions. And I
18 do welcome -- and I think Paul will come up next and second this
19 -- we do welcome not only the community involvement, but EPA
20 involvement as well. And I'll cite an EPA study of air
21 deposition from coal fired power plants. That's something that
22 I as a chemical engineer have looked at, our experts in the

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

59

1 field have looked at. And the pathway from air emissions to
2 soil -- it didn't warrant -- according to EPA headquarters, it
3 didn't warrant that type of investigation in this neighborhood
4 for us right now. We can have EPA come in and speak to that.
5 They can cite their studies. But again, we have turned off the
6 power plant. I feel proud of that. The power plant has been
7 retired, decommissioned. We've invested a lot of money in this
8 facility so that the power plant does not need to come back on
9 in the future. We are making preparations to decommission and
10 give up the permits to operate the power plant. So in terms of
11 that impact that neighbors could see and breath and feel, I feel
12 good about what that goes down in terms of decommissioning the
13 power plant. And I feel good about the work that we're doing as
14 a group with the communities input to look at soil, sediment,
15 river, water quality that's not going to answer every question.
16 But I think it's going to help get us moving in the right
17 direction. The other point I want to make very briefly is the
18 Pepco site is one of six sites that's being studied or
19 remediated along the river. So there is a lot of science, a lot
20 of EPA involvement. There's a lot of additional technical
21 oversight that I want everybody in this room to be aware of.

22 Female 5: And like I said, I live in Maryland. And we love

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

60

1 the focus on cleanup of the Anacostia River. But that is not the
2 only ecological and public health impact. The people also have
3 more of an impact.

4 Male 6: Right.

5 Female 5: We're all focused on the river. But we're not
6 focused on the people. And that's the only point I was trying
7 to get for us today.

8 Paul Connor: I'd like to add my thanks to Miss Miller for
9 showing up today. There's a woman standing in the middle who's
10 been very patient. So let's take some questions.

11 Deborah Grimstad: My name is Deborah Grimstad. I was raised
12 in River Terrace and I still have relatives and extended family who
13 live in River Terrace. My comment for Pepco is that at these
14 events and future events you might want to highlight the
15 transcripts from the previous month's meeting. Folks may not be
16 aware that the transcripts include answers to questions that
17 were asked at the meeting by the general public. The gentleman
18 behind me, the short answer to your question is no. You can
19 talk to me later and I can give you the long winded version of
20 that. Quick questions, do you need the public's help with
21 getting the National Park Service through its bureaucratic
22 process to get you the permits you need? Because I can call

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

61

1 Congresswoman Norton's office.

2 Paul Connor: Maybe I should let Wes speak to that. But I know
3 that they're in the process of seeking those permits. I suppose
4 they would welcome any help that anybody would have to offer to
5 secure those permits from the park service. I do know though
6 that generally Pepco has made very good progress on securing the
7 permits that they need for the project.

8 Deborah Grimstad: Okay. Another question is, you've already
9 started your testing and there must be some results that have
10 already come from that testing. Are you distributing to the
11 public bits and pieces of the results? Or do we have to wait
12 until the whole [PH] magoola's done?

13 Wes McNealy: Yeah. I'm going to let Ravi respond to that
14 because we did anticipate that question. And we've gone through
15 these types of studies, including superfund studies and Ravi
16 can respond to that.

17 Ravi Damera: The data as it comes out of for example the ERI
18 study -- the electrical scanning study that I showed you -- even
19 I don't understand -

20 Deborah Grimstad: What it means.

21 Ravi Damera: What it means.

22 Male 6: At this point.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

62

1 Ravi Damera: So the experts have to put their heads together
2 and say this electrical signal means this. And that
3 interpretation takes time. So the detailed information will be
4 in the report. But if we get any additional information before
5 the next meeting, we can definitely talk about that.

6 Deborah Grimstad: Okay. Your project milestone schedule sheet
7 lists May 24th as status report to the court. Is that a paper
8 document or is that something that happens live in a courtroom
9 venue?

10 Wes McNealy: Paul will take that question.

11 Paul Connor: The judge when she entered the consent decree set
12 a hearing 18 months after the finalization of the consent decree
13 where she is calling all the parties. So that's DDOE and Pepco
14 into her courtroom and I think what she's hoping to hear is that
15 this project in the consent decree will be done. Now it won't
16 quite be finished because I think we've given you the status
17 update today. I think that we will be able to show her that a
18 lot of good work has been done and that we're making good
19 progress. But it's not going to be done by May. But that will
20 be a court hearing. It is open to the public if you want to
21 attend.

22 Deborah Grimstad: On your website can you post the address for

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

63

1 the court, the location, all that stuff?

2 Paul Connor: Yes. Absolutely. Great suggestion. Thank you.

3 Deborah Grimstad: That's it.

4 Paul Connor: Thank you.

5 Greg Rett: Good morning.

6 Wes McNealy: Good morning.

7 Greg Rett: My name is Greg Rett and I'm actually a resident of
8 Eastland Gardens which is just north and to the east of the
9 actual site. I came here because as a father with children my
10 concern is the public health impact. What did not see on your
11 website is any reports on an assessment to the actual health of
12 human beings. What I've seen in your technical report is an
13 assessment of an area that's very limited. But I have not heard
14 one time the mention of the fact that directly across the street
15 from that site is an elementary school and an early childhood
16 development center. With the closure of this particular school
17 and Kenilworth elementary school, we're now sending more
18 children to this directly impacted area. From my standpoint my
19 question is, the district government is supposed to be looking
20 out for our health. Pepco's doing their part for the damage
21 that they may or may not have done for pollutants. But who's
22 connecting the dots to say okay this has had an impact on the

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

64

1 health of people that live in this community? I live in this
2 community. Is my health not important?

3 Paul Connor: Your question is a fundamental one and I
4 appreciate it. And you're probably right that it was an
5 oversight for us to not talk about the risk assessments that
6 will be done as part of this process. But both a human health
7 risk assessment as well as an ecological risk assessment will be
8 performed as part of this investigation.

9 Greg Rett: And this assessment now just for the record, this
10 is not new. The late Mr. George Gurley who lived in this
11 community for years called for a health assessment. He
12 petitioned and got what prior to agency, there was the
13 Environmental Health Administration within the department of
14 health that was supposed to undertake that. You spun off from
15 the Environmental Health Administration to the Department of
16 Environment.

17 Paul Connor: Right.

18 Greg Rett: My question that's why I'm directing it to you, at
19 what point will you do your job and protect district citizens
20 and insist that a public health assessment be done?

21 Paul Connor: This process requires a risk assessment to human
22 health. That will be done. And -

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

65

1 Greg Rett: The timeline.

2 Paul Connor: Well it will be done in the timeframe of the
3 remedial investigation. The field work for that is just
4 beginning. My personal hope is that the remedial investigation
5 will be wrapped up sometime in calendar year 2013. So it should
6 be done this year.

7 Greg Rett: So the methodologies have already been determined
8 as far as how you're going to do that assessment?

9 Paul Connor: Well the methodologies for a risk assessment are
10 fairly well established and those are a part of the superfund
11 program. Miss Miller mentioned that. So those procedures are
12 already in place. And Pepco's consultant knows those very well.

13 Greg Rett: All right.

14 Ravi Damera: And if I may add to that, we have a detailed
15 human health risk assessment work plan that spells out how we're
16 going to perform the risk assessment. And there is an
17 ecological risk assessment work plan. Those two are part of the
18 overall RI/FS work plan. They're all available on the websites.
19 And these were reviewed by DDOE and approved.

20 Greg Rett: All right. Well it's good to know this. But I
21 would suggest you all maybe find a way to take that up a notch
22 to let us know. Because again, I live here. I have no choice.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

66

1 My children live here. And it's great that you're boring around
2 the plant. But the ground water, the sewer, the air, overflow
3 into the river -- that's impacting my health. I know that for a
4 fact. And so I need to know that you all are going to look at
5 the health impact and going to do a real scientific health
6 assessment for this. So that's all I wanted to make sure for
7 the record. Thank you.

8 Wes McNealy: Thank you sir. And again, the Pepco study is one
9 of six. There are some other studies that have been done,
10 completed. One at the Kenilworth landfill, just upstream of
11 Pepco. The other downstream of Pepco at the US Navy yard all
12 handled by superfund protocol. Those are the types of standards
13 that we're going to follow. And those reports have been done.
14 And they might also give you some good information in terms of
15 the health of the community and at large. So one other thing, I
16 just wanted to make sure that to the point about George Gurley
17 -- as a direct result -- and I think I've mentioned this in the
18 previous meetings of the advocacy of Mr. Gurley, there was not
19 one but two studies of air inhalation risks done by the agency
20 for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. And those are also
21 available on the website; give you some sense of health
22 conditions. Go ahead.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

67

1 Michelle Thomas: Hi. My name is Michelle Thomas. I'm a
2 resident here in Deanwood. One of things I want you to explain
3 -- can you hear me? One of the things I want you to explain is
4 what is a superfund?

5 Paul Connor: I'll take a crack at that. Superfund is a short
6 hand word that's used to refer to the Environmental Protection
7 Agencies Cleanup program for cleaning up contaminated property.
8 And it's legislation that was passed in 1980. The reason it's
9 called superfund is because in 1980 they created a big trust
10 fund of money in the department of treasury that was supposed to
11 pay for the cleanup of all the contaminated property across the
12 country. They ran through that money in the first few years.
13 But the name superfund has stuck with the program. So some
14 people will refer to a site as a superfund site. And that can
15 actually mean several things. EPA has a list of priority sites
16 that it focuses on. And those are called National Priorities
17 List Sites. The Pepco Benning Road Facility is not on EPA's
18 list of national priorities. However, this is a good
19 opportunity for me to address Region 3's participation. Miss
20 Miller mentioned it. EPA Region 3 has reviewed the consent
21 decree. They've reviewed the work plan. Although they are not
22 a signatory to the consent decree, they're not a formal party in

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

68

1 the oversight; they are tracking what's going on and what we are
2 doing very carefully. I've had some extensive discussions with
3 Region 3. And I am convinced that they would be much more
4 involved if they weren't confident that DDOE and Pepco were
5 doing this work properly. Because if they had any questions
6 about that, they can and they would step in. And they haven't
7 done that yet.

8 Female 6: So if I could just add to his description of a
9 superfund site. So a superfund site are the sites that the
10 Environmental Protection Agency determines to be the most
11 harmful and most contaminated sites that pose imminent risk to
12 human health and the environment. And they're so dangerous that
13 immediate attention or some attention needs to be given to the
14 cleanup of those sites. So they have a range of contaminated
15 sites. A superfund site is the most contaminated site than
16 they're RCRA sites, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
17 sites. Then there are Brownfield sites, which are supposed to
18 be much less contaminated. But a superfund site is a site that
19 the federal government has determined poses imminent risk to
20 human health and the environment. So and once that designation
21 gets put forward you know that you're in a serious situation.
22 And this is not a superfund site, the Pepco plant, but there are

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

69

1 NPL sites in the neighborhood.

2 Female 7: Nearby.

3 Female 6: Yeah, nearby.

4 Wes McNealy: And ma'am to that point, EPA did hire a
5 contractor

6 -- you might hear it referred to the Tetra Tech report -- EPA
7 had a contractor that came out and did a lot of sampling on the
8 Pepco site and on the river a couple years ago. They developed
9 a report. They made some recommendations to EPA. And EPA based
10 on what they saw in their own consultant's report did not think
11 that the hazard rose to that level of risk. So that gives me
12 some confidence that the risk is manageable and we don't need to
13 -

14 Female 6: I have another statement or question.

15 [OVERLAY]

16 Female 6: I know. I got you. I got another question or
17 statement. There was a statement that you made that EPA did not
18 feel or it didn't meet the guidelines to go any further and
19 study beyond just the river and the area. So maybe you can post
20 what the guidelines are, the reason why and why the District of
21 Columbia because I look at PBS all the time and I've seen where
22 they've gone to other places and have done environmental -- and

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

70

1 they always check everything beyond just the immediate area as
2 far as how it affects the health, how it affects the soil, how
3 it affects the air as opposed to just focus on that little area.
4 The other thing that I want to know -- and real estate is
5 precious in this area and District of Columbia. You're talking
6 about tearing this down? What are they going to do with that
7 land? What's the -- I know there's a long range plan. There's
8 nothing short range about anything that's being done.

9 Wes McNealy: Yeah. Let me deal with both questions, first EPA
10 and how did we come up with the boundaries. Again, EPA hired a
11 contractor Tetra Tech. They did a lot of sampling on the
12 ground, in the river. This was also part of a broader river
13 wide sampling program. Based on what they found when they
14 sampled, we sat down with the experts and the consultants and
15 the District of Columbia experts and we drew some boundaries.
16 We even expanded the boundaries after a lot of back and forth
17 and consideration. So we think we've captured -- based on the
18 data that EPA and their consultant have already provided, we've
19 set some boundaries that we think will make sense for the first
20 step of this.

21 Female 6: You said that. And this lady had said that there
22 was nothing done with the air. But I want to know what are the

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

71

1 guidelines that EPA sets for depending on how far they go? In
2 other words something you can post, put in print as far as the
3 guideline.

4 Paul Connor: This stuff is on our website.

5 Female 6: You may not be able to go through all of it now.
6 But that'll be something for people to see.

7 Wes McNealy: Sure.

8 Female 6: Because there are certain guidelines as you say for
9 a superfund that you have to go into to find out to qualify it as
10 a superfund.

11 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

12 Female 6: And then there are certain guidelines -- there's
13 guidelines everywhere -- there's certain guidelines that go for
14 the next level down and down to the brown.

15 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

16 Female 6: So what they're considered, what you look at and
17 that's how far you go. And like I said, what are they going to
18 do with this land? Because you're talking about demolishing the
19 -

20 Wes McNealy: Yeah. Let me -

21 Female 6: Because you're not just going to let it sit.

22 Wes McNealy: That's an excellent question. And I want to be

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

72

1 very direct in responding to that. Pepco has no intention of
2 redeveloping the land into anything other than a Pepco facility.
3 We've got about 800 people that continue to work at the Pepco
4 Benning Road facility. We've got a lot of very important power
5 delivery equipment -- the equipment that helps us bring power
6 into the city and feed all of our underground network. We've
7 invested just in the past few years millions of dollars
8 upgrading all of that power delivery equipment so that the power
9 plant could shut down. This is the central service center for
10 Pepco in the District of Columbia. So anytime you call customer
11 service and you want someone on a truck to come out and check,
12 they're rolling out of Benning. We've got an engineering
13 function that's housed there. We've got about 150 engineers
14 that support building, improving, maintaining the electric
15 system. So we're not planning to move out of there. We're not
16 planning to parce it off and redevelop it and sell it. The only
17 thing that we are committed to doing right now -- and I'll just
18 walk away from the mic and point to the picture, the cooling
19 towers and the fuel tanks that supported the power plant those
20 will be completely decommissioned, cleaned up, removed, taken
21 off the site. We have also a decommissioning plan for the power
22 plant building. We don't plan at this point to actually

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

73

1 demolish it. But we are getting quotes and understanding what
2 it would cost and how long it would take if we were to demolish.
3 But if we did demolish the power plant, it would stay within
4 the Pepco footprint. We're not planning to subdivide it and
5 sell it to someone else for some other use. So does that answer
6 your question?

7 Female 6: To a degree. But you're probably going to put
8 something in its place.

9 Wes McNealy: If we put something in its place, it would likely
10 be a Pepco office building or something of that sort. We're not
11 involved in any discussions to sell it to a developer for condos
12 or retail or anything of that sort at this point.

13 Female 6: Okay.

14 Wes McNealy: Yeah. That's a very, very important property for
15 Pepco in the District.

16 James Brown: My name is James Brown and I'm a resident of
17 River Terrace here. I would like to point out that I have been
18 impounded by the fact that I had two members of my family with
19 cancer that passed away because of environmental quality being
20 very poor back in the 60's and 50's. My understanding is the
21 last time that I was here going over all the technical
22 information that there is oil deposits under the ground there

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

74

1 and they were found in the oil samples. Am I right? It was oil
2 deposits in the area because of oil spills because they had
3 overturned trains or something that -

4 [INDISCERNIBLE]

5 James Brown: Yeah. In the parking area, in the facilities
6 there.

7 Wes McNealy: Yeah. There were no trains or anything major
8 like that. There were six documented releases of PCB's and it came
9 from either a tank and the pipe leaked. Or a transformer, which
10 is a big piece of electrical equipment, that had a leak. Yeah.

11 James Brown: Okay. And those sediments under the ground there?

12 Wes McNealy: And when those spills occurred, we worked under
13 the direction of EPA and the district government, to clean them
14 up to the cleanup standards that were in place at the time. And
15 that involved, generally speaking it involved digging up dirt,
16 putting it in trucks, shipping it to off-site landfills.

17 James Brown: Well see the reason I ask that is because I know
18 for a fact in this region that whenever it rains hard, some of
19 these on this street right here, some of these places have leaks
20 in their basements.

21 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

22 James Brown: Now I want to know which way does the underground

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

75

1 streams flow that's on the property? Do they run parallel to
2 the river? Or do they run toward the river? Or what portion of
3 the area is impacted because that calls for a need for soil
4 samples around the different areas here that are populated.

5 Ravi Damera: That's a very good question, what we're doing
6 is -- there are two components to that. One is there contamination
7 in the ground water, number one question? And number two
8 question, if there is, where is it moving? Is it moving towards
9 the neighborhoods or towards the river? And that's what --
10 we're addressing both of those components in our study. And
11 we'll have answers in the RI report.

12 James Brown: You don't know where they're going by now, which
13 way the streams are flowing?

14 Ravi Damera: I don't have any information to know one way or
15 the other. We are collecting the information right now to
16 answer those questions.

17 James Brown: To me that means that really soil samples should
18 be taken outside of just the perimeter that you're doing now.

19 [INDISCERNIBLE]

20 Paul Connor: Okay. Thank you.

21 Wes McNealy: Thank you.

22 Russell Cline: Hi, good morning. Hello. Great. Russell

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

76

1 Cline, Parkside Civic Association, Parkside Homeowners
2 Association on the executive boards of both. Couple of quick
3 things, I'm thinking that where Dr. Vernice Miller gave us the
4 rhetorical question who digs in their backyard? Well property
5 developers dig in our backyards with the condos going up and the
6 commercial space being developed. That's digging into the soil.
7 So it's been a question of mine for a while what does it mean
8 that's being released? I realize there's studies done of the
9 soil. That's part one. Part two is the questions that were
10 hinted at earlier or in some ways asked actually fairly
11 directly, health impact survey. So understanding in the
12 community where do you have incidents of disease? How do we
13 determine where we have a pattern or a constellation of people
14 being ill from particular things? Then I would ask Dr. Miller
15 if you can speak briefly to how do you determine when you're
16 evaluating the health impacts in a community between basically
17 stigmatizing a community because eventually if we can get this
18 information, how do we address it and mitigate the problem in a
19 way that now that we've identified we have a high cancer rate in
20 this section or we have a high affliction rate in this section.
21 Well now that we've identified that, now that it has the
22 possibility of stigmatizing that area in terms of property

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

77

1 values, in terms of other social issues, how do we make sure
2 that we assess the problem in a way that we put blame where it
3 belongs and get to a solution?

4 Vernice Miller-Travis: So one thing I'm not a doctor. Second
5 thing -- microphone. So one of the great things about doing
6 this work for 28 years and doing it in collaboration with EPA
7 all this time, is watching the level of technology increase. So
8 25 years ago we were talking about whether or not you could
9 cleanup urban areas that had been gas stations or commercial
10 uses -- could you clean them up such that they could be used for
11 something else. And now we don't think twice about that. Now
12 you can cleanup a superfund site and redevelop it for housing
13 and other things. So there are levels of cleanup. There's
14 technology, there are technological fixes and things that you
15 can do that virtually every site can be cleaned up with the
16 exception of a site where radioactive waste has been found or
17 leaked or leached. That we don't know how to cleanup yet. But
18 everything else, the technology exists to clean it up. So a
19 community does not have to be branded for time immemorial that
20 there's a site here that has adverse environmental conditions
21 and therefore we shouldn't go near it. We used to live in that
22 society. But we don't live in that society anymore. And so

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

78

1 there really is no reason why a plan cannot be identified to
2 remediate and cleanup and then talk about what the future uses
3 of that land is going to be. So that used to be the case once
4 you determine a superfund site, the whole area would really
5 suffer decreased property value, et cetera. But now those sites
6 can be cleaned up and redeveloped. The Navy yard, where the new
7 baseball stadium is, that whole new community over there was a
8 giant superfund site created by the Navy and their operations
9 over there overtime. But look at how that part of DC has
10 exploded into a whole new community. So there isn't any reason
11 why the sites cannot be cleaned up. There needs to be a plan.
12 The community needs to be a part of the plan. And the community
13 needs to be a part of the vision for what the future use of that
14 site, that cleanup would be.

15 Russell Cline: Is there any reason then not to do some kind of
16 a health tracking survey that would have to be publicly funded
17 to determine what are the incidents of disease?

18 Vernice Miller-Travis: Well for those of us who work of the
19 environmental side of the environmental divide, we think
20 that that's critically important element of any assessment of
21 what goes on in a community is to assess what the health impacts
22 are, to assess how far those health impacts go, and then what

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

79

1 you can do to address those adverse health impacts. So don't
2 just leave people suffering, figure out what the nature of the
3 problem is, figure out what to do to interdict the problem and
4 then address the problem. And that's what communities are
5 advocating for.

6 Russell Cline: So what should we be asking the people standing
7 before us in terms of either their direct involvement or their
8 assistance to get that kind of survey done.

9 Vernice Miller-Travis: Well I think one of the things you want
10 to say to EPA Region 3 -- and I agree they would not have signed
11 off on a consent decree if they didn't think that the standards
12 of cleanup that were going to be done would meet their
13 standards. But again, those standards are focused on cleanup
14 at the site and how the contamination has migrated off-site to
15 the Anacostia River. What EPA has not been asked to do is look
16 at and work with the impacted parties to look at what are the
17 potential implications for impact of contamination that's
18 migrated off this site into the residential areas. And I think
19 you really want to ask EPA -- at a national level you require
20 and you are setting these standards to address environmental
21 justice communities. Well if you want this to happen around the
22 country, you certainly need to make sure that you're

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

80

1 consistently operating here in DC. So what they say nationally
2 should be reflected in what gets done here at this site and in
3 this community. And I think you want to put that directly to
4 EPA Region 3.

5 Russell Cline: Okay. Thank you very much.

6 Esternado Fudge: Hello. I'm Esternado Fudge. I don't live in
7 this community. But I do work in this community with the
8 governance center. I had a question and I may have missed
9 something. I'm not quite sure. But according to the Benning
10 consent decree, it looks like January 2011 the consent decree
11 was originally signed in December 1st, 2011. So 12 months later
12 it was approved. The project was approved and entered as a
13 decree which officially commenced the remedial investigation
14 feasibility study. And now I see that it is March and you have
15 to go back to court in May. So that's two months. So my
16 question is what exactly took two years to get the project
17 started?

18 Paul Connor: Let me speak to that. You're right; DDOE
19 completed its discussions and negotiations with Pepco in January
20 2011. And that's when we first filed the document with the
21 court. That starts a public comment period. There was a public
22 comment period. There were some groups that filed motions to

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

81

1 intervene. They wanted to become a part of the actual
2 oversight. And we also received comments on the consent decree.
3 We went back to Pepco. We incorporated some of those. The
4 judge then scheduled a hearing about whether to allow parties to
5 intervene. That was six months after we initially filed the
6 document. So it did take almost a year to go from proposed
7 consent decree to final consent decree. When we finally got the
8 final consent decree in December of 2011, that's when the clock
9 started ticking.

10 Esternado Fudge: Okay.

11 Paul Connor: Okay. Good question. Thank you.

12 George Brown: Good morning. Can you hear me?

13 Paul Connor: Yes.

14 George Brown: My name's George Brown and I'm an ANC
15 commissioner for 7E01. I have two questions. My first question
16 is once you get your permits and you start going into the actual
17 phase of cleaning up, where does that hazardous waste material
18 go? How will it be transported? What route out of the city
19 will it go? Because you cannot transport hazardous material
20 unless you have it in some type of enclosed truck, train,
21 something like that so the contaminants cannot go airborne to
22 contaminate the areas it's going to pass through. Has any plan

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

82

1 been done for that? Number two, along with Mr. James Brown, he
2 touched on something that was very sensitive, if you do find out
3 once you start digging and cleaning up the community areas have
4 been impacted by the environment, is there a plan if need be on
5 how to relocate the citizens in these neighborhoods if they have
6 to be relocated. Because in the nation's capital we have had
7 certain communities that have gone that were found to be
8 contaminated and they had to shut down the neighborhoods and
9 relocate people. Are the same courtesies going to be given for
10 the citizens of River Terrace, Langston, Kenilworth, Eastland
11 Gardens to people like that?

12 Paul Connor: Those are two very good questions. Let me give
13 my answer and then I'll invite Pepco to add to that if they like.
14 Bottom line is you're jumping way ahead. We don't have plans in
15 place because we don't know what the remedy is going to be.

16 George Brown: Okay.

17 Paul Connor: Okay. When we are evaluating cleanup options, a
18 central part of that will be what are the potential exposures as
19 a part of the actual cleanup. If we are hauling soil and taking
20 that away, we will absolutely be looking at where it's going to
21 go, how it's going to be taken there. It does have to be
22 manifested. That is all part of evaluating the cleanup options.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

83

1 And we won't be there for a while yet. So that is going to
2 take a while to get there. So when we're there those questions
3 -- and certainly if it looks like Pepco will be undertaking
4 anything that would create some exposure to nearby residents,
5 then relocation would probably be on the table. But we won't
6 really be getting into those issues until we get to phase two of
7 this, the feasibility study, when we're evaluating the options
8 for a remedy. At some point DDOE is going to decide this is
9 what we want to do here at the Pepco facility and possibly
10 within the river sediments. That's going to go up for public
11 comment. And all of those issues will be identified and
12 discussed. And if there are concerns at that point, you could
13 raise them during the public comment period for the proposed
14 remedy.

15 George Brown: Okay. One last question. Who has complete
16 oversight? Is it DDOE or Pepco?

17 Paul Connor: DDOE has oversight of Pepco's work for this
18 investigation.

19 George Brown: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

20 Paul Connor: Thank you.

21 Jo-Anne Prue: Good afternoon. Jo-Anne Prue, ANC commissioner
22 for 7D04, the River Terrace community. And I do thank you and

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

84

1 welcome Pepco for being here today. I would like to piggyback
2 on Mr. James Brown that spoke about the backup in the homes when
3 the water backs up into the basement of the homes. I grew up
4 here in River Terrace, life-long resident. I moved out. But I
5 moved back. And this has been an ongoing problem. But I want
6 to share my story with you that happened to me about ten years
7 ago. I was not an ANC commissioner then. But I am now. And my
8 concerns was when Mr. Gurley was the ANC commissioner at that
9 time and fighting against Pepco. I had concerns about the
10 Anacostia River. And reason being, I was concerned about the
11 raw sewage back up that was occurring here in several homes in
12 this community. It happened to me. And I'm going to tell you
13 what I did, how long it took me to get results from DC
14 government. I lived in my home under these conditions for one
15 year, of methane gas coming into my home with this raw sewage
16 backup. I was told by certain neighbors that I need to move out
17 and call the health department in. But I refused to do that. I
18 refused to do it because I didn't want my house to be shut down.
19 And I didn't know at that time if my home owners insurance
20 would cover the loss and everything. So I persevered and I
21 stayed there. Well I was off on a Tuesday. And I happened to
22 call [PH] WASF. And when I called WASF -- well prior to calling

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

85

1 WASF, I had called the fire department because my basement had
2 backed up to about three to four feet of water, raw sewage I'm
3 talking about that's floating in the basement, don't have no
4 clue where it's coming from. When the fire department came in,
5 they said there was nothing that they could do about it. So I
6 end up calling WASF and it was a young man that lived in this
7 community that knew me. But I did not know him. And he came
8 out with a crew and they came into my basement and worked all
9 hours of the night to get that raw sewage out of my basement.
10 They were bringing up gallons and gallons and gallons. I had
11 long fireman boots. I had everything on, even the gloves and
12 mask and everything because this is something that really can
13 kill you. I pray to God down the road that I have not been
14 affected by this. Right now I am in good health. My thing is
15 when the city did come out -- and Mr. Brown I want you to know
16 this -- when the city did come out, they had to come into the
17 main stack into the basement, which these are very old homes.
18 And it took them a long time to get that screw that was on that
19 pole. They had to run a snake 150-200 feet underground into the
20 street because it was something that was blocking. They had to
21 find out where this raw sewage was coming from. Okay. Then
22 they had a truck, they had to bring a truck in to a study of the

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

86

1 water flow coming into the house. They had the truck down at
2 the end of the street. I had to go in. They had to come in and
3 check the toilet and everything to see how this water was
4 flowing into the house. As it turned out, they had to come into
5 my front yard and dig six feet down to put in a whole new [PH]
6 cleaning system in order for this raw sewage should not occur
7 again. I have not had any problem since they put in that new
8 cleaning system. And I say this to say, after it happened to
9 me, I started reading it in the newspaper. It happened out in
10 Southeast off of Elmage Street. It happened down in Southwest
11 Washington. It happened over here off of Rhode Island Avenue
12 Northeast. But thank God I didn't have to pay for it. But
13 these people had to come out of pocket and pay about \$6,000 to
14 have that cleaning system put in. I say this, by cleaning up
15 this Anacostia River and the soil testing that needs to be done
16 -- we know that this area is contaminated with something because
17 I grew up in this community. And I remember my mother used to
18 hang clothes on the line. And I do the same thing as I get
19 older I pick up some habits of my parents. It used to be soot
20 coming from the power plant from the stacks that she had to take
21 her clothes off the line and rewash them and hang them on the
22 inside because of the stacks that's blowing out whatever it was

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

87

1 blowing out, the contaminants. But I'm asking you what can be
2 done because you have people in this community that have
3 experienced the same problem that I have and it could be still
4 ongoing. So what happens in this case?

5 Paul Connor: First of all ma'am, I am so sorry you've had to
6 live through that because it just sounds awful.

7 Jo-Anne Prue: Well it was awful.

8 Paul Connor: I know. And our department is working closely
9 with DC Water. DC Water really runs the sewage system here in
10 the district. And you're absolutely right; they are having
11 similar problems in other locations in the city. We are working
12 with them. We have our ideas about how to reduce the overflows
13 and backups that you're getting. DC Water has a huge project
14 going on right now where they will be drilling this huge tunnel
15 to capture storm water. And they're hoping that that will
16 reduce some of the flooding as well. So there are some things
17 that are being done. But it is an old system. So I'm very
18 sorry you've had to live through that.

19 Jo-Anne Prue: I have one more comment. When I think about
20 Pepco and I think about Kenilworth and I think about River
21 Terrace and the soil contamination, I think about Sheppard Park.
22 I think about the the people that lived there off the Gold Coast

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

88

1 that went through this about soil testing just several years ago.
2 And it was dealing with the military and how they came in and did
3 all the soil testing and everything with the same type testing that
4 was done in that area, will that be done here in Ward 7?

5 Paul Connor: I can't answer specifically because I'm not
6 familiar with the details of that testing that was done. There
7 will be extensive soil testing done as part of this project
8 though. And it will be extensive testing for many pollutants.
9 And I think one of the earlier charts showed the different study
10 areas and there are many of them both within the Benning
11 facility itself as well as within the river.

12 Jo-Anne Prue: And I would like to add one other thing. How
13 would the people in the residence, how will the families be
14 reimbursed? Because Mr. Gurley fought hard against Pepco and
15 I'm so sad that he's not here today to see that Pepco has closed
16 down, part of Pepco has been decommissioned. My concern is
17 because of his fight for over 30 years and the studies that were
18 done by CDC, are you taking into consideration whole heartedly
19 the studies that were done by CDC and the number of people that
20 have died out of the community from little children to adults
21 from cancer?

22 Paul Connor: Yes. We have looked at those studies carefully

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

89

1 absolutely.

2 Jo-Anne Prue: And if they had any impact on those that have
3 expired will the remaining family members be compensated if
4 there's any signs that because of their sickness and because of
5 the cancer, it had some impact coming from Pepco. And that was
6 a concern of Mr. Gurley's.

7 Paul Connor: The studies that were done by CDC, their
8 conclusions did not lead one to suggest that there should be
9 compensation to people with adverse health effects. So we're
10 familiar with those studies. They've done two of them. EPA has
11 also looked at this. I understand the concerns that have been
12 raised. This RI/FS is focused on remediation of soils,
13 groundwater and the river sediments. That is -- believe me -- a
14 big enough project right now for us. Thank you.

15 Jo-Anne Prue: Well I whole heartedly suggest that next time
16 EPA be at the table. A representative should have been here at this
17 meeting based on what Miss Travis stated.

18 Paul Connor: Okay. That's a fair suggestion. We'll make sure
19 to invite them.

20 Jo-Anne Prue: All right. Thank you.

21 Paul Connor: Thank you.

22 Janet Phoenix: I'm Janet Phoenix. I sit on the Community

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

90

1 Advisory Group. I am coordinating an organization called the DC
2 Environmental Health collaborative. I just have a couple of
3 quick comments and a question. About a year ago I attended a
4 meeting of the River Terrace Community Association here in this
5 room. And at that time asked Pepco if you would form a
6 Community Advisory Group in the hopes that there would be real
7 participation by community members and that they'd be able to
8 sit with you at the table and be an equal partner in terms of
9 designing the remedial investigation and the feasibility study
10 and also to be able to oversee any decisions that were made
11 about the sampling and the cleanup. What's that all saying?
12 Beware of what you ask for. I do appreciate the fact that the
13 Community Advisory Group was formed. But I have been somewhat
14 frustrated as a member of the group in terms of the response to
15 some of the suggestions that we've made. And I just want to go
16 on record to say that some of the concerns that were raised
17 today about the plan to sample inside the boundary of the plant
18 and to sample the river, but not to sample the neighborhoods is
19 something that we've raised consistently as a Community Advisory
20 Group. So I just kind of wanted to get that out there and say
21 that we've raised that in writing, in the meetings, don't really
22 feel that that suggestion has been taken up. So I guess I would

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

91

1 reiterate what my colleague Vernice Miller-Travis had to say in
2 terms of will you consider kind of retooling this Community
3 Advisory Group process so that it more closely resembles the
4 process that's outlined under superfund so that the
5 community really has a more active role to play and can be a
6 more participatory equal partner in making these decisions.
7 Because I think it's warranted and I think it's fair. It's the
8 only fair thing really to do. Because the concern of course is
9 that if you proceed with the sampling plan -- some of the folks
10 offered to help you to get the permits so that you could move
11 forward. I really started to shake when I was sitting in my
12 chair because my concern is that if you move forward with this
13 plan as it's currently constructed and only sample within the
14 boundaries of the plant and sample the river, then what would
15 there be for you to make any decisions or analyze any of that
16 data to make a determination about what the potential health
17 impacts have been for the people who are living in the
18 neighborhoods? Because if you don't look in the neighborhoods,
19 then how will have any information that you could use to
20 evaluate what the neighborhood impact may have been? It's kind
21 of a chicken and an egg. If you don't sample in the
22 neighborhood, then what would lead you to determine that any

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

92

1 remediation in the neighborhood would be necessary? So that
2 troubles me. I do want to say that in addition to serving in
3 the role of a CAG member, Community Advisory Group member, which
4 has been a frustrating process, I have also been frustrated in
5 my efforts to do a health impact assessment. And some people in
6 the room have been helping; have sat on a committee with me to
7 try to do this independent health impact assessment that really
8 needs to be done. And we have moved forward as far as we could
9 move and probably will be releasing a report -- which I just
10 want to say I'm not completely satisfied with in part because we
11 have not been able to get the health data from the city to
12 really be able to do a time series analysis is what would really
13 be required here. We need to have health data from when the
14 plant was operating as a coal fired plant, several points in
15 between and then currently to really be able to make those links
16 between the environmental pollutants that we know came out of
17 the smoke stack and potentially travelled through soil or ground
18 water and the health impacts that people are experiencing in the
19 communities. And the city archived all of its health data in
20 2006. They told me that they shipped it off-site to someplace
21 that is inaccessible. And so we really hit a wall in terms of
22 getting the historical health data that we need to really do a

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

93

1 good HAI. But we're going to release the information that we
2 have shortly and move forward. But we would certainly welcome
3 any participation by anyone who may be in the room who would
4 like to help with the report that we've been able to do so far.
5 And we have surveyed some residents about their concerns. So
6 I'm going to ask the question again. Can you reconfigure this
7 Community Advisory Group process so that it becomes a more
8 robust process, include EPA in that effort and really give us
9 some decision making authority about where you're going to
10 sample, and the decisions that you make based on the samples
11 that you take?

12 Paul Connor: Dr. Phoenix we are certainly willing to talk to
13 you about the CAG. I'm sorry to hear that you're so
14 disappointed in it. From my perspective, the CAG is a broad
15 based and representative group that has been an excellent way of
16 reaching out to the community, of hearing back from the
17 community. I think just the attendance here today is a good
18 example of that. If you and other CAG members feel like we
19 should be doing things differently, I think I'm certainly
20 willing to hear about that. I'm familiar with EPA's process.
21 And I'm interested to hear your ideas about how what we're doing
22 could be amplified and supplemented. It doesn't mean that we're

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

94

1 always going to agree. And sometimes we'll have honest
2 differences. But I think that that's part of the dialogue that
3 we will have both with the CAG and with members of the
4 community. Do you want to add anything?

5 Wes McNealy: No. I welcome the CAG. My objective for the CAG
6 is to be as closely aligned with the superfund CAG protocol. As
7 far as I can tell we're pretty much there, we're doing -- I
8 think we're going above and beyond what even the U.S. Navy yard
9 did with their CAG. I would like for your specific directions
10 on what we can do better. We'll continue to work with you. But
11 ultimately the decisions have to be made not by the CAG, in
12 terms of some of the scientific questions; they have to be made
13 on the people that we rely upon, the agency. I'm a scientist;
14 we got a lot of scientists and engineers working on this.
15 There's a lot of different scientific views on things. There's
16 a lot of back and forth, there's a lot of consensus that has to
17 be reached. But it has to be based on science and we have defer
18 after all the science is on the table to someone that's been
19 placed in an area of responsibility, EPA and DDOE, to make the
20 final determinations. And again going back to the sampling
21 boundaries that we've drawn so far, it's based on my read and
22 our consultant's read of EPA's own reports about pathways from

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

95

1 smoke stacks to soil and ground water. We can have EPA come in
2 and actually speak to that study, maybe that would be a good
3 action item that we can take for your next CAG meeting. But
4 again, it's based on science, it's based on risk and I think
5 we're doing good work. Let's keep moving ahead with the good
6 work we're doing. If it needs to go broader and deeper, we'll
7 make those decisions when the science comes in and we can all
8 sit together and come to a consensus. And I do want to let you
9 know we have to be out of the room -- we're running a little bit
10 over. We have to be out of the room at one so we can take two
11 more questions, but -

12 Kathy Henderson: Very, very quickly.

13 Male 7: Okay.

14 Kathy Henderson: Very quickly. And I agree with Dr.
15 Phoenix's comments. Kathy Henderson again, ANC 5D, [PH] Shara
16 5D. I asked a question earlier, I'm not sure if I heard a
17 response. Forgive me if you did answer this question. With
18 regard to polychlorinated biphenyl's which have been determined
19 since 1979 as a health hazard and environmental hazard -

20 Wes McNealy: Correct.

21 Kathy Henderson: -- you're a chemical engineer if I heard that
22 correctly.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

96

1 Wes McNealy: I am.

2 Kathy Henderson: What is the standard preference? What have
3 PCB's been replaced with with regard to coolants used in the
4 work that you do? And I think this is important since Pepco
5 does plan to hold on to the site. And my second question can be
6 directed to our Deputy Director for the Department of the
7 Environment. Are you working with [PH] DDOT who are the formal
8 stewards of all our infrastructure in the District of Columbia
9 and the Department of Health. I've heard a lot of neighbors
10 talk about the health impact. And as we evaluate the science I
11 don't think we're going to have a total picture unless we have
12 the Department of Health stakeholders at the table also weighing
13 in on the work that you're doing.

14 Wes McNealy: The PCB's have been replaced with other types of
15 synthetic oils -

16 Kathy Henderson: Such as?

17 Wes McNealy: -- and other types of gases.

18 [BACKGROUND CONVERSATION]

19 Wes McNealy: Yeah. We can provide you with material safety
20 data sheets that give you the actual chemical names.

21 Kathy Henderson: Please, I'd like to see those.

22 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

97

1 Kathy Henderson: Thank you.

2 Wes McNealy: But PCB was just one component that was added to
3 some of these insulators. And it was added because it was very,
4 very persistent and it could withstand a lot of heat and
5 wouldn't break down.

6 Kathy Henderson: Right.

7 Wes McNealy: And that's in large part why it's been deemed as
8 suspect health concern because it is very difficult to break
9 down. And once it's in the environment it tends to stay in the
10 environment, doesn't tend to move around a lot. So we are going
11 to do a very complete and extensive test of everywhere that we
12 had PCB's on the property and the pathways that EPA and our
13 consultants have told us are likely to be there for those PCB's
14 to leave the property. And we'll -

15 Kathy Henderson: No, I appreciate that. But I was really
16 looking for a specific because I want to know are we moving
17 toward greener technology -

18 Wes McNealy: Oh absolutely. Absolutely.

19 Kathy Henderson: -- and chemicals that perform the same
20 functions or better with less risk to the health of humans and
21 the environment.

22 Wes McNealy: Absolutely. The equipment that we've invested in

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

98

1 to replace the power plant so that we don't need the power plant
2 so that we can bring the power in from different sources outside
3 of the District of Columbia, it's basically newer, more
4 efficient, smaller. It's been very, very well tested. The
5 testing and the protocols for testing new products get more
6 rigorous and stringent every day. So yeah, we actually we can -

7 Kathy Henderson: [INDISCERNIBLE].

8 Wes McNealy: -- yeah. We can send you some material safety
9 data sheets for some of the insulating fluids that we use.

10 Kathy Henderson: Thank you.

11 Wes McNealy: Yeah.

12 Kathy Henderson: And now the stakeholder questions. Have you
13 been working with DDOT and the Department of Health?

14 Paul Connor: We work with DDOT any time DDOT is dealing with
15 contaminated property. So and that happens periodically and
16 anytime they're dealing with contaminated property we are
17 working with them. Sometimes in an advisory capacity, sometimes
18 we're asking them or telling them to do a cleanup. Sometimes
19 there's a third party involved where DDOT might be interested in
20 buying the property. So the answer's yes, we do work with them
21 quite a bit. The Department of Health, you're right, is the
22 department of the DC government to do public health studies.

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

99

1 And we are in touch with them regularly. We have informed them
2 about the concerns in this community and about the desire for
3 public health studies. And it's really though, it's their
4 decisions and it's their decisions about devoting resources to
5 certain studies.

6 Kathy Henderson: So you're saying that we [INDISCERNIBLE]?

7 Paul Connor: Well we have, we do it periodically. I wouldn't
8 say that our communications are ideal with them. They tend to
9 say anything dealing with the environment is up to us. But the
10 fact is we are just not equipped to do public health studies.
11 So public health studies need to be done by DOH, preferably in
12 collaboration with us. And I'll be honest with you; we've found
13 that to be a difficult dialogue because they are also strapped
14 for resources.

15 Kathy Henderson: Thank you.

16 Paul Connor: Thank you.

17 Sheila Washington: My name is Sheila Washington. I'm a
18 resident of River Terrace. And I've been impacted by these
19 studies because I've got members of my family have cancer.
20 And I'm interested in the town's definitive timeline you have.
21 Because I was a former [PH] ANC. We had several meetings and
22 studies early on in early 2000, 2003, 2001, 2002, 2003. We were

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

100

1 supposed to partnership with our partner in health, come up with
2 studying to find out the impact. And it just seems that there's
3 continuing studies and no definitive timeline on when the
4 studies end, when the review ends and then when some answers can
5 be found. And I just wanted to know what timelines there are.

6 Paul Connor: Let me give you my best information I have and I
7 will also invite Wes to amplify. Pepco is right now gearing up
8 and very close to initiating the field work component of their
9 investigation. I think all of us want that field work to be
10 done this field work season, this summer. And that means that
11 they would be finishing up their investigation sometime in the
12 fall, after they get their field work in. Then they finish that
13 remedial investigation. When that is completed, and it will go
14 through public review and comment, then we get into the phase of
15 evaluating cleanup options. But that won't happen until next
16 year. So we're at least a year away from that phase of the
17 process.

18 Sheila Washington: One last question. I understand the [PH]
19 trolley system may be used in Spingarn, maybe contaminated
20 areas. The soil hasn't been studied over there on
21 [INDISCERNIBLE]. And so what we impact might have [PH] taught a
22 system in our relationship, does Pepco have with trolleys and

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

101

1 how -- the way they affect residency here?

2 Paul Connor: Let me make one point and then I'll turn it over
3 to Wes. But if there is contamination at the high school and if
4 it's at levels that need to be dealt with then it will be. And
5 again, we'll probably be working with DDOT on that. Okay.

6 Female 6: Just one comment as it relates to Pepco and the
7 trolley. The only connection that we really have is powering
8 the system, so that's our connection with the trolley system.

9 Sheila Washington: Okay.

10 Paul Connor: Okay. I'll repeat the question.

11 Gwendolyn Honeycutt: This is the first time I've heard about
12 contamination [INDISCERNIBLE]. What kind of possible
13 contamination? What is your [INDISCERNIBLE]?

14 Paul Connor: Miss Honeycutt this is the first she's heard
15 about contamination at Spingarn. It is also my first time
16 hearing about it so I'm not familiar with whether there really
17 is or not. Okay. What my point was if they find it -- they
18 will probably have to do some studies if they find it, then it
19 will be dealt with at that point.

20 [BACKGROUND CONVERSATION]

21 Gwendolyn Honeycutt: -- and so I just don't know. But I would
22 be interested in knowing, if you like a career decision with

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

102

1 this. Because I'm good at [INDISCERNIBLE]. Because I just
2 don't know. It's sounding like a large task. And some of it,
3 even though it's a lot of high technology with this project, but
4 it's also partly you really are in need of community outreach
5 which I [INDISCERNIBLE].

6 [OVERLAY]

7 Paul Connor: I'm having a hard time hearing myself. But was
8 your question is Pepco going to be doing some hiring as part of
9 this project, did I get that right?

10 Gwendolyn Honeycutt: To me it sound like [INDISCERNIBLE]. So
11 I just don't know if you want me to have [INDISCERNIBLE] in place,
12 or --

13 [OVERLAY]

14 Male 8: Please speak into the mic.

15 Wes McNealy: We can't hear you.

16 Gwendolyn Honeycutt: Or are you going to be bringing
17 [INDISCERNIBLE]?

18 Female 7: Can you repeat the question, I didn't hear her?

19 Female 2: Please speak into the mic.

20 [OVERLAY]

21 Wes McNealy: I would say in general -

22 [OVERLAY]

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

103

1 Female 8: She's asking whether or not there are career
2 opportunities associated with the project.

3 Wes McNealy: I would not say no there are none. But in
4 general what we're going to be doing is pretty specialized work
5 with relatively small crews. To the extent that we go out for
6 competitive bids. We'll certainly make sure that local
7 community organizations are able to bid on this work. But yeah,
8 I wouldn't view this cleanup as generating a lot of long-term
9 jobs. We do have, though, 800 employees at Pepco working today
10 and that work force will continue to grow. And those are the
11 people that are providing the power delivery services. And I
12 would definitely encourage you and anyone who's interested to
13 look at opportunities for power delivery jobs if any. Because
14 we intend to be there for a good long time. All right. One
15 last question and then we've got to wrap it up, they're packing
16 up our chairs.

17 Cecilia Ford: Excuse me; my name is [PH] Cecilia Floyd. And I
18 live in the area with our President. Today I haven't gotten any
19 concrete answers from any [INDISCERNIBLE]. They simply just
20 been dancing around the questions. This young man said
21 something about who [PH] being the jerk. Well I've
22 [INDISCERNIBLE] River Terrace. I started online a couple years

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

104

1 ago and I'm now going to a doctor with stomach problems. The
2 only thing that your [INDISCERNIBLE] has made me determine I
3 need to do is to stop [INDISCERNIBLE] because I'm probably
4 harming myself. And it seems like your organizations aren't
5 going to do anything to do a sample study of the soil because
6 you keep dancing around that question. So the only thing you've
7 made me think today is that I need to -- excuse me -- stop
8 having a guard, because I'm harming myself. And it seems like
9 the organization's not going to do anything to help. So I'm
10 basically [INDISCERNIBLE].

11 Wes McNealy: Okay. We are committed to providing the best
12 information we can. We're going to be doing a lot of sampling,
13 very complete and extensive sampling. We'll put all that out on
14 the table. And in terms of just expectations, I do want to
15 emphasize that there's a body of evidence out there already,
16 EPA's own contractor has done testing. NOAH and other federal
17 agencies have done testing. There have been superfund cleanups
18 just upstream and just downstream. All of that has been put out
19 in the public record. When you compare what's in the public
20 record and what's already been tested to what we think we're
21 going to find, just based on our operational knowledge and the
22 testing that we've just done historically, we feel pretty

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

105

1 comfortable that the risk is low relative to what happened at
2 the Navy yard, what happened at the Kenilworth landfill. But
3 our commitment is to prove that to anyone with real hard science
4 and data and reports that we can put on the table and that we
5 can sit down with folks and walk through and make them feel more
6 comfortable that we have, in fact, done a very thorough and
7 complete testing of the soil at the Pepco Benning Road facility,
8 and those areas where EPA's own consultants believe it may have
9 a pathway to have moved off the site. And that's right now the
10 focus of our testing. So I think we're off to a good start, I
11 think we're doing good work. This is going to be a long
12 process. And I want you to hold all of us accountable to come
13 back here on a regular basis and keep you updated.

14 Sheila Washington: This good for you to say that because you
15 haven't been going to the doctor for two years trying to figure
16 out what's wrong with your stomach, so thank you.

17 Donna Cooper: I just wanted to reiterate. Going back to one
18 of the statements that Dr. Travis-Miller made, the CAG process is
19 not about one directional flow of information, it is critical
20 that it's a two-way directional flow. Which means that we are
21 here, we are available as it relates to questions, additional
22 information, if we need to come out to meetings to go over

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

106

1 various different aspects of the next steps or answer questions
2 about the documents in terms of from a technical perspective, we
3 are available we have always opened that door. So don't just
4 look at it that we're giving you information. With that
5 information make requests of us and we are available. We always
6 wanted this process to be open, transparent and to provide
7 information to the affected stakeholders and to do a better job
8 by the input that we're getting from you. So in closing I just
9 want to thank you again and reiterate that we are here to
10 assist, to be open, to be transparent and we are committed to
11 finding out what the issues are and sharing that information
12 with the community. And if it's determined that there are
13 issues to take the appropriate actions from a cleanup
14 perspective, you have our commitment. Thank you.

15 Wes McNealy: Thank you.

16 (End of recording)
17
18
19
20
21
22

MEETING OF CREDITORS IN RE: ROBERT WILSON
CONDUCTED ON SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 2013

107

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, ROBERT INGRAM, do hereby certify that
the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record
of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were
transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio
recording as provided; and that I am neither counsel
for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise,
in its outcome.



ROBERT INGRAM

A				
abbreviated	82:13 88:12	46:5 51:3 57:5,8	88:1 90:3 104:1	100:7
20:3,3	94:4	90:1,6,13,19	agree	Anacostia
ability	added	91:3 92:3 93:7	45:4 79:10 94:1	7:21,21 8:6,12,13
107:5	34:14 97:2,3	98:17	95:14	9:10 14:9 20:9
able	addition	advocacy	agreed	23:5 24:6 25:7
40:9 44:21 62:17	92:2	66:18	15:5	26:8 32:4 40:21
71:5 90:7,10	additional	advocate	ahead	40:22 41:2,3,7,8
92:11,12,15	16:7 29:7 59:20	7:12 37:3	49:4 66:22 82:14	41:10,10,15,18
93:4 103:7	62:4 105:21	advocating	95:5	41:19,20,21
absolutely	address	55:5 79:5	air	48:13,13,15,18
36:7 63:2 82:20	5:7 15:3 20:14	AECOM	42:7,8 43:1,2	60:1 79:15
87:10 89:1	29:19 62:22	5:22 6:1,4 10:8	54:4 55:15,16	84:10 86:15
97:18,18,22	67:19 76:18	10:17 11:11,22	58:20 59:1 66:2	analysis
absorb	79:1,4,20	12:10 19:21	66:19 70:3,22	25:21 40:11,18
47:5	addressed	40:19	airborne	42:4,5,7 43:10
acceptable	21:14 54:16	Affairs	81:21	43:18 47:11
47:19,20,21	addressing	4:2,12 7:8,10	Alexander	50:8 51:21 52:1
access	35:5 75:10	affect	8:2 18:9 33:3	55:7 92:12
32:13	adjacent	54:5 101:1	Alexander's	analyze
accomplished	20:10 40:21	affiliation	18:8 32:18	91:15
21:9	adjustments	8:11	Alexandria	ANC
accountable	29:8	affliction	53:17,19	8:1,1,1,16 81:14
105:12	Administration	76:20	aligned	83:21 84:7,8
acknowledge	64:13,15	Afro	94:6	95:15 99:21
6:12 7:2,11 19:17	Administrator	33:5	alive	ANC's
Act	39:2	afternoon	48:19	53:12
68:16	adults	83:21	Allison	Andrea
action	88:20	agencies	9:5	53:4,5
37:15 95:3	adverse	29:4 45:10 47:21	allow	anglers
actions	49:22 55:2 77:20	67:7 104:17	81:4	41:2
106:13	79:1 89:9	agency	alluded	anniversary
active	adversely	12:20 13:13	20:1	37:16
7:3 91:5	55:9 56:4	38:10 39:7,8	alternatives	announce
actively	advise	43:17 64:12	20:15,15	32:10
41:6	39:7	66:19 68:10	ambient	announcements
activities	advisors	94:13	43:1 48:9	33:5
21:16 24:8,9 26:4	47:10	agency's	ameliorate	answer
26:5,16	advisory	6:16	17:19 35:17	10:6 28:21,21
activity	7:16,17,20,22,22	agenda	ameliorated	36:16,20 40:17
25:14	8:7,13 9:1,2,3,4	5:6 6:22 9:20	18:2	48:22 49:12,19
actual	9:12,22 10:11	10:12,14	Amen	50:8,9 52:11,18
8:22 16:12 34:15	30:11,16,18	ago	3:19,20	54:7 59:15
63:9,11 81:1,16	31:15 33:18	12:19 13:11,11,13	amending	60:18 73:5
82:19 96:20	38:10 39:5	15:14,15 16:14	17:10	75:16 82:13
add	43:11,14,16	17:3 27:17	amplified	88:5 95:17
60:8 65:14 68:8	44:6,7,16,18,20	52:18 55:10	93:22	106:1
	45:2,8,16,18,19	69:8 77:8 84:7	amplify	answered

40:15,16 44:1,4 44:5,14,14 58:1	6:15 17:5,5	54:9,14,17 55:15	105:21 106:3,5	50:6
answers	archived	63:11,13 64:7,7	Avenue	baseball
11:12 47:18 57:5	92:19	64:9,11,20,21	86:11	78:7
60:16 75:11	area	65:8,9,15,16,17	aware	based
100:4 103:19	22:4 23:12,17	66:6 78:20 92:5	14:2 52:19 59:21	29:3 46:7 69:9
answer's	30:1 31:4,14	92:7	60:16	70:13,17 89:17
98:20	32:2 43:5 55:12	assessments	awful	93:10,15 94:17
anticipate	63:13,18 69:19	64:5	87:6,7	94:21 95:4,4
61:14	70:1,3,5 74:2,5	assist	a.m	104:21
anticipated	75:3 76:22 78:4	106:10	1:11	basement
16:17	86:16 88:4	assistance		84:3 85:1,3,8,9,17
anxious	94:19 103:18	30:18 33:1 43:12	B	basements
15:2	areas	46:2,8 58:2 79:8	B	74:20
anybody	9:1 14:15,15 22:3	associated	8:18	basically
61:4	22:14,15 23:10	22:10 36:5 103:2	back	20:22 23:22
anymore	23:11,12,13,18	Association	5:3 13:11 17:2,8	24:12 25:11
77:22	23:20 24:1,21	8:4,5,6,8,9 9:8,9	18:13 19:1	27:14 31:6
anytime	26:22 28:11	9:11,14,15	20:20 22:6	76:16 98:3
72:10 98:16	43:3 48:20 49:4	33:15 76:1,2	24:14,16 28:22	104:10
apologize	49:9 56:14 75:4	90:4	29:8 30:11	basis
18:8	77:9 79:18	assure	33:11 36:15,20	22:14 23:13
appears	81:22 82:3	18:5	37:22 45:9,11	26:19 105:13
27:13	88:10 100:20	astute	45:11,12,12	Bay
applause	105:8	6:6	48:16 52:17	41:15
9:18,20	arena	atmosphere	57:12,17 58:8	bed
appreciate	37:20	42:18	59:8 70:16	25:16
10:17 18:10	asked	attend	73:20 80:15	began
34:21 35:11	10:3,14 11:22	62:21	81:3 84:5,11	13:10 33:19
51:13 64:4	30:8 34:16	attendance	93:16 94:16,20	beginning
90:12 97:15	40:14 43:2	93:17	105:13,17	15:3 65:4
approached	48:21,22 52:19	attended	backed	begun
15:4	60:17 76:10	33:17 90:3	85:2	34:1
appropriate	79:15 90:5	attending	background	behalf
18:22 106:13	95:16	34:1	4:3 11:5 13:8	43:9 46:12 53:8
approval	asking	attention	24:17 27:12	beings
21:16,17	36:17 41:22 79:6	68:13,13	39:18 46:20	63:12
approved	87:1 98:18	audience	96:18 101:20	believe
17:2 19:6 21:2,11	103:1	3:10,20 4:9 7:12	backs	26:13 42:11
65:19 80:12,12	aspect	19:13	84:3	89:13 105:8
approves	7:15	audio	backup	Bell
27:5	aspects	107:5	84:2,16	9:14
approximately	106:1	August	backups	belongs
25:15	assembled	21:13	87:13	77:3
April	5:8	authority	backyard	beneath
21:8 33:12	assess	93:9	76:4	24:8
Apurva	77:2 78:21,22	available	backyards	benefit
	assessment	65:18 66:21	76:5	41:14
			banks	

41:14	26:10	29:5,6,9 31:5	84:2 85:15	88:21 89:5
Benning	blame	90:17	Brownfield	99:19
1:7 2:1 4:19,22	77:2	Bowden	68:17	capacity
5:17,18,20 6:10	blaming	7:7	Brownfield's	98:17
6:12,16 12:15	52:5	Bowie	38:17	capital
13:2,14 14:8,11	bless	37:10	building	58:12,13 82:6
15:18 16:1	3:15,16	Bowlin	7:8,10 23:3 72:14	capture
19:21 20:7	blessing	8:13	72:22 73:10	87:15
21:20 22:7,10	3:5	Bowlinder	bureaucratic	captured
24:8 27:18 32:4	blocking	8:12	60:21	10:3 70:17
33:9 39:13	85:20	boxes	burning	cards
67:17 72:4,12	blowing	23:8,9,12	42:16	54:21
80:9 88:10	86:22 87:1	branded	business	care
105:7	blue	77:19	5:12	41:14,17,19
best	23:8,9	break	busy	career
39:1 52:18 100:6	blueprint	97:5,8	4:15	101:22 103:1
104:11 107:5	20:22	breath	buying	carefully
better	board	59:11	98:20	68:2 88:22
4:5,5,8 51:10	17:20 37:16	breathing		case
57:15 94:10	boards	54:3	C	78:3 87:4 107:8
97:20 106:7	76:2	Brent	C	categories
Beware	boat	8:13	3:1	38:17
90:12	25:13	brief	CAG	caused
beyond	bodies	37:5	8:15 18:6 30:8,22	56:11
49:15 69:19 70:1	33:22	briefly	31:2 36:11 37:4	Cayman
94:8	body	59:17 76:15	92:3 93:13,14	28:1
bid	48:17 104:15	bring	93:18 94:3,5,5,6	CDC
103:7	boots	36:18 45:7 72:5	94:9,11 95:3	88:18,19 89:7
bids	85:11	85:22 98:2	105:18	Cecilia
103:6	border	bringing	calendar	103:17,17
big	41:20	85:10 102:16	65:5	celebrating
37:13 67:9 74:10	bore	brings	call	37:16
89:14	49:5 57:19	5:14	7:17 60:22 72:10	center
bigger	boring	broad	84:17,22	14:10 21:20
56:19	25:1 26:2 48:21	93:14	called	63:16 72:9 80:8
biphenals	49:1 66:1	broader	15:7 16:20 24:3	centerpieces
13:16 14:22	borings	56:22 70:12 95:6	43:9 64:11 67:9	30:10
biphenyl's	24:7,21 25:15,19	broadly	67:16 84:22	centers
95:18	born	22:14	85:1 90:1	33:10
bit	37:12,19 55:18	brought	calling	central
6:17,21 10:16	bottom	4:19 44:15	62:13 84:22 85:6	72:9 82:18
12:16 13:7,8	25:11 82:14	brown	calls	certain
16:4 38:7 40:12	boundaries	71:14 73:16,16	75:3	17:22 42:10 71:8
95:9 98:21	29:2 70:10,15,16	74:5,11,17,22	camp	71:12,13 82:7
bits	70:19 91:14	75:12,17 81:12	54:22	84:16 99:5
61:11	94:21	81:14,14 82:1	cancer	certainly
bi-weekly	boundary	82:16 83:15,19	28:2 36:4 54:5	35:12 48:9 51:13
			73:19 76:19	

52:5 58:9 79:22 83:3 93:2,12,19 103:6 CERTIFICATE 107:1 certify 107:2 cetera 22:2 46:2 78:5 chair 17:14 38:11,12,14 91:12 chairs 103:16 challenge 39:16 challenges 38:6 55:19 change 7:5 changed 37:14 Chapter 8:10 9:16 chart 20:17 charts 88:9 check 70:1 72:11 86:3 chemical 42:15 58:22 95:21 96:20 chemicals 97:19 Chesapeake 41:15,17 Chester 9:12 Chestnut 9:11 33:19 chicken 91:21 childhood 63:15 children 50:4 54:6,6 56:15	63:9,18 66:1 88:20 children's 54:6 choice 65:22 Chris 7:9 Christ 37:21 Church 37:21 churches 33:7 circumstances 54:17 cite 58:20 59:5 citizens 3:15 8:4,7 9:8,12 64:19 82:5,10 city 8:1 9:5 28:2 72:6 81:18 85:15,16 87:11 92:11,19 Civic 8:5,6,8 9:9,11,13 33:15 76:1 class 50:2 classic 55:21,21,22 56:4 clean 41:13 74:13 77:10,18 cleaned 22:18 23:3 27:22 38:19 45:1 48:16 72:20 77:15 78:6,11 cleaning 12:18 67:7 81:17 82:3 86:6,8,14 86:14 cleanup 12:22 13:20 15:3 16:3 18:16,21	18:22 20:15 22:21 23:11,14 27:8 38:18 42:20 43:14 44:8,9,10,10,12 45:10 52:10 57:3,9,11 58:13 60:1 67:7,11 68:14 74:14 77:9,12,13,17 78:2,14 79:12 79:13 82:17,19 82:22 90:11 98:18 100:15 103:8 106:13 cleanups 6:2,8 18:18 104:17 clear 44:8 54:20 55:5 clearly 54:10,11 click 21:19 Cline 75:22 76:1 78:15 79:6 80:5 clock 15:15 16:14 81:8 close 23:20 30:7 100:8 closed 22:8 88:15 closely 6:4 18:7 57:22 87:8 91:3 94:6 closer 8:19 19:19 closing 106:8 closure 63:16 clothes 86:18,21 club 8:9 9:15 41:11 54:22	clue 85:4 coal 42:16,17 54:20 55:1,6,8,11 58:21 92:14 coal-fired 42:11 Coast 87:22 collaboration 52:8,20 77:6 99:12 collaborative 8:4 9:7 33:16 90:2 colleague 91:1 colleagues 19:17 collect 20:5 24:4,21,22 25:16 26:2 collected 26:22 collecting 21:2 23:22 24:2 25:20 26:20 75:15 color 38:4 55:22 Columbia 7:6,9 8:3,3 9:6,7 32:18 39:9 51:17 52:2,3 69:21 70:5,15 72:10 96:8 98:3 combined 10:7 come 3:7 10:14 11:6 13:6 14:16 32:7 36:17 39:21 46:2,11 58:5,18 59:4,8 61:10 70:10 72:11 85:15,16,16	86:2,4,13 95:1,8 100:1 105:12,22 comes 14:19,20 18:20 61:17 95:7 comfort 49:7 54:14 comfortable 35:9 105:1,6 coming 6:10,17 7:2 12:13 37:4 55:5 58:3 84:15 85:4,21 86:1,20 89:5 commenced 80:13 comment 16:3,10,11 17:1 21:13 22:1 27:3 32:16 60:13 80:21,22 83:11 83:13 87:19 100:14 101:6 commented 21:12 comments 17:9,11 21:14,14 27:4,4 34:4 37:5 81:2 90:3 95:15 commercial 76:6 77:9 Commission 7:22 8:1,14 9:3,4 9:5 17:15 37:22 commissioner 17:14 29:19 51:4 81:15 83:21 84:7,8 commitment 44:21 45:3,3 105:3 106:14 committed 58:10 72:17 104:11 106:10 committee 92:6 common
--	--	--	--	---

<p>17:18 54:2 communicated 44:13 communicating 30:12 communication 30:5 52:19 communications 30:1,19 99:8 communities 38:4,4,5,7,20 39:6 40:13 41:20 46:9 48:2 49:17 53:12 57:13,14 59:14 79:4,21 82:7 92:19 community 1:6 2:1 7:4,15,16 7:17,20 8:9 9:14 9:22 10:10,20 16:11 17:15 18:1 29:16 30:9 30:11,13,13,16 30:18 31:3,8,10 31:15,16,22 32:8,14,16,21 33:4,11,13,18 33:21 34:7,15 35:3,6,12,22 36:14 39:11 43:11,14,16 44:2,6,7,16,18 44:20 45:2,8,10 45:15,17,19 46:5,12 47:3 48:2 49:21 50:1 53:14 55:21 57:1,5,8,16 58:19 64:1,2,11 66:15 76:12,16 76:17 77:19 78:7,10,12,12 78:21 80:3,7,7 82:3 83:22 84:12 85:7 86:17 87:2 88:20 89:22</p>	<p>90:4,6,7,13,19 91:2,5 92:3 93:7 93:16,17 94:4 99:2 102:4 103:7 106:12 company 6:5,8 31:1 44:17 company's 5:10 compare 104:19 compelled 12:22 compensated 89:3 compensation 89:9 competitive 103:6 complete 6:20 21:18 25:14 26:6 27:1 28:21 29:5 83:15 97:11 104:13 105:7 completed 24:9 25:14 26:5 26:16,16 66:10 80:19 100:13 completely 18:2 72:20 92:10 completing 26:20 complexity 49:6 compliance 5:10 complied 16:15 comply 15:11 component 34:7 36:9 97:2 100:8 components 34:11 53:9 75:6 75:10</p>	<p>comports 45:18 comprehensive 15:20,22 concentration 56:3 concern 29:20 63:10 88:16 89:6 91:8 91:12 97:8 concerned 13:21 17:16 53:10,14,18,20 53:21 56:12,13 57:4 58:5 84:10 concerns 5:6 15:4 17:11 29:7 30:19 35:20 53:6 83:12 84:8,9 89:11 90:16 93:5 99:2 concluded 13:14 conclusions 52:2 89:8 concrete 23:3 103:19 condition 53:22 conditions 13:4,18 35:17 50:1 66:22 77:20 84:14 condos 73:11 76:5 conducted 6:5 29:4 43:2 conducting 4:18 28:4 conduit 44:12 confidence 69:12 confident 68:4 Congresswoman</p>	<p>61:1 connect 39:12 connecting 24:13 63:22 connection 101:7,8 Connor 6:14 12:10,12,17 14:18,20 18:4 18:13 52:15,17 53:2 60:8 61:2 62:11 63:2,4 64:3,17,21 65:2 65:9 67:5 71:4 75:20 80:18 81:11,13 82:12 82:17 83:17,20 87:5,8 88:5,22 89:7,18,21 93:12 98:14 99:7,16 100:6 101:2,10,14 102:7 consensus 94:16 95:8 consent 15:7,7,13,17 16:4 16:6,12,13,17 19:1 20:20 21:21 40:3,6 47:22 48:1 52:10 62:11,12 62:15 67:20,22 79:11 80:10,10 81:2,7,7,8 Conservation 68:16 consider 91:2 consideration 70:17 88:18 considered 71:16 consistently 80:1 90:19 constant</p>	<p>45:9 constellation 76:13 constraints 11:10 constructed 91:13 consultant 65:12 70:18 consultants 70:14 97:13 105:8 consultant's 69:10 94:22 consulting 5:22 6:11 contacted 35:8 contaminants 20:6,8 41:4 81:21 87:1 contaminate 81:22 contaminated 12:18 27:14,20 45:19 67:7,11 68:11,14,15,18 82:8 86:16 98:15,16 100:19 contamination 15:18,19 20:11,12 20:14 25:3,17 27:17 40:7,19 42:21,22 52:4,6 56:7 75:6 79:14 79:17 87:21 101:3,12,13,15 context 13:8 continue 26:9 45:15 72:3 94:10 103:10 continues 47:15 continuing 100:3 contractor</p>
--	--	---	--	--

69:5,7 70:11 104:16 contributed 49:22 contribution 56:19 conundrum 56:9 conversation 4:3 27:12 39:12 40:16 56:20,20 96:18 101:20 conversations 10:18 convinced 68:3 coolants 96:3 cooling 22:9,12 24:17 72:18 Cooper 4:1,12 6:20 7:1,5 8:17,21 9:19 10:22 11:14,16 11:19 12:3,7 29:18 31:12 34:10 35:4,15 36:7,11 105:17 cooperative 15:6 17:10 coordinating 90:1 Coordinator 46:6 copy 26:13 correct 95:20 107:3 correctly 95:22 cost 73:2 Council 8:2,7 9:6,12 32:17 38:10 39:5	councilmember 8:2 18:8,9,9,11 30:5,6 32:18,20 33:3 Councilmembers 33:1 counsel 107:6 countries 39:6 country 40:13 43:12 67:12 79:22 couple 6:13 18:13 21:5 53:6 69:8 76:2 90:2 103:22 course 5:4 17:20 48:15 91:8 court 15:8 53:8 62:7,20 63:1 80:15,21 courtesies 82:9 courtesy 10:15 courtroom 62:8,14 cover 9:20 84:20 crabs 41:17 crack 67:5 crank 4:7 crawlers 32:14 create 83:4 created 38:5 67:9 78:8 crew 85:8 crews 103:5	critical 7:4,14 30:21 32:15 36:9 105:19 critically 35:12 78:20 Crouch 19:18,20 Crowley 9:5 curious 48:12 current 23:14 26:10 currently 14:1 15:10 26:17 91:13 92:15 customer 7:12 72:10 customers 31:4 <hr/> D 3:1 damage 63:20 Damera 5:21 12:10 13:6 19:12,14,20 28:3,8,14 61:17 61:21 62:1 65:14 75:5,14 Dan 9:9 dancing 103:20 104:6 dangerous 68:12 data 20:6 21:3 26:20 26:21 61:17 70:18 91:16 92:11,13,19,22 96:20 98:9 105:4 database 32:7	date 21:22 22:20,20 daunting 47:6 David 9:2 day 3:14 98:6 days 21:17 DC 2:8 24:14 53:8 54:11 78:9 80:1 84:13 87:9,9,13 90:1 98:22 DDOE 12:10,16,17,19 14:1 16:17 17:1 17:1 18:20,20 18:21 20:16 21:10,15 25:3 27:2,4,5 28:4 40:19 45:16 62:13 65:19 68:4 80:18 83:8 83:16,17 94:19 DDOE's 17:3 21:14,17 34:19 DDOT 96:7 98:13,14,14 98:19 101:5 deadline 16:15 deal 70:9 dealing 46:13 88:2 98:14 98:16 99:9 dealt 101:4,19 Dean 8:4 9:8 32:4 Deanwood 67:2 debate 47:17	Deborah 60:11,11 61:8,20 62:6,22 63:3 decades 48:10 December 15:14 17:2 20:21 21:7,16 80:11 81:8 decency 3:17 decide 83:8 decided 27:19 decision 17:22 18:21,21,22 27:11 93:9 101:22 decisions 27:9 58:17 90:10 91:6,15 93:10 94:11 95:7 99:4 99:4 decommission 59:9 decommissioned 22:11 59:7 72:20 88:16 decommissioning 59:12 72:21 decorum 28:17 decreased 78:5 decree 15:7,7,14,17 16:4 16:6,12,13,17 19:2 20:20 21:22 40:3,6 47:22 48:1 52:10 62:11,12 62:15 67:21,22 79:11 80:10,10 80:13 81:2,7,7,8 dedicated 6:6 31:19
--	--	---	--	--

deemed 97:7	84:17 85:1,4 87:8 96:6,9,12	106:12	directed 96:6	69:20 70:5,15 72:10 73:15
deep 25:18 46:20	98:13,21,22	determines 68:10	directing 64:18	74:13 87:10 96:8 98:3
deeper 95:6	depending 28:6,10 71:1	develop 20:15 54:10	direction 59:17 74:13	disturbed 17:16
deeply 41:14 58:5	deposition 42:8,8 43:2 58:21	developed 20:20 21:11 31:18 44:17 69:8 76:6	directional 105:19,20	divide 78:19
defer 94:17	deposits 73:22 74:2	developer 73:11	directions 94:9	Dixon 50:12,14,20 51:18 51:20
define 21:3 28:11	depths 24:22	developers 76:5	directly 33:6,8 46:11 63:14,18 76:11 80:3	doctor 77:4 104:1 105:15
defining 28:5	Deputy 6:14 96:6	developing 10:2 16:8	Director 3:22 4:10 6:14 96:6	document 15:7,8,9,13 16:19 16:20 17:1 20:19 21:8,10 31:9 32:17 34:12 44:15 62:8 80:20 81:6
definitely 30:4,7 31:17 34:4 34:6 35:15 36:13 62:5 103:12	description 68:8	development 63:16	dirt 27:21 74:15	documented 74:8
definition 20:11	designate 34:13	devoting 99:4	disappointed 93:14	documenting 26:21
definitive 50:9 99:20 100:3	designated 23:16 45:22	dialogue 45:15,16 94:2 99:13	discharging 24:6	documents 16:7,8,11 17:10 31:20 32:2 34:13 39:14,19 40:11 42:3 43:20 106:2
degree 49:5 73:7	designation 68:20	died 88:20	disconnect 39:9	DOH 99:11
Delaware 6:5	designing 90:9	difference 39:20	discussed 23:5 83:12	doing 4:21,22 13:3,5 15:16,22 19:7 29:9 35:11 37:17,17 48:4 49:11 50:3 52:16 57:8,19 58:10,11 59:13 63:20 68:2,5 72:17 75:5,18 77:5,6 93:19,21 94:7 95:5,6 96:13 102:8 103:4 104:12
deliverable 17:7,9	desire 99:2	differences 94:2	discussions 68:2 73:11 80:19	
deliverables 16:16 21:22	detail 13:7 19:11 25:22 34:3	differ 5:16 20:18 25:16 34:11 43:15 75:4 88:9 94:15 98:2 106:1	disease 66:20 76:12 78:17	
delivery 72:5,8 103:11,13	detailed 16:20 21:21 34:17 62:3	differently 57:10 93:19	dispersed 42:18	
demolish 73:1,2,3	details 16:21 21:5 22:19 88:6	difficult 56:6 97:8 99:13	dispersion 55:16	
demolished 22:12 24:18	determination 91:16	dig 76:5 86:5	distributed 33:7	
demolishing 71:18	determinations 94:20	digging 50:5 74:15 76:6 82:3	distributing 33:8 61:10	
Dennis 9:10 33:18	determine 24:7 26:22 28:9 56:11 76:13,15 78:4,17 91:22 104:2	digs 55:12 76:4	district 6:13 7:6,9 8:3,3,7 9:6,6,12 10:8 32:12,17 39:9 40:3 44:8 51:17 52:1,3,21 56:21 63:19 64:19	
department 6:13,15,18 10:8 13:20 15:1,6 32:12 36:2 40:4 44:9 56:21 64:13,15 67:10	determined 25:8 27:13 65:7 68:19 95:18	direct 29:22 31:3,7 54:8 66:17 72:1 79:7		

105:11	drinking	effects	encourage	environment
dollars	54:2	53:11 89:9	103:12	6:13,15 10:8 23:1
72:7	driving	efficient	encouraging	27:20 36:2 40:4
Donna	24:12	98:4	12:13	44:9 56:3,21
4:1,11 6:20,22	due	effort	endpoint	64:16 68:12,20
7:1,5 8:17,21	39:1	19:21 52:10 93:8	18:15	82:4 96:7 97:9
9:19 10:22	Duly	efforts	ends	97:10,21 99:9
11:14,16,19	18:4	23:14 33:11 35:2	100:4	environmental
12:3,7 29:14,16	dump	92:5	energy	3:22 4:11,18 5:10
29:18 31:12	27:21	egg	55:4	5:11,12,15,20
34:10 35:4,15	dynamic	91:21	enforce	6:1,12 8:3 9:7
36:7,11 105:17	44:2 57:12	eight	15:11,12	12:20 13:4,13
door	D.C	55:12	enforceable	31:18 33:15
106:3	8:6,10 9:10,15	either	15:7,11	37:2,6,12,15
Dorothy	32:15 39:7	7:18 28:11 74:9	Enforcement	38:6,9,10,22
32:4		79:7	12:21	39:4 40:22
dots	E	electric	engage	41:14 43:17
63:22	E	24:3 72:14	33:17	46:6,10 49:16
doubt	3:1,1	electrical	engaged	49:22 55:21
49:15	earlier	24:11 61:18 62:2	7:4 31:3 32:9	56:5,13,16 57:1
downstream	21:6 22:16 30:6	74:10	34:3 36:14 40:2	64:13,15 67:6
66:11 104:18	76:10 88:9	element	engagement	68:10 69:22
DPW	95:16	10:7 55:14 78:20	7:15 8:8 9:13	73:19 77:20
14:11	early	elementary	32:19 33:2 34:7	78:19,19 79:20
Dr	10:13 11:9 26:18	2:6 14:9 63:15,17	engineer	90:2 92:16
9:7 10:9 11:20	63:15 99:22,22	elevated	5:14,22 6:7 17:5	95:19
36:22 37:3 38:8	earth	49:2	46:20 58:22	Environment's
39:18 50:12,14	41:11	Elmage	95:21	6:18 32:12
50:15,16,20	east	86:10	engineering	EPA
51:18,19,20	31:6 33:6 63:8	email	47:2 72:12	12:21 13:13,17,20
76:3,14 93:12	Eastland	32:6	engineers	14:20,22 23:5
95:14 105:18	8:4 9:8 63:8	emissions	72:13 94:14	29:3 38:15,18
draft	82:10	42:17,18 43:1,5,7	ensuring	38:21,21 40:2,5
18:15,18 21:7	eat	48:10 55:8,11	5:10	40:5 42:19 43:1
22:1 27:2,7	41:3	59:1	entered	43:10,11,13,18
drain	eating	emitted	15:6 47:21,22	43:19 44:7,8,10
24:4	41:7,17	42:17	62:11 80:12	44:10,17 45:17
drains	ecological	emitting	entire	45:18 46:3,4,7,7
24:5	43:3 60:2 64:7	56:10	21:18 31:19	54:12 58:19,20
drawn	65:17	emphasize	entities	59:2,4,20 67:15
94:21	edges	13:19 104:15	7:20	67:20 69:4,6,9,9
drew	29:7	employed	entitled	69:17 70:9,10
70:15	effect	107:7	38:1	70:18 71:1
drill	55:2	employees	entity	74:13 77:6
24:16	effected	103:9	48:14	79:10,15,19
drilling	17:21	enclosed	entry	80:4 89:10,16
87:14	effective	23:11 81:20	23:4	93:8 94:19 95:1
	35:6,21 57:15			

97:12	48:2 55:4 59:21	experts	56:3,9 74:5	5:13,13,14
EPA's	evidence	4:20 5:8 17:8	facility	farmland
14:3 15:21 46:10	55:8 104:15	29:1 46:11	1:7 2:1 4:19,22	43:4
67:17 93:20	evolving	58:22 62:1	5:17,18 6:16	farther
94:22 104:16	34:3 36:3,12	70:14,15	8:19 12:16 13:2	8:19 15:21
105:8	exactly	expired	13:10,14 14:6	fast
equal	10:1 23:7 25:5	89:3	14:11 15:19	13:12
90:8 91:6	80:16	explain	16:1 18:19	father
equipment	example	4:21 67:2,3	20:10 24:5,8,18	3:13,14,17,19
72:5,5,8 74:10	30:20 61:17	exploded	33:9 37:13	63:9
97:22	93:18	78:10	38:12 39:14	feasibility
equipped	excellent	explore	40:7 42:1 48:6	20:2,3,13 27:6,7
99:10	51:11,11 57:6	52:7	48:15 49:21	42:6 57:20
ERI	71:22 93:15	exposed	58:6 59:8 67:17	80:14 83:7 90:9
61:17	exception	14:2 55:8	72:2,4 83:9	federal
especially	77:16	exposure	88:11 105:7	15:12,13 68:19
47:10	excited	41:4,6 83:4	fact	104:16
essentially	19:4	exposures	34:15 63:14 66:4	feed
15:16 25:13 40:3	exclude	82:18	73:18 74:18	72:6
established	30:4	expressed	90:12 99:10	feel
32:6 33:12 65:10	exclusively	40:1	105:6	5:5 59:6,11,11,13
establishing	31:19 48:12	extended	fair	69:18 90:22
30:13 45:17	excuse	60:12	54:18 89:18 91:7	93:18 104:22
establishment	22:6 52:15	extension	91:8	105:5
7:16 30:11	103:17 104:7	31:17 52:9	fairly	feet
estate	executive	extensive	10:13 39:15	25:6,6,20 55:12
70:4	76:2	18:6 29:6 37:1	46:16 65:10	85:2,19 86:5
Esternado	exhaustive	68:2 88:7,8	76:10	Felecia
80:6,6 81:10	42:4	97:11 104:13	fall	7:13,13
et	exist	extensively	100:12	felt
22:2 46:2 78:5	19:15 28:5,9	49:14	familiar	35:9
evaluate	exists	extent	52:20 88:6 89:10	Female
58:2 91:20 96:10	24:7 25:17 77:18	103:5	93:20 101:16	4:4,6 8:15,18
evaluated	expanded	extraordinary	families	9:17 28:13,15
20:16 49:14	70:16	38:21 39:15	88:13	34:9 50:22 51:2
evaluates	expansion	extremely	family	59:22 60:5 68:8
16:2 18:16,18	31:13	37:1	37:19 45:6 47:4	69:2,3,14,16
evaluating	expect	eyes	60:12 73:18	70:21 71:5,8,12
76:16 82:17,22	5:2 13:9	39:19	89:3 99:19	71:16,21 73:7
83:7 100:15	expectations		far	73:13 101:6
events	104:14	F	3:3 16:15 40:16	102:18,19 103:1
60:14,14	experience	Facebook	43:16 44:3 52:4	field
eventually	5:14 6:2 17:6	32:11	55:17 65:8 70:2	19:7,20 21:4,16
16:6 76:17	experienced	facilitate	71:1,2,17 78:22	22:4,5 24:12
everybody	87:3	30:16	92:8 93:4 94:7	26:4,10,11,14
12:12 37:8 41:9	experiencing	facilitator	94:21	26:20 27:1 59:1
41:19 47:13	92:18	30:21,22	Fariba	65:3 100:8,9,10
		facilities		

100:12	firm	49:12,19 57:8	99:12 100:5	58:17 59:9
fight	5:22 43:9,9,19	60:15 91:9	four	60:14 78:2,13
88:17	first	105:5	12:19 13:12 27:1	
fighting	10:6 12:16 13:7	follow	85:2	G
37:18 84:9	13:10 15:2	36:21 52:22	frame	G
figure	18:16 19:14	66:13	11:21	3:1
25:4 79:2,3	20:19 23:22	followed	Francis	Gaither
105:15	24:9 25:11 28:4	10:8	32:5	3:7,9,11 9:15
filed	28:8 36:13 38:2	following	Fraser	gallons
15:8 80:20,22	67:12 70:9,19	7:19	9:11	85:10,10,10
81:5	80:20 81:15	footprint	Freddy	garden
final	87:5 101:11,14	29:22 40:18,20	51:20	8:5 9:8 50:4
18:15 21:15 81:7	101:15	42:1 48:3,21	free	Gardens
81:8 94:20	fish	56:6 73:4	5:5	63:8 82:11
finalization	41:2,3,8 48:18	force	frequently	gas
62:12	fishing	103:10	34:15	33:10 77:9 84:15
finalize	41:6	Ford	Friends	gases
27:5	five	103:17	8:5 9:9	96:17
finalized	8:18 18:11	foregoing	front	gathered
15:14 16:6,13,13	fixes	107:3	86:5	3:14
21:15	77:14	Forgive	frustrated	gearing
finally	floating	95:17	90:14 92:4	100:7
19:4 27:8 53:21	85:3	form	frustrating	general
81:7	flooded	27:2 90:5	92:4	60:17 102:21
financial	27:17	formal	FS	103:4
107:8	flooding	67:22 96:7	20:3,16	generally
find	87:16	format	Fudge	52:21 61:6 74:15
4:16 19:15 23:7	flow	6:21	80:6,6 81:10	generating
25:3 65:21 71:9	47:15 75:1 86:1	formed	fuel	22:7 103:8
82:2 85:21	105:19,20	90:13	22:8,9 72:19	gentleman
100:2 101:17,18	flowing	former	full	60:17
104:21	25:7,7 75:13 86:4	14:10 33:5 99:21	28:21	gentleman's
finding	Floyd	forth	function	35:20
106:11	103:17	26:17 45:9 70:16	72:13	geographic
finish	fluids	94:16	functions	8:22 56:10
100:12	98:9	fortunate	44:7 97:20	geology
finished	flyers	6:14	fund	24:7
19:5 62:16	32:21	forward	67:10	George
finishing	focus	5:1 13:12 33:22	fundamental	64:10 66:16
100:11	40:21 48:12 60:1	35:1 47:19 58:3	64:3	81:12,14,14
fire	70:3 105:10	68:21 91:11,12	funded	82:16 83:15,19
42:15 85:1,4	focused	92:8 93:2	78:16	Geraldine
fired	41:12,22 60:5,6	fought	further	9:14
54:20 55:1,6,9,11	79:13 89:12	88:14	27:9 32:4 42:19	getting
58:21 92:14	focuses	found	49:3 69:18	19:7,16 24:14
fireman	67:16	27:19 70:13 74:1	future	30:19 60:21
85:11	folks	77:16 82:7	5:2 13:10 38:20	73:1 83:6 87:13
				92:22 106:8

giant 78:8	9:22 14:4 16:8 18:17 24:18	gotten 13:22 30:1 57:3 103:18	8:5	48:5,6
give 4:17 9:17,19 10:15 13:8 28:20 44:17 49:7,10,12 50:9 59:10 60:19 66:14,21 82:12 93:8 96:20 100:6	25:8 26:17,18 29:2,10,12,13 29:14 30:11 33:11,12,13 35:1,10 36:15 39:20 40:12,17 41:11 44:2,15 44:22 47:14 54:4,5,21 56:6 56:15 57:17 58:6,15,16 59:15,16 61:13 62:19 65:8,16 66:4,5,13 68:1 70:6 71:17,21 73:7,21 75:12 76:5 78:3 79:12 81:16,22 82:9 82:15,20,21 83:1,8,10 84:12 87:14 93:1,6,9 94:1,8,20 96:11 97:10 102:8,16 103:4 104:1,5,9 104:12,21 105:11,15,17	governance 80:8	group 7:5,16,17,20 9:22 10:11,16 12:13 30:12,16,18 31:15 33:18 43:16 44:6,7,20 45:2,9,16,18 46:5 57:6,8 59:14 90:1,6,13 90:14,20 91:3 92:3 93:7,15	hand 7:14,18 14:5 67:6
given 40:18 53:16 62:16 68:13 82:9		government 4:2,12 54:12 63:19 68:19 74:13 84:14 98:22	groups 43:11,13,15 44:18 45:19 57:2 80:22	handled 66:12
gives 21:2 69:11		granted 21:15	grow 103:10	hands 8:11
giving 47:18 106:4		gratifying 58:4	guard 104:8	hang 86:18,21
glad 35:5		great 5:19 6:2 39:8 41:9 63:2 66:1 75:22 77:5	guess 90:22	happen 27:1 44:22 79:21 100:15
gloves 85:11		green 23:4 25:22 26:1	guest 10:12	happened 23:7,8 38:20 84:6 84:12,21 86:8,9 86:10,11 105:1 105:2
go 13:2,9 16:9 17:22 19:1 22:6 24:16 24:20 29:8 34:11 40:9 46:12 47:11 49:3 52:17 55:3 66:22 69:18 71:1,5,9,13,17 77:21 78:22 80:15 81:6,18 81:19,21 82:21 83:10 86:2 90:15 95:6 100:13 103:5 105:22	Gold 87:22	greener 55:3 97:17	guidance 3:18	happens 41:18 48:19 62:8 87:4 98:15
God 3:13,14 85:13 86:12	golf 17:20	Greer 7:13	guideline 71:3	hard 10:20 56:11 74:18 88:14 102:7 105:3
goes 15:21 26:2 59:12 78:21	good 3:2,3,9,10,21 4:10 5:17 6:7 19:4,9 19:12,13 29:10 29:13 37:8 51:6 53:4,5 58:16,16 59:12,13 61:6 62:18,18 63:5,6 65:20 66:14 67:18 75:5,22 81:11,12 82:12 83:21 85:14 93:1,17 95:2,5,5 102:1 103:14 105:10,11,14	Greg 9:4 63:5,7,7 64:9 64:18 65:1,7,13 65:20	guidelines 69:18,20 71:1,8 71:12,13,13	Harlem 37:11,15
going 4:21 6:20,21 9:20		Gregory 32:5	Gurley 64:10 66:16,18 84:8 88:14	harmful 68:11
		grew 37:19 38:18 84:3 86:17	Gurley's 89:6	harming 104:4,8
		Grimstad 60:11,11 61:8,20 62:6,22 63:3	Gwendolyn 9:10 31:14 101:11,21 102:10,16	Harris 46:6
		ground 14:1 23:16 24:13 24:14 25:1,3,4,5 25:6 41:10 42:19,21,22 66:2 70:12 73:22 74:11 75:7 92:17 95:1	H	Harry 30:6
		groundwater 9:10 89:13	habits 86:19	hauling 82:19
		Groundwork	HAI 93:1	hazard 69:11 95:19,19
			half 12:19 26:5 31:7	hazardous 38:3,17 81:17,19
				head 39:20
				heading 19:20

headquarters 40:6 59:2	88:18 89:15	34:10 60:14	90:6	immediate 17:21 68:13 70:1
heads 46:18 47:8,9,10 62:1	heat 97:4	highlighted 31:5,13	hoping 10:2 62:14 87:15	immediately 17:15,19 18:12
health 8:4 9:7 33:15 36:4 38:6,11 41:1 49:13,15 53:11,22 54:9 54:16 55:2,9,15 56:4 60:2 63:10 63:11,20 64:1,2 64:6,11,13,14 64:15,20,22 65:15 66:3,5,5 66:15,21 68:12 68:20 70:2 76:11,16 78:16 78:21,22 79:1 84:17 85:14 89:9 90:2 91:16 92:5,7,11,13,18 92:19,22 95:19 96:9,10,12 97:8 97:20 98:13,21 98:22 99:3,10 99:11 100:1	Heaven 3:13	hinted 76:10	horizons 25:17	immemorial 77:19
	heavily 56:15	hire 69:4	hours 85:9	imminent 68:11,19
	Height 32:4	hired 30:15 70:10	house 84:18 86:1,4	impact 23:16 40:22 52:4 52:7 54:9,20 55:17 56:3,4 59:11 60:2,3 63:10,22 66:5 76:11 79:17 89:2,5 91:20 92:5,7 96:10 100:2,21
	held 2:2 16:5	hiring 102:8	housed 72:13	impacted 17:15 18:12 25:18 41:3 42:2 48:14 50:2 63:18 75:3 79:16 82:4 99:18
	Hello 75:22 80:6	historical 92:22	households 31:9	impacting 66:3
	help 38:15 57:18 58:17 59:16 60:20 61:4 91:10 93:4 104:9	historically 104:22	housing 77:12	impacts 19:15 48:8 49:13 49:16 55:16,18 56:13,17 76:16 78:21,22 79:1 91:17 92:18
	helpful 4:16	history 37:5 38:8	How's 4:8	implement 19:2
	helping 47:11 92:6	hit 22:15 92:21	huge 87:13,14	implications 79:17
	helps 54:10 72:5	hold 19:19 45:14 96:5 105:12	human 41:1 43:6 63:12 64:6,21 65:15 68:12,20	important 29:9,21 35:11,12 35:16 37:9 38:13,13 40:11 41:13 42:9 44:11 47:20 50:7,10 64:2 72:4 73:14 78:20 96:4
	Henderson 17:13,13 18:9 29:19 32:3 34:19,20 35:5 35:16 50:12,14 50:16,19 51:3,3 51:6,8,10,15,17 51:20 52:1 53:1 95:12,14,15,21 96:2,16,21 97:1 97:6,15,19 98:7 98:10,12 99:6 99:15	holding 10:5	humans 97:20	importantly
	hear 4:4,5 5:2,6 14:5 28:7 51:8 62:14 67:3 69:6 81:12 93:13,20,21 102:15,18	Holdings 4:1,11 5:9 7:12	<hr/> I <hr/>	
	heard 40:5 49:9 51:18 53:7,9 63:13 95:16,21 96:9 101:11,14	Holmes 9:2	idea 28:12 54:8	
	hearing 16:5 35:19 57:17 62:12,20 81:4 93:16 101:16 102:7	Holy 3:19	ideal 99:8	
	heart 58:9	home 5:5 84:14,15,19	ideas 87:12 93:21	
	heartedly	Homeowners 76:1	identified 13:17 14:3,21 23:13 55:15 76:19,21 78:1 83:11	
		homes 84:2,3,11 85:17	identify 36:18 53:2	
		honest 54:18 94:1 99:12	identifying 9:1 18:6,7 23:13	
		Honeycutt 9:10 31:15 101:11,14,21 102:10,16	ill 76:14	
	he'll 6:9	hope 4:15 65:4	illness 45:6	
	Hi 51:5,7 52:14,15 67:1 75:22	hopefully 26:9	imaging 24:3,4,12	
	high 76:19,20 101:3 102:3	hopes		
	highlight			

15:12	74:4 75:19 98:7	59:14 106:8	internet	68:4 73:11
impounded	99:6 100:21	inside	21:20 32:1,8	74:15,15 98:19
73:18	101:12,13 102:1	23:2 86:22 90:17	interpretation	involvement
improving	102:5,10,11,17	insist	62:3	30:13 31:16
72:14	103:19,22 104:2	64:20	intervene	58:19,20 59:20
inaccessible	104:3,10	inspection	81:1,5	79:7
92:21	individual	23:6	introduce	involves
inch	36:17	inspired	6:19 29:17 30:15	24:1,2
24:13	individuals	58:10	introduced	Irv
incidents	29:21,22 31:2	inspiring	17:5	9:16 54:22
76:12 78:17	industrial	58:9	introducing	Island
include	13:17 18:19 36:3	insulating	5:8	86:11
8:15 10:12 26:19	inform	98:9	introductions	issue
60:16 93:8	58:17	insulators	6:20	17:16,19
included	information	97:3	invested	issued
33:3	5:3 22:19 24:1,20	insurance	59:7 72:7 97:22	22:2 26:9
includes	25:6 26:13	84:19	investigate	issues
16:21	30:20 32:6,11	integral	23:7	21:3 24:15 28:9
including	32:19,22 33:1,3	30:17	investigated	46:21 50:7
5:16 61:15	33:7,8 34:13,14	integrated	48:20	51:12 53:8
income	34:17 37:1	30:14	investigation	54:15,15 56:22
38:4 50:2	39:16,17 44:3	intelligent	11:6 12:15 13:1,5	56:22 58:14
incorporate	46:1,17 47:5,7	46:16	15:10,18 18:17	77:1 83:6,11
30:3 33:19	47:14,16 56:18	intend	19:15,21 20:1,2	106:11,13
incorporated	57:6 58:3 62:3,4	58:14 103:14	20:5,8 21:4,10	itch
7:13 31:17 34:6	66:14 73:22	intention	22:3,4,14,15	54:4
81:3	75:14,15 76:18	72:1	23:10,11,17,20	item
incorporating	91:19 93:1	interactive	23:21 24:19	95:3
17:10	100:6 104:12	57:13	25:10,15,22	iterations
increase	105:19,22 106:4	interdict	26:11,11,20	29:11
77:7	106:5,7,11	79:3	29:2 57:9 58:11	
independent	informative	interest	59:3 64:8 65:3,4	J
43:19 54:14 92:7	4:16	33:21 107:8	80:13 83:18	jack
independently	informed	interested	90:9 100:9,11	38:15
58:2	18:12 36:15	32:7 35:19 57:4	100:13	Jackson
indicate	47:17 99:1	93:21 98:19	Investigation/F...	39:2
24:15 49:3	infrastructure	99:20 101:22	1:8 2:2	James
indicated	96:8	103:12	investigator	8:22 73:16,16
22:17	Ingram	interesting	6:6	74:5,11,17,22
indicates	1:22 107:2,15	4:16	invite	75:12,17 82:1
54:17	inhalation	interests	82:13 89:19	84:2
indication	66:19	8:1 9:5 37:18	100:7	Janet
49:10	initially	48:1	involve	9:7 10:10,10 11:4
INDISCERNIB...	81:5	international	24:19 25:15	11:8,11,21 12:9
10:12,15 12:6,9	initiating	6:2	involved	37:3 38:10
19:19 27:16,19	100:8	internationally	20:18 24:12	39:14 46:4 48:2
28:13 31:11	input	37:7	30:22 35:13	89:22,22
				January

21:17 26:4 80:10,19 jerk 103:21 job 1:20 4:13 38:15 64:19 106:7 jobs 103:9,13 Johnny 3:5,7,9,11,21 9:15 Johnson 9:3,8 Jo-Anne 83:21,21 87:7,19 88:12 89:2,15 89:20 judge 15:12,13 16:5,13 62:11 81:4 July 33:15 jump 49:4 jumped 40:10 jumping 82:14 June 33:14 justice 37:2,13,22 38:9 38:22 39:5 46:6 46:10 55:21 56:5 79:21	99:15 Katy 17:13 keep 29:11 34:17 40:17 95:5 104:6 105:13 Kenilworth 14:10 63:17 66:10 82:10 87:20 105:2 Kenyan 30:6 32:20 key 10:21 11:2 27:9 33:9 kicked 11:15 26:4 kill 85:13 kind 24:7 25:6 27:16 30:8 58:8 78:15 79:8 90:20 91:2 91:20 101:12 kinds 18:18 Kingman 8:5,6 9:9,11 Kirsten 7:7 knee 38:15 knew 85:7 know 8:21 11:9 27:16 27:16 29:3 34:20 35:22 38:8 41:12 43:5 43:6,8 44:18 46:22 47:6 50:2 52:11,17 53:12 53:22 55:17 56:18 57:4 61:2 61:5 65:20,22 66:3,4 68:21	69:16 70:4,7,22 74:17,22 75:12 75:14 77:17 82:15 84:19 85:7,15 86:16 87:8 92:16 95:9 97:16 100:5 101:21 102:2,11 knowing 101:22 knowledge 104:21 known 31:3 knows 41:9 65:12 <hr/> <p style="text-align:center">L</p> <hr/> laborious 47:12 lady 70:21 land 20:9 22:3 23:21 24:19 25:12 26:5,6 27:18 70:7 71:18 72:2 78:3 landfill 14:10 66:10 105:2 landfills 74:16 landscape 9:1 Langston 17:20 82:10 language 15:8 lapsed 10:11 large 12:13 23:19 44:13 45:11 66:15 97:7 102:2 largely 39:1	largest 28:1 late 64:10 latest 31:9 lawyer 12:20 layer 46:1 laying 57:20 lays 16:19 lead 3:7 5:13 6:16 29:7 89:8 91:22 leader 6:1 leaders 6:13 leadership 38:21 39:1 leak 74:10 leaked 74:9 77:17 leaks 74:19 leave 18:3 79:2 97:14 led 37:12 Lee 9:13 leeches 77:17 left 5:21 31:13 36:8 legally 15:6 legislation 67:8 legitimate 40:14 Lester 10:18 30:15,15	let's 3:4 9:19 11:15 12:2,8 13:12 14:3,7,14 30:1 44:8 54:18 60:10 95:5 level 39:4,10 42:19 45:3,4 69:11 71:14 77:7 79:19 levels 25:18 77:13 101:4 liaison 36:1 libraries 32:2,3,5 Library 32:5 life 47:4 57:1 life-long 84:4 limited 63:13 line 25:18,20 82:14 86:18,21 link 21:19 links 92:15 Lisa 9:5 39:2 list 31:18 32:19 67:15,17,18 listen 49:19 listing 30:2 lists 62:7 little 3:2 6:17,21 12:16 13:7,8 16:3 38:7
<hr/> <p style="text-align:center">K</p> <hr/> Kathy 17:13 18:9 34:20 35:5,10,16 50:12,14,16,19 51:3,3,5,6,7,8 51:10,15,17,20 52:1,19 53:1 95:12,14,15,21 96:2,16,21 97:1 97:6,15,19 98:7 98:10,12 99:6				

mentioned 19:14 20:21 21:6 22:13 23:21 26:3 65:11 66:17 67:20	50:13,15,18 51:5,7,9,14,16 51:19,22 52:11 52:14,16 54:8 77:4 78:18 79:9 91:1	4:16,17 5:6 19:12,13 37:8 44:3 51:6 53:4,5 58:5 63:5,6 75:22 81:12	81:14 national 14:13 38:9 39:4,4 39:10 60:21 67:16,18 79:19	19:1 negotiations 80:19 neighbor 17:21 neighborhood 7:22,22 8:8,14 9:2,3,4,4,13 27:15,17 32:19 33:2 51:3 59:3 69:1 91:20,22 92:1
messages 11:2	millions 72:7	mother 86:17	nationally 37:6 39:12 80:1	neighborhoods 75:9 82:5,8 90:18 91:18,18
met 16:15	Milstein 9:9	motions 80:22	nation's 58:12,13 82:6	neighbors 35:8 59:11 84:16 96:9
methane 84:15	mind 29:12	mountain 47:14	nature 40:7 79:2	neither 107:6
method 41:4	mindful 31:22	mouth 19:19	Navy 66:11 78:6,8 94:8 105:2	network 72:6
methodologies 65:7,9	mine 76:7	move 5:1 10:16 11:16 11:17,19,20 28:5,6,11,11 29:20 33:22 44:5 45:7 72:15 84:16 91:10,12 92:9 93:2 97:10	NE 2:7	never 10:11 53:20
methods 35:7	minimize 36:4	misunderstood 50:17	near 5:2 18:1 77:21	new 34:13,14,17 37:11 41:19 46:15 64:10 78:6,7,10 86:5,7 98:5
mic 19:19 28:19 72:18 102:14,19	missed 80:8	moved 84:4,5 92:8 105:9	nearby 43:3,6,7 48:2 49:16 69:2,3 83:4	newsletter 33:4
Michael 8:12	misunderstood 50:17	moving 47:19 59:16 75:8 75:8 95:5 97:16	necessary 21:3 26:6 29:8 92:1	newspaper 86:9
Michelle 67:1,1	mitigate 58:13 76:18	MPD 8:6 9:11	need 40:1,17 41:7 45:11 47:15 48:11 49:3,4,7 51:13 52:7 54:9 55:3,17 58:1 59:8 60:20,22 61:7 66:4 69:12 75:3 79:22 82:4 84:16 92:13,22 98:1 99:11 101:4 102:4 104:3,7 105:22	newspapers 33:5,6 night 85:9
microphone 14:4 36:18 53:3 77:5	model 58:12	mud 25:18,20	needed 15:5 30:17 54:10	NOAH 104:16
middle 60:9	modified 31:16	multiple 24:22,22	needs 5:11 16:19,22 35:22 40:15 49:11 68:13 78:11,12,13 86:15 92:8 95:6	nonprofit 33:7
migrated 15:19 49:8,13 79:14,18	momentarily 6:17	N	negotiate	normally 48:4
mile 31:6,6 48:5,6	money 59:7 67:10,12	name 3:18 5:9 7:18 12:17 17:13 28:19 53:5 60:11 63:7 67:1 67:13 73:16 99:17 103:17		north 31:6 63:8
miles 48:5,6	monitoring 25:4	named 5:22		
milestone 21:18 32:21 62:6	monopoly 52:6	names 96:20		
military 88:2	monthly 26:19	name's		
Miller 11:20 36:22 50:14 60:8 65:11 67:20 76:3,14	months 4:20 15:14,15 16:14 17:2 27:1 27:6 62:12 80:11,15 81:5			
Miller-Travis 37:8 42:14 50:13	month's 60:15			
	morning 3:2,9,10,21 4:10			

Northeast 53:20 86:12	86:6	83:19 85:21	opposite 27:18	90:10
Norton's 61:1	occurred 22:21,22 40:8	89:18 95:13	options 16:3 18:16 27:8	overseeing 17:3 18:14
notch 65:21	74:12	101:5,9,10,17	82:17,22 83:7	oversees 53:21
note 7:3 10:4 11:14	occurring 34:2 84:11	104:11	100:15	oversight 59:21 64:5 68:1
29:19 31:12	occurs 25:5	old 8:18 22:10 85:17	order 30:15 33:17 86:6	81:2 83:16,17
32:11 34:3	offer 61:4	87:17	organization 18:5 37:15,21	overtime 78:9
36:22	offered 91:10	older 86:19	90:1	overturned 74:3
noted 7:6 9:20 18:4	office 6:3 8:2,7 9:13	once 7:17 18:22 21:1	organizational 10:5	owned 14:12
36:12	12:17,21 17:8	24:20 27:1,4	organizations 7:19 15:1 18:7	owners 84:19
notes 26:15 33:4,17	18:8 30:6 32:18	39:7 68:20 78:3	33:8,21 103:7	o'clock 10:14
notice 53:15,16,18,20	32:19,20 33:2	81:16 82:3 97:9	104:4	
noticed 56:7	46:10 61:1	ongoing 84:5 87:4	organization's 104:9	<hr/> P <hr/>
notices 31:20 32:8,16	73:10	online 103:22	oriented 11:5 14:3,14	P 3:1
notify 32:14	officially 80:13	open 21:12 27:3 34:4	originally 80:11	package 22:19
noting 36:8	off-site 74:16 79:14	35:5 36:12,13	outcome 107:9	packet 26:13
NPL 56:1 69:1	92:20	62:20 106:6,10	outline 14:6	packing 103:15
number 6:4 13:17 25:2	Oh 22:5 50:16,22	opened 106:3	outlined 91:4	Pages 1:21
28:1 29:11,11	97:18	opening 3:8	outreach 7:15 29:17 30:9	paper 62:7
75:7,7 82:1	oil 42:15 55:10	operate 59:10	33:11,13 34:6,7	parallel 75:1
88:19	73:22 74:1,1,2	48:11	35:6 36:9 102:4	parameters 25:2 57:11
numbered 23:18	oils 96:15	operated 5:18 13:10,17,21	outside 50:5 75:18 98:2	paramount 49:20
numbers 49:2	oily 13:16	23:15 48:14	overall 65:18	parce 72:16
<hr/> O <hr/>	okay 3:4,6,21 4:8,10	78:8	overflow 66:2	parents 86:19
O 3:1	10:22 11:10	operational 104:21	61:2	park 8:5 9:9,11 14:13
objective 20:5 94:5	12:12 14:5,20	operations 5:18 13:10,17,21	overflows 87:12	28:1 60:21 61:5
obligations 15:16	18:13 22:15	23:15 48:14	OVERLAY 11:13 12:1,4 36:6	87:21
obviously 51:11	23:17,21 26:3	78:8	50:21 51:1	parking 74:5
occur	27:16 35:10	opportunities 16:10 57:21	52:13 69:15	
	51:2,10,22	103:2,13	102:6,13,20,22	
	52:22 61:8 62:6	opportunity 17:17 35:13	oversee	
	63:22 73:13	67:19		
	74:11 75:20	opposed 70:3		
	80:5 81:10,11			
	82:16,17 83:15			

parkland 43:4	67:22 98:19	19:10	45:17 52:5 53:7	person 14:2 46:16
parks 8:6 50:5	pass 44:15 81:22	pay 67:11 86:12,13	54:13 56:19,22	personal 45:4 65:4
Parkside 8:8 9:13 33:15	passed 67:8 73:19	PBS 69:21	57:3 59:18	persons 32:7
76:1,1	passion 41:21,22	PCB 14:17 22:16 23:4	60:13 61:6	perspective 10:5 93:14 106:2
part 6:9 16:9 18:1	passionate 51:12	23:10 97:2	62:13 66:8,11	106:14
30:21 34:12	passionately 41:15	PCB's 13:15 35:20 36:1	66:11 67:17	petitioned 64:12
45:20 57:14,19	path 58:4	48:9 74:8 96:3	68:4,22 69:8	petrol 42:15
63:20 64:6,8	pathway 59:1 105:9	96:14 97:12,13	72:1,2,3,10 73:4	PH 17:20 61:12
65:10,17 70:12	pathways 94:22 97:12	people 27:14 38:3,6	73:10,15 80:19	84:22 86:5
76:9,9 78:9,12	patient 60:10	39:20 41:1,6,7	81:3 82:13 83:3	95:15 96:7
78:13 81:1	patients 28:2	42:1,2 43:20	83:9,16 84:1,9	99:21 100:18,21
82:18,19,22	Patil 6:15 17:5	44:17 45:1	87:20 88:14,15	103:17,21
88:7,16 92:10	pattern 76:13	47:17 48:1,7,7,7	88:16 89:5 90:5	phase 19:9 23:22 24:9
94:2 97:7 102:8	Paul 6:14,17 11:7	48:17 49:7,20	96:4 100:7,22	24:19,20 25:4
participate 17:17	12:10,11,12,17	53:11,12,17	101:6 102:8	25:11,14 26:5
participation 7:3 67:19 90:7	14:18,20 18:4	54:19 55:13,22	103:9 105:7	81:17 83:6
93:3	18:13 19:12,14	56:16 57:17	Pepco's 5:20 13:17 15:15	100:14,16
participatory 91:6	20:1,21 22:16	58:5 60:2,6 64:1	17:4 18:14	phased 25:9
particular 29:22 30:10	27:10 52:14,15	67:14 71:6 72:3	19:11 21:20	phases 22:4,5 23:21
31:17,22 32:17	52:17 53:2	76:13 79:2,6	63:20 65:12	25:11
33:22 52:4,10	58:18 60:8 61:2	82:9,11 86:13	83:17	Philadelphia 46:8
63:16 76:14	62:10,11 63:2,4	87:2,22 88:13	perform 65:16 97:19	Phoenix 9:7 10:9,10,10
particularly 41:11	64:3,17,21 65:2	88:19 89:9	performance 5:11	11:4,8,11,21
parties 13:1 62:13 79:16	65:9 67:5 71:4	91:17 92:5,18	performed 64:8	12:9 37:3 38:8
81:4 107:7	75:20 80:18	94:13 103:11	performing 15:17	39:18 50:15,16
partly 102:4	81:11,13 82:12	peoples 55:9 56:4	perimeter 49:2 75:18	50:16 51:19
partner 43:16 45:13 90:8	82:17 83:17,20	people's 53:22 55:2	period 10:6 36:16 80:21	89:22,22 93:12
91:6 100:1	87:5,8 88:5,22	Pepco 3:22 4:2,11,12,18	80:22 83:13	phased 25:9
partners 36:3 43:13 57:16	89:7,18,21	3:22 4:2,11,12,18	periodically 98:15 99:7	phases 22:4,5 23:21
partnership 100:1	93:12 98:14	5:9,15 7:7,9,12	permits 26:6,8 59:10	25:11
parts 40:21	99:7,16 100:6	12:15 13:1,10	60:22 61:3,5,7	Philadelphia 46:8
party	101:2,10,14	13:19 15:2,3,4,5	81:16 91:10	Phoenix 9:7 10:9,10,10
	102:7	15:5,9,10,11,16	permitting 5:12	11:4,8,11,21
	pause	16:8,11,14,15	persevered 84:20	12:9 37:3 38:8
		17:7,8,9,9 18:16	persistent 97:4	39:18 50:15,16
		19:1,2,5 20:7,10		50:16 51:19
		26:7 28:4 30:19		89:22,22 93:12
		35:13 36:2		Phoenix's 95:15
		39:13,15 40:3		pick 86:19
		40:19 44:9		picture 24:11 72:18
				96:11
				piece

10:21 14:12 41:1 42:4 74:10 pieces 7:14 31:7 56:6 61:11 piggyback 84:1 pipe 74:9 place 27:13 36:1 37:18 45:19 53:19 65:12 73:8,9 74:14 82:15 102:11 placed 94:19 places 56:17 57:13 69:22 74:19 plan 5:1 16:21,21 19:6 21:2 27:21 30:14 31:16 40:12,18 42:5 48:3,4 65:15,17 65:18 67:21 70:7 72:21,22 78:1,11,12 81:22 82:4 90:17 91:9,13 96:5 planning 16:19 19:6 21:9 47:2 72:15,16 73:4 plans 19:11 21:1,2,12 21:15 82:14 plant 22:10 31:7 37:14 40:20 42:9,11 42:15,16 46:14 46:22 48:8,10 48:11 49:17,21 54:21 55:10,11 55:16,17 56:2	59:6,6,8,10,13 66:2 68:22 72:9 72:19,22 73:3 86:20 90:17 91:14 92:14,14 98:1,1 plants 55:1,6,9 58:21 play 91:5 playing 50:4 please 5:4 9:19 19:22 20:17 21:5 22:5 22:13 23:18 24:11 25:9,21 26:3 28:19 32:11 96:21 102:14,19 plenty 5:6 pocket 86:13 point 14:22 22:6,22 27:2,10 28:22 29:7,20 36:21 42:10,16 49:18 56:12 57:3 59:17 60:6 61:22 64:19 66:16 69:4 72:18,22 73:12 73:17 83:8,12 101:2,17,19 pointer 14:6,7 points 9:21 18:14 51:11 92:14 poisonous 52:3 pole 85:19 polite 10:21	politely 28:17 pollutants 13:5 16:1 63:21 88:8 92:16 pollution 27:20 46:21 55:15 56:10 polychlorinated 13:16 14:22 95:18 poor 73:20 pop 39:19 populated 75:4 population 55:19 populations 43:6,7 portion 75:2 portions 20:9 pose 68:11 posed 20:14 poses 68:19 positive 35:14 possibility 76:22 possible 36:17 101:12 possibly 14:1 49:8 83:9 post 34:13 62:22 69:19 71:2 posted 26:12 poster 23:19 posting	34:14 potential 15:18,22 23:15 24:15 41:4 79:17 82:18 91:16 potentially 37:6 48:7 92:17 Potomac 41:16 power 22:7,10 24:14 42:9,11,15 49:21 54:21 55:1,4,6,9,11 56:2 58:11,21 59:6,6,8,10,13 72:4,5,8,8,19,21 73:3 86:20 98:1 98:1,2 103:11 103:13 powering 101:7 pray 85:13 prayer 3:8,12 precious 70:5 preferably 99:11 preference 96:2 preparations 59:9 prepare 16:2 prepared 5:3 26:12 preparing 16:12 present 5:19 10:4 20:6,7 36:19 58:8 presentation 3:13,17 10:2,7,17 10:19 11:11,16	11:21,22 19:17 presentations 10:6 presented 9:21 23:5 30:21 31:1 34:18 presenting 30:20 President 4:2,12 7:6 103:18 press 35:14 presumably 47:22 pretty 3:3 94:7 103:4 104:22 previous 23:15 60:15 66:18 primary 22:2 princess 27:18 Principal 5:22 print 71:2 prior 10:16 11:11,12 13:21,21,22 14:21 30:13 64:12 84:22 priorities 67:16,18 priority 67:15 pristine 48:17 probable 54:5 probably 37:9 40:5 41:5 64:4 73:7 83:5 92:9 101:5,18 104:3 problem
--	---	---	--	---

26:22 35:18,18 76:18 77:2 79:3 79:3,4 84:5 86:7 87:3 problems 28:5 87:11 104:1 procedures 65:11 proceed 3:17 91:9 proceedings 107:4,4 process 10:1 15:3 16:4,5 17:18 18:2,6 19:3 20:18,19 28:9 29:10,12 30:3,10,12,14 30:17 33:14,20 34:3,6,12 36:12 40:2 42:20 43:14 44:11,22 45:7,13 46:12 47:8,15,19 57:6 57:13,14,15,16 57:20 60:22 61:3 64:6,21 91:3,4 92:4 93:7 93:8,20 100:17 105:12,18 106:6 procured 12:22 products 98:5 professional 17:5 program 38:17,22 46:9,9 65:11 67:7,13 70:13 programs 12:22 progress 61:6 62:19 project 1:9 5:20 6:10,11 13:7,9 16:9,22	17:6 18:15 19:10 21:6,19 31:19 61:7 62:6 62:15 80:12,16 87:13 88:7 89:14 102:3,9 103:2 projects 5:16,16 6:5 properly 68:5 properties 6:8 property 12:18 13:4,18 14:11,12 18:17 22:17 25:12 26:7 27:15 28:5 28:9 40:20 55:13 67:7,11 73:14 75:1 76:4 76:22 78:5 97:12,14 98:15 98:16,20 Prophetess 53:4,5 proposed 81:6 83:13 protect 48:1 64:19 protection 12:20 13:13 38:10 43:17 67:6 68:10 protocol 66:12 94:6 protocols 98:5 proud 59:6 prove 105:3 provide 10:20 21:4 37:4 43:12 46:10 96:19 106:6 provided	70:18 107:6 provider 58:11 provides 22:19 30:17 providing 103:11 104:11 proximity 38:3 Prue 83:21,21 87:7,19 88:12 89:2,15 89:20 public 3:10,20 4:9 7:8 7:10 12:14,14 16:5,7,9,17 17:1 17:11,18 19:8 19:13 21:12,13 22:1 27:3,4 31:20 32:10,13 32:16 34:18 38:6 44:13 49:13,15 60:2 60:17 61:11 62:20 63:10 64:20 80:21,21 83:10,13 98:22 99:3,10,11 100:14 104:19 104:19 publicized 27:3 publicly 78:16 public's 60:20 published 32:16 37:22 pull 58:8 purpose 4:17 24:17 pushing 33:1 put 5:5 11:2 13:7	24:21 27:20 29:1 43:21 45:18 54:21 62:1 68:21 71:2 73:7,9 77:2 80:3 86:5,7,14 104:13,18 105:4 putting 25:4,15,19 46:17 74:16 <hr/> Q <hr/> qualify 71:9 quality 56:17 57:1,1 59:15 73:19 quarter 48:5 question 10:5 36:16,19,20 40:13,14,15 48:22,22 49:19 49:20 50:11 52:8,18 53:4 54:2,9 58:15 59:15 60:18 61:8,14 62:10 63:19 64:3,18 69:14,16 71:22 73:6 75:5,7,8 76:4,7 80:8,16 81:11,15 83:15 90:3 93:6 95:16 95:17 96:5 100:18 101:10 102:8,18 103:15 104:6 questions 5:7 11:12,19 34:16 39:22 40:1 42:3 43:22 44:1,4,4,13 47:16,18 50:7,9 57:5,22 60:10 60:16,20 68:5 70:9 75:16 76:9 81:15 82:12	83:2 94:12 95:11 98:12 103:20 105:21 106:1 quick 60:20 76:2 90:3 quickly 11:17 29:18 30:5 95:12,14 quite 62:16 80:9 98:21 quotes 73:1 Q&A's 28:18 <hr/> R <hr/> R 3:1 race 38:1 50:1 Racial 37:22 radioactive 77:16 radius 48:4,6 rains 74:18 raise 7:18 14:5 83:13 raised 8:10 37:12 58:15 60:11 89:12 90:16,19,21 ran 67:12 range 33:16 68:14 70:7 70:8 rate 76:19,20 Ravi 5:21,21 6:3,4,9 11:7 12:10 13:6 19:11,12,14,20 28:3,8,14 61:13 61:15,17,21
--	--	---	---	--

61:15,17,21 62:1 65:14 75:5 75:14 Ravi's 6:2 28:22 raw 84:11,15 85:2,9 85:21 86:6 Rayna 9:6 RCRA 38:16 68:16 reach 46:5 reached 94:17 reaching 35:1 52:4 93:16 read 94:21,22 reading 86:9 ready 19:7 real 46:20 56:8 66:5 70:4 90:6 105:3 realize 76:8 really 5:17 10:3,17,19 13:12 17:16,16 19:3,5 29:10 32:22 35:11,11 39:8 40:14 50:7 50:7,7,10 52:2 54:20 56:11,12 57:3,3,4,6,22 58:10 75:17 78:1,4 79:19 83:6 85:12 87:9 90:21 91:5,8,11 92:7,12,12,15 92:21,22 93:8 97:15 99:3 101:7,16 102:4 rear	7:7,10 reason 43:3 45:5,21 47:1 47:2 53:16 55:6 67:8 69:20 74:17 78:1,10 78:15 84:10 reasons 19:8 35:20 41:12 receive 32:8 received 33:17 81:2 receiving 44:3 recognize 36:22 41:18 47:13 recognized 7:19 recommend 46:3 recommendatio... 69:9 recommended 10:11 37:3 reconfigure 93:6 record 27:11 43:22 64:9 66:7 90:16 104:19,20 107:3 recorded 28:20 107:4 recording 28:18 106:16 107:6 Recovery 68:16 Recreation 14:10 red 14:6 22:17 redevelop 72:16 77:12 redeveloped 38:19 78:6	redeveloping 72:2 reduce 87:12,16 refer 5:4 67:6,14 reference 35:9 50:19 52:10 referenced 55:20 56:8 references 51:15 referred 69:6 referring 51:19 reflect 31:16 reflected 80:2 refused 84:17,18 regard 95:18 96:3 regarding 17:18 regardless 50:1 Reggie 46:6 Regina 8:22 region 5:15 7:6,7,9 40:2 40:5 45:17 46:7 46:7 54:12 67:19,20 68:3 74:18 79:10 80:4 Register 32:15 Registry 66:20 regular 105:13 regularly 99:1	regulative 57:15 regulatory 45:9 reimbursed 88:14 reiterate 91:1 105:17 106:9 relate 20:7 related 107:7 relates 7:4 30:19 32:15 37:5 101:6 105:21 relationship 38:2,5,16 57:7 100:22 relative 105:1 relatively 103:5 relatives 60:12 release 22:21,22 23:1 93:1 released 14:20 76:8 releases 13:15,19,22 14:2 14:22 22:18 23:10,14 74:8 releasing 92:9 relocate 82:5,9 relocated 82:6 relocation 83:5 rely 94:13 remaining 89:3	remedial 1:7 2:2 20:1,2,5 65:3,4 80:13 90:9 100:13 remediate 78:2 remediated 59:19 remediation 57:9 89:12 92:1 remedy 19:2 82:15 83:8 83:14 remember 46:13,15 86:17 remiss 7:2 removed 72:20 repeat 28:15,16 48:22 101:10 102:18 replace 45:8 98:1 replaced 96:3,14 report 14:3,21,21 15:4 15:21 16:2 18:15,18 20:16 23:6,6 26:21 27:2,5,5,6,7 38:1,2 57:11 62:4,7 63:12 69:6,9,10 75:11 92:9 93:4 reports 27:9 63:11 66:13 94:22 105:4 repository 31:22 34:16 represent 53:13 representative 53:7 89:16 93:15 Representatives 8:10
--	--	---	---	--

represented 7:20 15:1	58:15	21:14 27:4	risks 20:12,14 36:4,4 66:19	27:18 39:13 67:17 72:4 85:13 105:7
representing 33:20,21	resource 5:19 68:16	40:12 43:20 100:4,14	river 2:6 3:11,15 8:6,8 9:10,14 14:1,8,9 15:20 16:1 18:20 20:9 23:5 24:6 25:8,11,16 25:18 26:8 27:15 28:1,10 29:4 33:6 40:21 40:22 41:2,3,7,8 41:13,15,20,20 41:21 48:13,13 48:15,18 50:6 59:15,19 60:1,5 60:12,13 66:3 69:8,19 70:12 70:12 73:17 75:2,2,9 79:15 82:10 83:10,22 84:4,10 86:15 87:20 88:11 89:13 90:4,18 91:14 99:18 103:22	Robert 1:22 107:2,15
represents 22:20 23:4 53:10	resources 46:11 99:4,14	reviewed 17:1,6 65:19 67:20,21	riverbed 26:2	robocalls 35:8 36:8
request 10:21 36:10,11	respect 34:21	reviewing 16:18 43:17	Riverkeeper 7:21 8:12	robust 93:8
requests 106:5	respectful 29:15	rewash 86:21	rivers 43:4	role 17:3,4 44:11 54:11,12,13 58:12 91:5 92:3
require 19:2 79:19	respond 13:19 36:19 61:13,16	re-emphasize 34:2	RI/FS 1:9 20:4,18,18 21:1,11 23:6 27:9 28:3 65:18 89:12	roles 6:18
required 5:12 16:7 25:2 92:13	responding 72:1	rhetical 76:4	road 1:7 2:1 4:19,22 5:17,18,20 6:11 6:12,16 8:19 12:15 13:2,14 14:7,8,8,11 15:18 16:1 19:21 20:7 22:7 22:10 24:8	rolling 72:12
requirements 15:9	response 31:14 35:19 54:9 90:14 95:17	Rhode 86:11		Ron 10:18 30:15,15
requires 64:21	responsibilities 6:19 44:19,19	RI 20:3,10 26:21 27:5,5 75:11		room 5:3 15:2 35:22 41:8 44:16 47:13 59:21 90:5 92:6 93:3 95:9,10
research 37:21 38:11	responsibility 94:19	right 4:7 9:2 11:7 14:7 14:9,9,11,13 15:16 17:20 20:20 29:14,18 35:4 36:13 39:13 40:17 41:5 42:15 53:19 58:3,11 59:4,16 60:4 64:4,17 65:13 65:20 72:17 74:1,19 75:15 80:18 83:19 85:14 87:10,14 89:14,20 97:6 98:21 100:7 102:9 103:14 105:9		roots 6:3
resembles 91:3	responsible 5:10 12:18,22	result 39:13 40:17 41:5 42:15 53:19 58:3,11 59:4,16 60:4 64:4,17 65:13 65:20 72:17 74:1,19 75:15 80:18 83:19 85:14 87:10,14 89:14,20 97:6 98:21 100:7 102:9 103:14 105:9		rose 69:11
reserve 32:2	responsiveness 34:22	resulting 13:18		Rosedale 32:5
residence 88:13	result 66:17	results 28:6,10 61:9,11 84:13		round 9:17,19
residency 101:1	resulting 13:18	resume 37:1		route 81:18
resident 31:8 63:7 67:2 73:16 84:4 99:18	resulting 13:18	retail 73:12		run 5:12 29:18 30:4,9 32:14 75:1,2 85:19
residential 49:3,9 56:14 79:18	resulting 13:18	retired 59:7		running 95:9
residents 31:4 83:4 93:5	resulting 13:18	retooling 91:2		runs 6:3 46:10 87:9
residual 56:16	resulting 13:18	Rett 63:5,7,7 64:9,18 65:1,7,13,20		Russell 75:22,22 78:15 79:6 80:5
residue 24:4	resulting 13:18	reuse 38:18		
resistive 24:3	resulting 13:18	review 16:7,9,11 17:1,8		
resistivity 24:4,12	resulting 13:18			
resolve	resulting 13:18			

S

sad 88:15	scenario 56:5	61:6	21:13	shaped 57:9
safer 55:3	schedule 16:16 21:18,21 26:12,18 62:6	sediment 25:16 26:2 55:11 59:14	series 92:12	Shara 95:15
safety 96:19 98:8	scheduled 81:4	sediments 13:22 18:20 43:8 74:11 83:10 89:13	serious 52:7 68:21	share 5:5 37:9 84:6
salient 9:21	school 2:6 47:2,2 56:15 63:15,16,17 101:3	see 5:2 11:17,21 12:13 14:7,14 20:4 21:8,21 23:8,10,18,20 24:17 25:17 29:6 42:7,21 48:16,16,18,20 49:2 55:19 58:4 59:11 63:10 71:6 74:17 80:14 86:3 88:15 96:21	seriously 17:4	shared 34:18
sample 90:17,18,18 91:13 91:14,21 93:10 104:5	science 58:17 59:19 94:17,18 95:4,7 96:10 105:3	seeking 61:3	serve 39:5 44:18,20 45:2	sharing 106:11
sampled 70:14	scientific 29:1 56:8 66:5 94:12,15	seen 63:12 69:21	served 38:9,14	Shawn 19:18,22 21:19
samples 24:2,4,5,10,22 25:1,1,16,21 26:2 49:1,5,7,10 74:1 75:4,17 93:10	scientist 6:7 94:13	select 31:2	serves 20:22 32:19	Shed 7:21 8:13 41:10
sampling 40:11 42:20,21 48:21 49:5,18 50:8 69:7 70:11 70:13 90:11 91:9 94:20 104:12,13	scientists 94:14	selecting 22:15	service 14:13 21:20 52:9 60:21 61:5 72:9 72:11	sheet 62:6
samplings 57:19	scope 20:19,21,22 21:7 21:10,11	selection 30:22 31:1	services 3:22 4:11 103:11	sheets 34:15 96:20 98:9
Sandra 9:13	screaming 54:18	sell 72:16 73:5,11	serving 5:19 6:11,16 92:2	Sheffey 9:16 54:22
sat 29:1 70:14 92:6	screening 23:22 24:20	send 17:9 31:7 98:8	set 57:11 62:11 70:19	Sheila 99:17,17 100:18 101:9 105:14
satisfaction 44:1,5,15	screw 85:18	sending 63:17	sets 71:1	Sheppard 87:21
satisfactory 49:12	Sean 19:20	Senior 7:8	setting 79:20	shipped 92:20
satisfied 54:16 92:10	season 100:10	sense 49:7 66:21 70:19	seven 18:10	shipping 74:16
Saturday 1:10 4:15 58:5	seat 45:8	sensitive 82:2	Seventies 42:13	shopping 33:10
saw 29:5 69:10	seats 3:4	sent 31:10 32:20,22 39:14	severe 38:5	short 3:12 17:3 60:18 67:5 70:8
saying 28:8 90:11 99:6	second 45:14 58:18 77:4 96:5	September	sewage 37:13 84:11,15 85:2,9,21 86:6 87:9	shortly 6:10 11:3 93:2
scanning 24:3,10 61:18	section 76:20,20		sewer 66:2	show 30:2 62:17
	secure 61:5		sewerage 46:14,22	showed 61:18 88:9
	securing		shadow 49:15	showing 60:9
			shake 91:11	shows 13:3 14:14,15 20:17 23:19 25:22
				shut

72:9 82:8 84:18	41:5 45:22,22	slightly	86:19	spikes
sickness	46:1 49:6,14	43:15	sorry	24:13
89:4	52:4 56:1,1,11	small	26:11 28:14 31:4	spill
side	56:14 59:18	25:13 103:5	34:10 50:16	14:17 22:21
20:9 22:4 24:19	63:9,15 67:14	smaller	87:5,18 93:13	spills
25:10,10,14,22	67:14 68:9,9,15	98:4	sort	13:15,18,21 14:2
26:5,6,8 78:19	68:15,18,18,22	Smith	19:3 39:12 46:21	14:16,21 22:17
Sierra	69:8 72:21	9:6	48:4 54:19	22:20 74:2,12
8:9 9:15 41:11	77:12,15,16,20	smoke	73:10,12	Spingarn
54:22	78:4,8,14 79:14	92:17 95:1	sound	100:19 101:15
sign	79:18 80:2 96:5	smoothly	58:17 102:10	Spirit
32:8 40:6	105:9	11:19	sounding	3:19
signal	sites	snake	102:2	spoke
62:2	38:3,16,16,17,19	85:19	sounds	84:2
signals	43:11 45:19	social	87:6	spread
24:14	49:2 52:6 58:13	32:9 77:1	sources	49:16
signatory	59:18 67:15,17	society	98:2	spun
67:22	68:9,11,14,15	7:21 8:13 41:10	south	64:14
signed	68:16,17,17	77:22,22	31:6	stack
15:13 20:20	69:1 78:5,11	soil	Southeast	85:17 92:17
79:10 80:11	Siting	23:16 24:2,6,21	86:10	stacks
significant	38:12	25:19 42:20	Southwest	42:10,10,17 86:20
44:11	sits	43:8 50:5 51:15	86:10	86:22 95:1
signing	17:20	51:17,21 52:1,3	space	stadium
21:22	sitting	52:9,20,21	76:6	78:7
signs	40:15 91:11	55:12 59:2,14	speak	stage
89:4	situation	70:2 75:3,17	10:13,16 22:12	21:4,4
similar	68:21	76:6,9 82:19	28:19 37:4 53:3	stake
25:12 87:11	six	86:15 87:21	59:4 61:2 76:15	47:4
simple	22:16,18 23:10	88:1,3,7 92:17	80:18 95:2	stakeholder
53:4,6	24:13 27:6	95:1 100:20	102:14,19	98:12
simplified	59:18 66:9 74:8	104:5 105:7	speaker	stakeholders
20:17	81:5 86:5	soils	10:12 51:11	96:12 106:7
simply	size	23:2 89:12	speaking	stamp
103:19	23:19 40:7	solution	74:15	55:1
single	Skeo	77:3	specialized	stand
34:12 43:21 50:1	43:10	Solutions	103:4	5:13 7:18 9:17,19
58:15	skimmed	43:10	specific	55:6
sir	42:6	someplace	24:21 94:9 97:16	standard
66:8	slide	92:20	specifically	96:2
sit	13:2 14:14,15	somewhat	88:5	standards
4:15 45:4,5,8	19:22,22 20:17	90:13	speech	45:18 66:12
71:21 89:22	21:5 22:2,5,13	Son	58:9	74:14 79:11,13
90:8 95:8 105:5	23:3,8,17 24:10	3:19	Speight	79:13,20
site	25:9,21 26:3,19	soon	9:12	standing
20:8 21:20 22:3	slides	26:9	spells	60:9 79:6
23:6,21 40:18	21:5	soot	15:9 65:15	standpoint

63:18	14:4 15:2 19:3,14	89:7,10 98:22	15:21 45:14,14	surface
star	20:2,13,15,19	99:3,5,10,11,19	57:7,21 65:21	24:2,10 25:20
22:20 23:4	21:1 28:4,8	99:22 100:3,4	89:8,15	survey
stars	35:16 68:6	101:18	suggesting	25:11 76:11
22:17 23:9	70:20	study	46:4	78:16 79:8
start	stepped	1:8 2:2 4:18,21	suggestion	surveyed
3:6,12 4:14 12:5	39:2,8	5:21 6:12,16,19	63:2 89:18 90:22	93:5
12:11 19:7	steps	11:6 13:4,13	suggestions	surveys
29:10 58:16	19:22 20:18	15:10,20,22	34:5,5 90:15	25:12,12
81:16 82:3	26:19 106:1	20:2,3,13 27:6,7	summer	suspect
105:10	stewards	28:3 31:18 42:6	100:10	23:4 97:8
started	96:8	42:8,8 43:2	superfund	swim
3:4 4:13 12:2,8	Stewart	52:20 55:16	27:21 38:16,19	48:18
15:15 16:14	9:4	57:20 58:20	43:11 45:21,22	switched
21:7,16 33:14	stigmatizing	61:18,18 66:8	45:22 56:1	55:10
37:20 61:9	76:17,22	69:19 75:10	61:15 65:10	synthetic
80:17 81:9 86:9	stomach	80:14 83:7	66:12 67:4,5,9	96:15
91:11 103:22	104:1 105:16	85:22 88:9 90:9	67:13,14 68:9,9	system
starting	stop	95:2 104:5	68:15,18,22	72:15 86:6,8,14
16:12	41:7 104:3,7	studying	71:9,10 77:12	87:9,17 100:19
startling	storm	100:2	78:4,8 91:4 94:6	100:22 101:8,8
52:2	24:4,5 87:15	stuff	104:17	
starts	story	40:10 46:13 63:1	Superior	T
80:21	84:6	71:4	53:8	table
state	strapped	Subcommittee	supplemented	22:18 45:4,6
28:19	99:13	38:11,12,14	93:22	54:22 83:5
stated	streams	subdivide	supply	89:16 90:8
89:17	75:1,13	73:4	24:14	94:18 96:12
statement	street	submittal	support	104:14 105:4
16:18 69:14,17,17	2:7 46:14 63:14	21:7	43:18 46:4,8,9	tactics
statements	74:19 85:20	submitted	72:14	30:9 35:6,7
105:18	86:2,10	17:7 20:16 22:1	supported	tails
States	stringent	submitting	37:4 72:19	46:18 47:9,9,10
35:18 38:1	98:6	16:16 27:7	suppose	take
station	strongly	substance	61:3	5:5 17:4 18:13
14:12 22:7	10:11	13:16	supposed	45:1 55:6,7 58:9
stations	struggle	Substances	47:8,8 63:19	60:10 62:10
33:10 77:9	37:13	66:20	64:14 67:10	65:21 67:5 73:2
status	stuck	subsurface	68:17 100:1	81:6 83:2 86:20
26:10 62:7,16	67:13	24:2,10 25:5	sure	93:11 95:3,10
stay	studied	successful	8:11 28:20 29:15	106:13
58:10 73:3 97:9	59:18 100:20	6:8	34:22 35:1 36:3	taken
stayed	studies	suffer	36:14 43:20	72:20 75:18
84:21	6:1 52:21 59:5	78:5	66:6,16 71:7	82:21 90:22
steel	61:15,15 66:9	suffering	77:1 79:22 80:9	takes
24:13	66:19 76:8	79:2	89:18 95:16	29:11 45:5 62:3
step	88:17,19,22	suggest	103:6	talk
				4:15 6:17,21 7:14

10:1 12:14 13:6 22:14 27:7,10 29:16 30:8 32:3 41:8 54:11 60:19 62:5 64:5 78:2 93:12 96:10 talked 35:2 55:17 talking 6:10 12:5 22:16 25:10 39:10 46:19 70:5 71:18 77:8 85:3 talks 19:22 52:9 tank 74:9 tanks 22:9,9,11 72:19 target 23:9,11,12,13 24:1 task 102:2 taught 100:21 Taylor 7:9 team 4:19 5:8,14 6:9 17:7 tearing 70:6 Tech 69:6 70:11 technical 17:8 39:18 40:9 42:5 43:10,12 43:18,20 46:2,8 46:11 47:11,14 58:1 59:20 63:12 73:21 106:2 technicalities 54:1 technological	77:14 technologies 55:3 technology 24:3 36:4 77:7,14 77:18 97:17 102:3 television 32:13 tell 27:22,22 49:14 58:4 84:12 94:7 telling 39:3 47:1,3,5 57:17 98:18 tells 26:14 ten 84:6 tend 97:10 99:8 tends 97:9 terms 7:5 9:1 10:4 19:9 21:9 28:17 36:15 49:1 52:2 55:3 56:16 59:10,12 66:14 76:22 77:1 79:7 90:8,14 91:2 92:21 94:12 104:14 106:2 Terrace 2:6 3:11,16 8:9 9:14 14:8 28:1 60:12,13 73:17 82:10 83:22 84:4 87:21 90:4 99:18 103:22 test 25:2 97:11 tested 98:4 104:20 testing 29:3,6 61:9,10 86:15 88:1,3,3,6	88:7,8 98:5,5 104:16,17,22 105:7,10 Tetra 69:6 70:11 thank 3:13,14,21 7:1 10:9 12:7,12 19:11,12 36:7,7 36:9 37:7 51:2,4 53:1 54:7,8 58:6 58:7,7 63:2,4 66:7,8 75:20,21 80:5 81:11 83:19,20,22 86:12 89:14,20 89:21 97:1 98:10 99:15,16 105:16 106:9,14 106:15 thanking 4:14 thanks 12:12 60:8 they'd 90:7 thing 22:6 27:6 37:9 41:19 42:7 46:3 56:12 66:15 70:4 72:17 77:4 77:5 85:14 86:18 88:12 91:8 104:2,6 things 11:15 15:16 16:6 28:20 29:6 30:8 31:13 39:8,21 39:22 48:11 67:2,3,15 76:3 76:14 77:5,13 77:14 79:9 87:16 93:19 94:15 think 3:3 10:20 14:4,14 27:8 28:4 29:9	35:17,22 40:1,4 40:16 41:21 45:11,20 46:16 49:4 51:12,20 52:6 54:17 55:3 57:18 58:1,16 58:18 59:16 62:14,16,17 66:17 69:10 70:17,19 77:11 78:19 79:9,11 79:18 80:3 87:19,20,20,21 87:22 88:9 91:7 91:7 93:17,19 94:2,8 95:4 96:4 96:11 100:9 104:7,20 105:10 105:11 thinking 76:3 third 98:19 Thomas 67:1,1 Thomas's 30:6 thorough 42:4,6 52:1 105:6 thought 19:9 50:20 51:18 three 17:2 22:3,9 23:21 25:9 46:15 85:2 ticking 15:15 16:14 81:9 time 4:14 5:6 11:10 13:11 19:5 20:4 27:17 29:15 36:13,19,21 42:11 44:22 45:1,3 62:3 63:14 69:21 73:21 74:14 77:7,19 84:9,19 85:18 89:15	90:5 92:12 98:14 101:11,15 102:7 103:14 timeframe 65:2 timeline 21:6,18 65:1 99:20 100:3 timelines 100:5 timing 10:18 19:9 today 3:15 7:5 10:20 13:9 18:3 28:22 30:20,21 34:22 36:20 37:4 40:16 43:9 46:21 53:13 60:7,9 62:17 84:1 88:15 90:17 93:17 103:9,18 104:7 today's 6:22 toilet 86:3 told 39:21 48:3 84:16 92:20 97:13 Toolkit 44:16 total 96:11 totally 23:12 touch 33:13 99:1 touched 23:2 82:2 towers 22:9,12 24:18 72:19 town 45:7 town's 99:20
---	--	---	---	---

toxic 38:1 66:20	41:16,16	83:6 89:10	72:8	23:15 26:15
tracking 68:1 78:16	trolley 100:19 101:7,8	95:10 105:15	upstream 66:10 104:18	103:8
traditional 44:6	trolleys 100:22	two-way 105:20	urban 77:9	viewed 58:12
trafficked 56:15	troubles 92:2	type 23:22 29:3 59:3 81:20 88:3	use 35:7,8 38:20 41:2 73:5 78:13 91:19 98:9	views 94:15
train 81:20	truck 72:11 81:20 85:22,22 86:1	types 61:15 66:12 96:14,17	uses 77:10 78:2	Villareal 9:3
training 12:20	trucks 74:16	<hr/> U <hr/>	usually 42:20	Virginia 53:18,19
trains 74:3,7	true 107:3	UDC 52:8,20	utilize 32:1,13,18 36:3	virtually 77:15
transcribed 1:22 107:5	trust 67:9	Uh-huh 51:14,16	utilized 33:4	vision 78:13
TRANSCRIBER 107:1	try 4:7 39:12 92:7	ultimately 10:2 31:2,5,10 34:2,14 37:14 94:11	utilizing 32:9	volume 4:7 47:7
transcript 107:3	trying 19:15 41:13 55:1 60:6 105:15	underground 72:6 74:22 85:19	U.S 12:19 13:13 94:8	<hr/> W <hr/>
transcripts 31:21 60:15,16	Tuesday 84:21	understand 11:1 20:6 38:15 40:5 43:21 46:19 47:15 54:1 61:19 89:11 100:18	<hr/> V <hr/>	wait 61:11
Transfer 14:12	tunnel 87:14	understanding 5:17 10:13 54:20 73:1,20 76:11	value 78:5	waiting 26:7
transformer 74:9	turn 3:3 19:10 27:2,10 101:2	undertake 15:2 64:14	values 77:1	walk 72:18 105:5
transparent 106:6,10	turned 59:5 86:4	undertaking 12:15 13:1 15:10 83:3	various 33:20 106:1	walking 50:6 56:16
transport 81:19	tutelage 38:18	underway 19:4	venue 62:9	wall 92:21
transported 81:18	TV 32:14	United 35:18 37:21 38:1	Vernice 11:3,6,8 29:17 37:8 42:14 50:13,13,14,15 50:18 51:5,7,9 51:14,16,19,22 52:11,14,15,16 52:17 54:8 58:7 76:3 77:4 78:18 79:9 91:1	walls 3:16
travelled 92:17	twice 77:11	update 4:17 62:17	Vernice's 29:15	Walton 9:11
Travis 89:17	Twitter 32:10	updated 26:18 34:17 105:13	version 60:19	want 6:12 7:3,16 8:11 11:2 12:11 13:19 16:3 19:17 22:6,14 22:22 29:15 30:3,9 34:20,22 35:7 36:14 39:11 43:5,6,22 45:13,14,15 46:3 47:6,13 48:16,16,18,19 48:20 50:2 54:13 55:4 56:18 57:4,5 58:12 59:17,21
Travis-Miller 105:18	two 15:16 19:22 22:2 22:5,13 24:9,19 25:10,14 26:8 48:5,5,6 49:9 65:17 66:19 73:18 75:6,7 76:9 80:15,16 81:15 82:1,12	updates 32:22	Vice 4:1,12 7:6	
treasury 67:10		upgrading	view	
treatment 37:14 46:14,22				
triangles 26:1,1				
tribal 38:4				
tributary				

60:14 62:20	42:21,22 46:20	went	62:18 63:17	8:4 9:8 32:4
67:2,3 70:4,22	48:17 54:2	16:4,22 39:15	65:15 66:13	word
71:22 72:11	59:15 66:2 75:7	42:18,19,19	72:15,15 73:4	17:3 43:21 67:6
74:22 79:9,19	84:3 85:2 86:1,3	43:1 47:1,2 81:3	73:10 75:5,10	words
79:21 80:3 83:9	87:9,9,13,15	88:1	83:2,7 89:9 93:1	71:2
84:5,18 85:15	92:18 95:1	weren't	93:21,22 94:7,7	work
90:15 92:2,10	wave	68:4	94:8 95:5,6,9	10:1 12:17 16:18
94:4 95:8 97:16	7:13	Wes	96:11 98:18	16:19,21,21,22
100:9 102:11	way	3:2,6,21,22 4:5,7	100:16 103:4	17:4 18:15 19:6
104:14 105:12	8:18 17:18,18,22	4:10,10 5:9 11:1	104:12,20	19:7 20:19,21
106:9	25:7,8,19 42:2	11:5,9,12,15,18	105:10,11 106:4	20:22 21:1,2,8
wanted	42:18 43:14,15	12:2,5,8,10,12	106:8	21:10,11,11,15
7:11 8:15 13:7	44:6 47:19	14:17,19 17:4	we've	22:4,5 24:12
15:3 19:8 27:19	49:11,19 50:10	28:16,16 36:10	3:3 5:2 6:7 10:11	26:7 27:1 29:9
34:10 37:2 66:6	52:17 57:6	58:7 61:2,13	10:19 16:18	30:2 34:21
66:16 81:1	65:21 74:22	62:10 63:6 66:8	29:10 33:10	37:20 38:20,21
90:20 100:5	75:13,14 76:19	69:4 70:9 71:7	59:7 61:14	39:3 41:9,10,11
105:17 106:6	77:2 82:14	71:11,15,20,22	62:16 70:17,18	42:5 43:8,15
wants	93:15 101:1	73:9,14 74:7,12	72:3,4,6,12,13	46:11 53:17
23:20 35:12	ways	74:21 75:21	76:19,21 90:15	55:14 57:11,14
ward	76:10	94:5 95:20 96:1	90:19,21 93:4	57:22 58:14
17:21 18:10,11	wealth	96:14,17,19,22	94:21 97:22	59:13 62:18
53:6 88:4	5:14	97:2,7,18,22	99:12 103:15	65:3,15,17,18
warrant	website	98:8,11 100:7	104:22	67:21 68:5 72:3
59:2,3	21:20 26:12,15	101:3 102:15,21	where'd	77:6 78:18
warranted	31:19,20 32:12	103:3 104:11	14:7	79:16 80:7
27:8 91:7	32:13 33:11,12	106:15	White	83:17 94:10
WASF	34:11,12,18,19	Wesley	9:5	95:5,6 96:4,13
84:22,22 85:1,6	39:15,16 62:22	7:1 9:20 36:15	wide	98:14,20 100:8
Washington	63:11 66:21	west	37:5 70:13	100:9,10,12
2:8 8:9 9:15 33:5	71:4	31:7 37:15	widely	103:4,7,10
86:11 99:17,17	websites	we'll	35:21	105:11
100:18 101:9	65:18	3:12,12,12 8:21	willing	worked
105:14	week	11:2,9 25:20	93:12,20	5:15 6:4 10:19
waste	26:14 37:14	75:11 89:18	winded	12:19,21,21
14:12 38:1,3,12	weeks	94:1,10 95:6	60:19	15:8 18:7 74:12
38:17 49:21	5:1 26:17	97:14 101:5	withstand	85:8
77:16 81:17	week-by-week	103:6 104:13	97:4	working
watching	26:11	we're	woman	4:20 18:11 29:13
44:2 77:7	weighing	6:8,14 8:19 10:5	60:9	37:6 43:16 50:4
water	96:12	11:19 25:9,19	wondered	57:2 87:8,11
7:21 8:13 14:1	welcome	26:7 29:2,9,12	10:15	94:14 96:7
22:4 23:2,16	3:11 4:13 37:2	29:13,14 36:12	wonderful	98:13,17 101:5
24:5,22 25:1,3,4	58:18,19 61:4	36:13,16 39:10	39:21,22	103:9
25:5,10,10,13	84:1 93:2 94:5	41:12,17 53:9	wondering	works
25:14,20,22	wells	54:22 58:14,16	51:18	57:15
26:8 41:10	25:4	59:13 60:5,5	Wood	worldwide

6:1 wouldn't 97:5 99:7 103:8 wrap 18:14 103:15 wrapped 65:5 writing 26:21 90:21 wrong 54:3 105:16	Yvette 8:2 <hr/> Z <hr/> Zenith 38:22 <hr/> \$ <hr/> \$6,000 86:13 <hr/> 0 <hr/> 05 17:14 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 1:21 3:5 4:4,6 1st 80:11 10 3:3 10:14 25:19 10:00 1:11 107 1:21 13:11 11,321 31:9 12 80:11 14 15:14 15 15:15 16:14 25:6 26:17 37:10 53:14 150 72:13 150-200 85:19 16 32:14 18 23:12,17,18,19 24:1 62:12 1906 13:11 42:11,16 54:19	1979 95:19 1980 67:8,9 1987 37:22 1997 22:22 <hr/> 2 <hr/> 1:10 8:15,18 9:17 19:19 102:19 20 25:6 20th 33:12 2000 99:22 2001 99:22 20019 2:8 2002 99:22 2003 99:22,22 2006 92:20 2009 13:12 14:21 23:6 2010 20:21 21:7 2011 15:14 33:14 80:10,11,20 81:8 2012 17:2 21:8,9,13 22:8 33:12 2013 1:10 21:17 65:5 202 2:9 24th 62:7 25 37:17 39:5 77:8	25th 26:4 37:16 28 46:13 77:6 <hr/> 3 <hr/> 3 27:13 28:7,13,15 40:2,5 45:17 46:7,7 54:12 67:20 68:3 79:10 80:4 3's 67:19 30 21:17 55:10 88:17 33,000 48:6,7,7 33164 1:20 34th 2:7 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 4 31:11 34:9 50:22 51:2 40 24:21 420 2:7 44 37:11 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 17:21 42:13 59:22 60:5 5B 7:22 8:14,16,18 8:18,20 17:22 5D 8:17 51:4 95:15 95:16 5E 17:14,15,20 18:12 50	39:5 50's 73:20 50649th 53:19 55 25:15 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 8:6 9:11 60:4 61:22 68:8 69:3 69:14,16 70:21 71:5,8,12,16,21 73:7,13 101:6 6A 8:1 9:2 60's 73:20 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 53:6 69:2 88:4 95:13 102:18 7A 8:1 9:3 7C 8:1 9:4 7D 8:1 9:5 7D04 83:22 7E01 81:15 70's 42:14,16 724-4589 2:9 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 8 102:14 103:1 80 27:15 80's 42:12 800 72:3 103:9
--	--	--	---	---

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <hr/> <p>9th 33:14,15</p>				
--	--	--	--	--